NEIL ABERCROMBIE GOVERNOR

> RICHARD C. LIM DIRECTOR

MARY ALICE EVANS DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Telephone: (808) 586-2355 Fax: (808) 586-2377



THE OF HANNER

# DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & TOURISM

No. 1 Capitol District Building, 250 South Hotel Street, 5th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2359, Honolulu, Hawaii 96804 Web site: www.hawaii.gov/dbedt

Statement of **RICHARD C. LIM Director** Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism before the

## SENATE COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS

Thursday, March 28, 2013 9:05 a.m. State Capitol, Conference Room 211 in consideration of

## HB 70, HD2, SD1 RELATING TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

Chair Ige, Vice Chair Kidani and Members of the Committee.

The Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism (DBEDT) offers comments on HB 70, HD2, SD1.

**Part I** extends the repeal of the High Technology Innovation Corporation (HTIC) to 2018 and changes the Board of Directors from the nine member HTDC board to nine members.

DBEDT supports Part I and recommends a seven-member board as follows:

- (1) The Executive Director and Chief Executive Officer of the High Technology Development Corporation;
- (2) The President of the Hawaii Strategic Development Corporation;
- (3) The Executive Director of the Natural Energy Laboratory of Hawaii Authority;
- (4) Two private business sector members to be appointed by the Governor;
- (5) One private business sector member to be appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives; and
- (6) One private business sector member to be appointed by the President of the Senate.

**Part II** establishes the public-private partnership authority (PPPA). DBEDT **supports** Part II, subject to the addition of sufficient funds of \$1.0 million, and three exempt positions to implement the pilot project.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on this bill.



#### Joint Statement of YUKA NAGASHIMA Executive Director & CEO High Technology Development Corporation & President High Technology Innovation Corporation AND KARL FOOKS President Hawaii Strategic Development Corporation before the SENATE COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS

March 28, 2013 9:05 AM State Capitol, Conference Room 211 In consideration of

#### HB 70 HD2 SD1 RELATING TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

Chair Ige, Vice Chair Kidani and Members of the Committee on Ways and Means:

The High Technology Development Corporation (HTDC), High Technology Innovation Corporation (HTIC) and the Hawaii Strategic Development Corporation (HSDC) would like to submit testimony in **support of Part I only**, extending the effective date for the repeal of the High Technology Innovation Corporation. We respectfully offer **recommendations for Part I Section 2 on the HTIC board makeup**. We do not offer comment on Parts II and III.

To allow HTIC to function as a vehicle for public-private initiatives, we respectfully recommend a <u>seven-member board</u> as follows:

- (1) The executive director and chief executive officer of the high technology development corporation;
- (2) The president of the Hawaii strategic development corporation;
- (3) The executive director of the natural energy laboratory of Hawaii authority;
- (4 & 5) Two private business sector members to be appointed by the Governor;
- (6) One private business sector member to be appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives; and
- (7) One private business sector member to be appointed by the President of the Senate."

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on this bill.



## HB70 HD2 SD1 RELATING TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Senate Committee on Ways and Means

March 28, 2013	9:05 am	Rm 211

The Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA) offers the following comments on Part II of HB70 HD2 SD1, which was amended to insert language from SB215, and which would establish the Public Private Partnership Authority (PPPA) to administer appropriate and culturally-sensitive projects and require the implementation of specific pilot projects. OHA does not offer any comments on Part I, related to the High Technology Innovation Corporation.

OHA notes and appreciates that some of the concerns OHA and OHA's beneficiaries have raised over the past two years with regards to the PLDC are not present in the establishment of the PPPA. Specifically OHA notes that HB70 HD2 SD1:

- Does not authorize the broad land use and zoning exemptions provided to the PLDC;
- Deletes the provision present in previous drafts of SB215, that would have allowed the counties to waive zoning, land use, and permitting requirements for PPA projects;
- Appears to anticipate that the public lands will not be transferred in fee to the PPPA (If that is the intent, this committee may want to incorporate language that specifically states that public agencies may not transfer public lands in fee simple to the authority); and
- Appears to provide for a more appropriate project implementation process by requiring the PPPA, prior to implementing a project, to submit a proposed plan to and obtain the prior approval of any public agency affected.

OHA notes, however, that the establishment of the PPPA may raise other concerns. OHA requests reconsideration of the following provisions:

• The composition of the PPPA Board. OHA applauds the inclusion of a member of the aha moku council that serves the moku in which a project is anticipated to occur. This board member, however, would only be advisory. The intrinsic value of public lands to Native Hawaiians and the larger public, as well as the enormous socioeconomic benefits provided by our undeveloped public land base, counsel the inclusion of additional <u>voting</u> members. These additional voting members would better ensure transparency in PPPA board decisions, and provide necessary

checks and balances in the potential long-term commitment of our public lands for development projects. OHA points to SB405, a bill in OHA's 2013 Legislative Package, which would have added two seats to the PLDC – one for OHA's administrator, or the administrator's designee, and another for an individual with expertise in sustainable planning and natural resource management. The majority of Hawai'i's public lands were "ceded" to the United States as a result of the unlawful overthrow of the Kingdom of Hawai'i, and these additional voting members would help the state to carry out its mission to develop a culturally sensitive land development program, and to maintain its legal and moral commitments to the reconciliation and reparatory justice for the Native Hawaiian people.

- The transfer of management rights. HB70 HD2 SD1 authorizes public agencies to • transfer management rights for projects to the PPPA notwithstanding HRS Ch. 171. It then authorizes the PPPA to lease properties from or agree to manage properties of a public agency. OHA notes that, to the extent that the transfer of management rights to a project involves the management of public lands, as defined under HRS Ch. 171, the lease, license, set aside and other disposition provisions should be applicable. HRS Ch. 171 provides a complete statutory scheme for governing the fiduciary duties of the State of Hawai'i for managing and disposing of its most valuable resources – its public lands – which are held in trust for native Hawaiians and the public. As the Hawai'i Supreme Court has explained, "[t]he overall purpose of this chapter [HRS 171] and particularly of those sections dealing with the lease of public lands is to preserve the assets of the State and to provide" guidance to the State "in the management of these assets."<sup>1</sup> OHA's administration objects to the provision authorizing the transfer of management rights, to the extent that the provision seeks to empower the PPPA to bypass HRS Ch. 171.
- **Public Land Trust Revenue.** In order to give the PPPA appropriate and sufficient guidance in carrying out its duties and commitments to the Native Hawaiian people, this bill should clarify that the PPPA must comply with OHA's right to the constitutionally-mandated pro-rata portion of public land trust revenues. OHA notes that the proposed SD1 of SB215 attempted to clarify this. OHA offers the following language which was included in SB405, a bill in OHA's 2013 Legislative Package to address this issue: <u>Notwithstanding any provision of this chapter to the contrary, the corporation shall be subject to Act 178, Session Laws of Hawai'i 2006, or any other law that provides for the office of Hawaiian affairs' pro rata portion of the public land trust, pursuant to article XII, section 6 of the state constitution, and section 10-3.</u>
- **The PLDC still exists.** At this time there are two measures—which OHA supports—moving in both houses of the Hawai'i State Legislature that would repeal the law that created the Public Land Development Corporation. Until the repeal of the PLDC law is complete, this measure creating what appears to be a PLDC alternative may be premature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Big Island Small Ranchers Ass'n v. State, 60 Haw. 228, 588 P.2d 430 (Hawaii, 1978).

- **Public-Private Partnerships.** Public private partnerships may raise additional • concerns. This includes the potential loss of state revenues, the loss of public interest considerations and accountability, and the loss of quality jobs for local residents. As has been demonstrated in Hawai'i and in other jurisdictions, the privatization of public assets has the potential to result in substantial lost revenue opportunities for public agencies. Often, privatization takes the form of a one-time infusion of private monies in exchange for a long-term lease of revenue-generating assets. This has the potential to result in significant lost revenue opportunities in the long term. With regards to loss of public interest considerations, as indicated here and on the continent, while the privatization of public assets may help to increase the efficiency of public projects and developments, private entities have a very difficult time addressing additional public interest considerations that are not firmly linked to revenue generation. These other considerations may include access for fishing, gathering, and recreation, all of which are an important part of Native Hawaiian and local culture. Privatization of public assets, particularly through long-term leases or contracts, can also have the unintended consequence of diminishing public and government accountability over the use and maintenance of such assets. Without adequate lease protections, public agencies may be reduced to a "middleman" position where they have limited ability to address public concerns absent expensive contract or lease renegotiations. Finally, while public state agencies traditionally employ local residents, larger national or multi-national corporations with the capital to engage in public private partnerships may tend to outsource or hire individuals who are not permanent residents. OHA understands that these issues may be addressed by appropriate and transparent planning. OHA also notes that HB70 HD2 SD1 essentially creates the PPPA for a trial period until July 1, 2018 and prevents the PPPA from entering into any projects other than the described pilot projects.
- **PPPA's adoption of rules is purely discretionary.** The PLDC's discretion to adopt administrative rules if desired, in lieu of a mandate to adopt such rules, was a point of contention for many community members, and this committee may want to avoid similar contention by mandating the adoption of administrative rules pursuant to Chapter 91 and setting forth the scope of this requirement.

Mahalo for the opportunity to testify on this important measure.

Submitted on: 3/26/2013 Testimony for WAM on Mar 28, 2013 09:05AM in Conference Room 211

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Nolan Moriwaki	Masons Union Local 1&630	Support	No

Comments: The Masons Union support the intent and purpose of H.B. 70, HD2, purposed SDI, which extends the sunset date of the High Technology Innovation Corp. to 2018 (Part I), establishes a workforce development training program for entities which receive a film tax credit and extends the sunset of the credit to 2025 (part II), and establishes a Public-Private Partnership Authority, which sunsets on in July 2018 (Part III). The Masons Union Local 1 & 630 represents 1800 members of the following construction trades, Bricklayers, Stone Masons, Ceramic Tile Setters, Marble Masons, Pointer Caulker, Cement Finishers and Plasterers. Thank you for the opportunity to submit a testimony on this bill

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.



25 Maluniu Ave., Suite 102., PMB 282 • Kailua, HI 96734 • Phone/Fax: (808) 262-0682 E-mail: htf@lava.net

#### March 28, 2013

#### COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS

Senator David Y. Ige, Chair Senator Michelle N. Kidani, Vice Chair

#### HB 70 HD2 SD1 RELATING TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Committee Chair and members;

Hawaii's Thousand Friends (HTF), a statewide land and water advocacy organization, opposes Part II that establishes the public-private partnership authority (PPPA).

While on one hand the legislature is about to repeal the tumultuous PLDC HB 70 HD2 SD1 Part II seeks to create a public-private partnership authority similar to the PLDC but *currently* limited to three pilot projects.

Like PLDC the PPPA will have an executive director who will hire staff. When PLDC was passed in 2011 the \$135,500 for the executive director position was taken from the Legacy Land Conservation Program, which provides funds for acquisition of lands for watershed protection, habitat protection, cultural and historical sites etc. There was no funding for staff so the intention was to go back to the legislature for money to pay for additional staff.

Now that PLDC is about to be repealed the executive director position including funding are being transferred to DLNR. While HTF supports more staff for DLNR we are not sure that transferring the executive director position at a high salary is the way to provide needed staff for DLNR.

HB 70 HD2 SD1 Part II creates the public-private partnership authority with an executive director and staff for five years to develop three projects - 1) a film production facility on state lands *somewhere* on Maui, location not specified, 2) 1 unknown county initiated project, and 3) a main-street project on state lands in Wahiawa, which contains a DOE building, a library, Wahiawa Clinic and a transit center.

If PPPA is repealed on July 1, 2018 as stated what happens to the executive director and staff? Will they, like PLDC staff, be transferred, salary, benefits etc, to another state agency?

If PPPA is not repealed on July 1, 2018 then the authority will join the throngs of agencies coming before you requesting public money to continue.

There must be a better and less expensive way to develop worthy projects on state land without creating another entity that will require continual funding to survive.

Creating another state entity that is sure to be contentious is not in the public's best interest and must be held in committee.

Submitted on: 3/26/2013 Testimony for WAM on Mar 28, 2013 09:05AM in Conference Room 211

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Jeffrey Parker	Tropical Orchid Farm, Inc.	Oppose	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

From:Jeffrey ParkerTo:WAM TestimonySubject:Comments In Support of HB1133Date:Tuesday, March 26, 2013 11:36:51 PM

To Senate Ways and Means Committee Members Subject: HB1133 SD1 Hearing Tuesday March 28, at 9:05 AM <!--[if !supportLineBreakNewLine]--> <!--[endif]--> Position: Support

3-26-13

From Jeffrey Parker Pres: Tropical Orchid Farm, Inc. P.O. Box 170 Haiku, HI 96708 808 572 - 8569

Dear Chair Ige and Members,

My Company and I support the repeal of the Public Lands Development Corporation. My understanding is that the original HB1133 would accomplish that.

In my opinion Act 55 was an ill-conceived measure, without thoughtful analysis. It was passed at the last minute without public participation.

The PLDC undermines democracy by overriding existing procedures and safeguards. It cuts out participation by the Public. For example, The PLDC is exempt from LUC oversight. Here on Maui, LUC oversight has been appreciated – for the opportunity it provides citizens to participate.

There is also something offensive about the idea that the DLNR is such a low priority, that we cannot find resources to operate it in our State budget. Have folks forgotten that in Hawaii "the environment IS the economy"? We need to strengthen the DLNR, not weaken it by allowing a Board of ex-realtors to sell off its resources.

I live in a small rural agricultural district intermingled with State lands, within the SMA.. We do not want urban ex-realtors, people with very different values from us, deciding what happens with those lands - while escaping normal County, State SMA, and Court review.

Act 55 must go. Please get rid of it. The correct approach is to completely repeal the PLDC, and start over, NOT to "fix it" or "modify it". Restore the original version of HB1133.

Since there is virtually complete opposition to the PLDC from the public, here is a wonderful opportunity for the Legislature to show the public that their opinions matter. Mahalo Jeffrey Parker

# 

Eric Gill, Financial Secretary-Treasurer

Hernando Ramos Tan, President

Godfrey Maeshiro, Senior Vice-President

Tuesday, March 26, 2013

Senator David Ige – Chair and Committee Members Senate Committee on Ways & Means Hawaii State Legislature State Capitol 415 S. Beretania Street

HB 70, HD 2 SD 1 re: Economic Development

Chair Ige, Vice Chair Kidani and members:

UNITE HERE Local 5, a local labor organization representing nearly 10,000 hotel, health care and food service workers employed throughout our State, would like to offer comments in opposition to HB 70, HD 2 SD 1 that would in part create the "Public-private partnership authority."

We are in agreement with your Committees, we must work diligently to secure our State's economic future, and we must dutifully examine the long-term impact regarding the functionality of State agencies. But we need to address these questions from a more sensible perspective. Why are we moving forward with gambling away our State's long-term economic viability with support for an agency that's so similar to the PLDC?

Our reality is such that our people are being pushed off our islands while so many of us can't afford homes. More and more of our local jobs go to mainland companies while locals struggle to earn a living wage, and that is why alongside other community leaders we launched a new movement called AiKea. AiKea is aimed at encouraging participation in our political system and encapsulates a growing need of putting power back in the hands of working people.

Unfortunately, so many of our members do not have the luxury of being able to attend nor keep-up with the flurry of legislation that comes before the Legislature each session. Yet, we want to be more engaged because we are so deeply concerned about the future of our island community.

Here's what's really happening.

In each of our two largest industries – hotel and health care – we are seeing drastic cuts in good jobs. Permanent jobs that help contribute to our State's tax base. In the last six years alone, and in spite of record profits and record number of visitors to our islands, we've lost over 900 good paying union hotel jobs, and this does not include the hundreds if not thousands of workers who have seen their hours cut. These are permanent jobs with full family health care, a pension, and retiree benefits.

Some things just don't add up. Booming tourism and health care profits, yet less and less jobs each year.

We're losing good jobs to the banks and developers that are seeking to secure even more profits with each new speculative development plan, condo-conversion, time share operation, or new development of limited-service hotels. This is the real problem facing our community. Agencies like the PLDC and the proposed PPPA do not help. They distract and keep us from realizing the more sensible solutions that are worthy of our attention. Billions of dollars are being sucked out of our local economy and the people are paying more than their fair share.

In the hearts and minds of nearly every one of our members the task is quite simple. We need to address meaningful solutions that keep good jobs in our islands. We shouldn't have to create agencies like the PPPA. It's unnecessary.

Hawaii can be a place for us to continue to work, play and raise our families. Hawaii can support a robust tourism industry with good jobs. Hawaii can be economically sustainable, but we must be willing to hold the large banks and developers accountable to our needs. And that is the task that we are most excited about working with you on. For it should come as no surprise that the increasing strain on our State coffers - which has resulted in the debate over the creation of the PLDC and the current "Public-private partnership authority" - and the loss of thousands of good jobs in our number one industry have not come about in isolation of one another.

We need your help in securing what good jobs remain in our islands, rather than move on selling of our futures.

We thank you for allowing us to comment on HB 70 HD 2 SD 1.

Submitted on: 3/26/2013

Testimony for WAM on Mar 28, 2013 09:05AM in Conference Room 211

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Anne Thurston	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments: Strongly oppose.

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Submitted on: 3/26/2013 Testimony for WAM on Mar 28, 2013 09:05AM in Conference Room 211

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
David Hall	Individual	Comments Only	No

Comments: Please do not enact the PPAA. It has similar shortcomings to the PLDC in that it denies sufficient public input on matters that directly affect us all

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

From:	Elizabeth Connors
To:	WAM Testimony
Subject:	Fw: testimony against HB 70 HD2 SD1 and for HB 1133 SD1
Date:	Tuesday, March 26, 2013 8:20:15 PM

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Elizabeth Connors <betsyconnors60@yahoo.com>
To: "WAMtestimony@hawaii.gov" <WAMtestimony@hawaii.gov>
Cc: betsy connors <betsyconnors60@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 8:17 PM
Subject: testimony against HB 70 HD2 SD1 and for HB 1133 SD1

I strongly oppose the PLDC proposition and favor HB 1133 SD1 which will repeal it!

I oppose HB 70 HD2 SD1 which is simply a re-write of the PLDC.

The people have spoken and do not want any end runs around proper land management procedures.

Maintaining the 'aina is a sacred trust not a vehicle for greed.

Elizabeth A. Connors 1220 Lola Place Kailua HI 96734 808 261-8839

From:	Janet Murray
To:	WAM Testimony
Subject:	we oppose the creation of the PPPA
Date:	Wednesday, March 27, 2013 4:21:56 AM

# HB 70 HD2 SD1 creates PPPA We oppose the creation of the PPPA. Janet Murray

Submitted on: 3/26/2013 Testimony for WAM on Mar 28, 2013 09:05AM in Conference Room 211

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
John Bond	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments: I am opposed to HB 70, HD2, SD1 RELATING TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. Part I extends the sunset date of the HTIC from 2013 to 2018 and changes the composition of the HTIC's board of directors. Part II establishes the public-private partnership authority (PPPA); requires the PPPA to initiate pilot projects; appropriates an unspecified sum for the PPPA; and repeals on 07/01/2018. (SD1)

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

From:	mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
To:	WAM Testimony
Cc:	lucialyou@gmail.com
Subject:	Submitted testimony for HB70 on Mar 28, 2013 09:05AM
Date:	Tuesday, March 26, 2013 6:30:09 PM
Attachments:	26.pages

Submitted on: 3/26/2013 Testimony for WAM on Mar 28, 2013 09:05AM in Conference Room 211

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Lucia You	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

#### **SENATE COMMITTEE on WAYS and MEANS**

#### March 28, 2013 Decision-Making Senate Conference Room 211 9:05 AM

#### House Bill 70, HD2, SD1 Relating to Economic Development

#### Comments in STRONG OPPOSITION by M.S. Matson

House Bill 70 SD1 is yet another attempt to dress the Public Land Development Corporation wolf in sheep's clothing under another name. By any other name the Public Land Development Corporation and its exploitation of public lands for private and political purposes is still the thorny, undesirable and unwanted Public Land Development Corporation (PLDC), whether renamed as a Public Private Partnership Corporation (PPPC) or as a Public Private Partnership Authority (PPPA).

Mirroring the PLDC, HB 70, SD1 establishes five (5) PPPA voting board members, with a majority of *only* three (3) political appointees necessary to make decisions on the taking of public agency and public trust lands statewide. This measure enables the PPPA, like the PLDC, to manage, control and develop any public lands (state or county) transferred from any agency in the future for unlimited purposes. So few people taking significant liberties on public land is undemocratic and contrary to the public interest.

This proposal presently designates a mysterious pilot project on consolidated properties in Wahiawa town, four unnamed "county" projects, one undisclosed harbor project, and a film industry project – all supported with major public funding - to be completed prior to additional projects being undertaken to profitably benefit the private development sector. Again, there is no public disclosure of the locations, need or scope of such major pilot projects in this open-ended measure. If these vague concepts have sound and significant public merit to warrant at-risk investment of public monies, they should be considered and deliberated upon separately and openly with full disclosure in accordance with community-based involvement and the full administrative and legislative planning and authorization process.

Clearly, House Bill 70, SD1 is yet another transparent attempt to pull the wool over the public's eyes with the intent to exploit protected public land, whether it be conservation, agricultural, rural or otherwise, for private development profits.

Please HOLD House Bill 70, HD2, and continue forward to Repeal the PLDC without these repeated, transparent and tiresome covert and conspiring tactics contrary to the public interest in a concerted effort to keep the PLDC alive in one form or another, one name or another and one piece or another, whether via SB 215, SB 45 & SB 749, HB 1134, HB 593, SB 751 & HB 567, or HB 70, SD1.

Public Trust in Government is dependent upon the 2013 Legislature's actions.

Submitted on: 3/27/2013 Testimony for WAM on Mar 28, 2013 09:05AM in Conference Room 211

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
MSUchida	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Submitted on: 3/27/2013 Testimony for WAM on Mar 28, 2013 09:05AM in Conference Room 211

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Robert Freitas Jr.	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments: I seriously oppose this bill

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Submitted on: 3/26/2013

Testimony for WAM on Mar 28, 2013 09:05AM in Conference Room 211

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Robin Kaye	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments: Please do NOT pass this bill. It is an end-around the public's strong outcry against PLDC.

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Submitted on: 3/26/2013 Testimony for WAM on Mar 28, 2013 09:05AM in Conference Room 211

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Shannon Rudolph	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments: Aloha! Yes, I understand perfectly what I am opposing. I do not have "PLDC Hysteria", I have researched failed PPP's and find them a danger to our State.

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

From:Sara WittTo:WAM TestimonySubject:HB70 HD2 SD1 Hearing 3/28/13Date:Tuesday, March 26, 2013 11:49:00 PM

I oppose this bill

Respectfully, Sherrian "Sara" Witt

--

sara

From:	Victoria Cannon
To:	WAM Testimony
Subject:	HB 70 HD 2 SD 1
Date:	Tuesday, March 26, 2013 9:30:21 AM

We oppose any attempt to create another PLDC. The same devil, just another name.

Victoria and Trudy Cannon 342-2018