

# Department of Education STRICE HI Performance System

Hawaii's New School Accountability & Improvement System

Informational Briefing

Deputy Superintendent Ronn Nozoe

9/10/2013



## **Today's Briefing**

## **Deputy Superintendent Ronn Nozoe**

- Background
- Strive HI Performance System Basics

abultur

- SY 2013-14 Results
- School Improvement Implementation

# **Principals' Perspective**

- Dennis O'Brien, DeSilva Elementary
- Daniel Caluya, Na Wai Ola 'Waters of Life' Public Charter School
  Q&A



## Hawaii's Strategic Plan sets vision & direction

A clear vision for success **grounded in college & career readiness** that establishes **a culture of reflection & improvement** at every level of the system:



- Clear, high expectations for students, staff, & schools
- A commitment to using best tools available to measures student, staff, & school progress & provide actionable data to stakeholders
- A commitment to provide customized support to students, staff, & schools to ensure they succeed in meeting those goals

### USED Approved HI proposal for Strive HI Performance System

#### Lack of Alignment

 Federal NCLB accountability system was outdated, ineffective, & out of sync with Hawaii's Strategic Plan

### Opportunity to Align

- USED provided <u>states</u> <u>opportunity to design</u> <u>new system</u> to replace components of NCLB
- Hawaii seized opportunity & with stakeholder input, designed <u>new system</u> to align with Strategic Plan

# Approval & Implementation

- 5/20 USED
   <u>approved</u>

  <u>Hawaii's proposal</u>
- SY 2013-14 -Implementation <u>begins</u>

## Strive HI Performance System replaces components of NCLB

No Child Left Behind (2002-12)		Strive HI Performance System (2013 - )
Federal government designed system	Designed	Hawaii stakeholders designed system to align to
based on outdated approach to school	by?	BOE/DOE State Strategic Plan
reform		
Proficiency in reading & math	Focus?	Readiness for college & careers
Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)	Metrics?	Strive HI performance Index measures school
measured school performance based		performance & progress, using multiple measures
mostly on 1 test, the Hawaii State		
Assessment (HSA) <u>reading &amp; math</u>		
<u>scores</u> in grades 3-10		
All schools are held accountable for	Targets?	Each school held accountable for meeting
meeting <u>one national, aspirational</u>		ambitious & attainable goals that are customized
target (regardless of current		to each school complex (based on current
challenges)		performance)
Schools held accountable for	Students	All schools held accountable for performance of <u>all</u>
performance of <u>student subgroups that</u>	included?	<u>of Hawaii's students &amp; student subgroups that</u>
<u>do not fully reflect Hawaii's student</u>		reflect state's student population
population		
Required federally-designed, one-size-	System of	Customized rewards, support & interventions
fits-all interventions	supports?	proven successful in Hawaii's schools and based on
		<u>5 Strive HI Steps</u>



• Background

# • Strive HI Performance System Basics

- SY 2013-14 Results
- School Improvement Implementation
- Q&A



#### **Strive HI Goals & Annual Targets** *aspiring to Strive HI with ambitious & attainable goals*



#### **Strive HI Performance Index**

multiple measures to understand & school performance & progress



## Strive HI Performance Index Weights

Weighting indicators appropriately based on K-12 spectrum



#### **Strive HI Steps** targeting proven rewards & supports to schools

Based on			INTERVENTIONS AND INVOLVEMENT
Index, schools are	(1) RECOGNITION	<b>TOP 5% OF SCHOOLS</b> Only the highest-performing and highest-growth schools in achievement, graduation rates, and achievement gaps are eligible.	Very Low
placed on a (2) CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT	<b>MAJORITY (75-85%) OF SCHOOLS</b> Remainder of schools following identification of Priority, Focus, and Recognition Schools	Low	
	(3) FOCUS	NEXT LOWEST 10% OF SCHOOLS Low achievement, low graduation rates, or large within school achievement or graduation rate gaps	High
	(4) PRIORITY	<b>LOWEST 5%</b> Persistently low achievement, persistently low graduation rates, or schools in School Improvement Grants (SIG) Program	Very High
	(5) SUPT'S ZONE	Deputy Superintendent, as Chief Academic Officer, designates a subset of Priority schools into Superintendent's Zone based on persistent inability to meet performance targets over time.	Extremely High

STATE



- Background
- Strive HI Performance System Basics

# • SY 2013-14 Results

- School Improvement Implementation
- Q&A



#### **Key Findings**

- Our new Strive HI Performance System allows us to do a much better job of measuring & understanding school performance so that we can tailor rewards, supports, & interventions.
- As a state we set a higher bar for our students: college & career readiness. The big picture on student outcomes shows that we have work to do, but we are on track to graduate more students ready for college & careers.
- We have made significant progress on one of our most important priorities: closing achievement gaps between student groups.
- We are particularly proud of the results in the Zones of School Innovation.

	Statewide	e Sr	nap	sho
Achievement (%)	Math Proficiency	60	Ť	
	Reading Proficiency	72	Ť	
	Science Proficiency	34	$\ast$	
Growth	Median Math Student Growth Percentile	52	*	
	Median Reading Student Growth Percentile	52	*	
Readiness	Elementary Chronic Absenteeism (%)	18	*	
	Median 8th Grade ACT	14	*	
	Percentage of 11th Graders Scoring Above 19 on the ACT (%)	34	$\ast$	
	On-Time Graduation Rate (%)	82	Ť	
	College-Going Rate (%)	63	*	
Achievement Gap (%)	Non High-Needs Proficiency	83	$\ast$	
	High-Needs Proficiency	55	*	$ \longrightarrow $
	Current Year Gap Rate	33	*	
	Two Year Gap Reduction Rate	12	*	

Small gains in proficiency. We expect continued focus on 6 Priority Strategies will lead to continued improvements.

On track to graduate more students college & career ready.

By providing ACT exams to all students for free, we've removed a barrier to college entrance.

Unacceptable gaps remain, but our schools have made significant process closing gaps in the last two years

## **Statewide Snapshot: School Classifications**

	# SCHOOLS	LEVEL	TITLE I STATUS	ZONES	CHARTER	
RECOGNITION	14 Schools	13 elementary 1 middle	9 Title I Schools 5 Non-Title I Schools	0 "Zones" Schools	1 Charter Schools	
CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT	228 schools	137 elementary 42 middle 49 high school	182 Title I Schools 46 Non-Title I schools	15 "Zones" Schools	17 Charter Schools	
FOCUS	29 schools	15 elementary 5 middle 9 high school	23 Title I Schools 6 Non-Title I Schools	2 "Zones" Schools	6 Charter Schools	
PRIORITY	14 Schools	3 elementary 2 middle 9 high school	12 Title I Schools 2 Non-Title I Schools	1 Zone	8 charter schools	
SUPT'S ZONE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

\*Corrections Pending

# Today's Webinar

- Background
- Strive HI Performance System Basics
- SY 2013-14 Results

# School Improvement Implementation

• Q&A



#### Federally Required Turnaround Principles Align with Strategic Plan Goals

Federal Turnaround Principle	Goal	
Providing strong leadership	Goal 2: Staff	
Ensuring teachers are effective &able to provide improve instruction	Success	
Redesign the school day, week, or year	Goals 1 & 2: Student & Staff	
Strengthen the school's instructional program	Success	
Using data to inform continuous improvement		
Establish a school environment that improves	Goal 1: Student	
safety & discipline	Success	
Engage families & communities		

## **Recognition Schools**

- Top 5 percent of schools
- High-performing schools & high-growth schools
- Receive recognition, rewards, & access to administrative flexibility.

#### **Expected Actions:**

•Financial Awards:

- Strive HI Award up to \$95,000
- School swill receive Awards Notification memo detailing process
- Receive funds in Sept; expenditure in SY 2013-14

•Administrative Relief & Flexibility:

 Recognition schools leaders invited to workgroup to advise on additional areas of administrative relief & flexibility

## **Continuous Improvement Schools**

- 75 85% schools
- Generally on a positive trajectory & do not need intensified support from their Complex Area or state office.

#### **Expected Actions:**

- Continued implementation of 6 Priority Strategies
- <u>2014-15 AcFin</u> address student subgroup performance challenges identified through the Strive HI Index data & school report cards.

## **Focus Schools**

- Low performance on Strive HI Index or automatic trigger
- Increased CAS & Deputy role

#### **Expected Actions:**

- CASs may receive <u>an additional Complex Area EO</u> to coordinate targeted improvement efforts.
- <u>Comprehensive needs assessment (CNA) to</u> diagnose root causes for underperformance, using tools provided by the Office of the Deputy Superintendent. (Guidance & requirements provided by 9/1/13)
- <u>SY13-14 Focus Schools Fiscal Requirement Form</u>: Based on results & CNA, develop 1 year plan to be implemented & funded in the second semester of SY2013-14.
- Access to "array of services"
- <u>Development of SY14-15 AcFin</u>: Focus Schools will also begin work on their SY14-15 AcFin which must address CNA findings include full implementation of at least one of the seven turnaround principles.

## **Priority Schools**

- Lowest performance on Strive HI Index or automatic trigger
- Increased CAS & Deputy role

#### **Expected Actions:**

- CASs may receive <u>an additional Complex Area EO</u> to coordinate targeted improvement efforts.
- <u>CAS chooses school improvement model</u>: Victoria Bernhardt or School Synergy
- <u>Comprehensive needs assessment (CNA) to</u> diagnose root causes for underperformance, using tools provided by the Office of the Deputy Superintendent. (Guidance & requirements provided by 9/1/13)
- Access to "array of services"
- <u>SY13-14 Priority Schools Fiscal Requirement Form</u>: Based on results & CNA, develop 3 year plan with some activities to be implemented & funded in the second semester of SY2013-14.
- <u>Development of SY14-15 AcFin</u>: Priority Schools will also begin work on their SY14-15 AcFin which must include full implementation of all of the seven turnaround principles.

# Today's Webinar

- Background
- Strive HI Performance System Basics
- SY 2013-14 Results
- School Improvement Implementation

# • Q&A



## Strive HI Information on HawaiiPublicSchools.org



#### Resources

#### **KEY RESOURCES**

- Strive HI Performance System: <u>Summary</u>
- Strive HI Performance System: <u>FAQs</u>
- Fact Sheet: <u>Strive HI vs NCLB</u>
- August 19, 2013: <u>Webinar Recording</u> & <u>PPT</u>

#### **STATEWIDE DATA**

- Statewide Snapshot (SY12-13): Summary of statewide student performance on the Strive HI Performance Index metrics of proficiency (in math, reading, and science), student growth over (in reading and math), readiness (chronic absenteeism, ACT scores, graduation rates, and college going rates) and achievement gap.
- School Classification List (SY12-13): Lists how schools have been classified in one of the 5 Strive HI Performance Steps.
- Strive HI Performance System: School Distribution: This <u>interactive site</u> allows users to explore schools' performance relative to other schools.

#### **SCHOOL REPORTS**

- Student Group Performance <u>Report (SY12-13) (statewide</u> <u>summary</u> and <u>by school: P</u>rovide detailed information about how each school's student groups' performance meeting math, reading, science, and retention or graduation rate targets.
- Strive HI: School Performance <u>Report:</u> Demonstrates how each school's results were converted into the 400 point Strive HI Index, and then into a classification. This report can be found on each school's individual school site. (Use the "Find Schools" tool.) This School Performance Report Overview walks through how to understand the report. 23

#### Strive HI Performance System State Performance Snapshot

The Hawaii Department of Education has created a new school accountability and improvement system aligned with Hawaii's transformational vision of success. The Strive HI Performance System, approved by the USDE in May 2013, replaces many of NCLB's most outdated and ineffective requirements with a system of multiple measures better designed to meet the needs of Hawaii's students, educators and schools.

The Strive HI Index uses multiple measures of achievement, growth, readiness and achievement gaps to understand schools' performance and progress. The Index will consider the performance of all students as well as performance gaps between two new student subgroups: "High-Needs Students" and "Non-High Needs Students."

Based on the Index score, schools are placed on one of 5 Steps - Recognition, Continuous Improvement, Focus, Priority and Superintendent's Zone.

Statewide Statistics			Trend	Data Explanations	Key Findings		
Achievement (%)	Math Proficiency	60	t	These metrics measure the proportion of students who	Hawaii's new Strive HI Performance System		
	Reading Proficiency 72 The scored proficient or higher on the Hawaii State Assess- ment last year. Although the Department has always test ed in science, it is new to official school accountability	provides a better way to measure and under- stand school performance so that we can tailor rewards, supports, and interventions.					
	Science Proficiency	34	*	this year.			
Growth	Median Math Student Growth Percentile	52	*	Similar to a child's growth chart, these metrics help us un- derstand a student's growth on the Hawaii State Assess- ment relative to her own past performance and her peer's	The state has set a higher bar for its students: college and career readiness. The big picture on student outcomes demonstrates there is more		
	Median Reading Student Growth Percentile	52	*		work to be done, but that Hawaii is on track to graduate more students ready for college and		
Readiness	Elementary Chronic Absenteeism (%)	18	*	This is the proportion of students in a school who were ab- sent 15 or more days last year.	careers.		
	Median 8th Grade EXPLORE 14	14	*	The 8th grade version of the ACT measures readiness. The test is scored from 1 to 25.	Hawaii has made significant progress on one of the state's most important education priori-		
	Percentage of 11th Graders Scoring Above 19 on the ACT (%)	34	*	Univ. of Hawaii research finds that a 19 on the ACT (scored from 1-36) predicts success in college courses.	ties: closing achievement gaps between student groups.		
	On-Time Graduation Rate (%)	82	Ť	The percentage of students who graduated who were a part of the ninth grade cohort four years ago.	The results of the Zones of School Innovation stand out as something to be proud of, and an		
	College-Going Rate (%)	63	*	The proportion of graduates who enrolled in college within 16 months of graduation.	indication that Hawaii's reform strategy for turning around low-performing schools is suc-		
Achievement Gap (%)	Non High-Needs Proficiency	83	*	Achievement gaps are calculated in the current year and over time between high-needs students, who are learning	ceeding.		
	High-Needs Proficiency	55	*	English, economically disadvantaged or have disabilities, and non high-needs students.			
	Current Year Gap Rate	33	*	The current gap measures the most recent gap on the Hawaii State Assessment relative to the non high-needs			
	Two Year Gap Reduction Rate	12	*	group, while the two year gap reduction rate measures how much that gap has closed over the past two years.			

\* signifies data that are new or were not previously used in school accountaibility

#### **STRIVE HI** Performance System