TESTIMONY BEFORE THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

H.B. No. 1999, H.D.2

Relating to Electric Utilities

Thursday, February 20, 2014 1:30 pm State Capitol, Conference Room 308

Kevin M. Katsura Associate General Counsel, Legal Department Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc.

Chair Luke, Vice Chairs Nishimoto and Johanson, and Members of the Committee:

My name is Kevin Katsura and I am testifying on behalf of Hawaiian Electric Company and its subsidiary utilities Maui Electric Company and Hawai'i Electric Light Company in opposition to H.B. 1999, H.D. 2.

This bill establishes a legislative utility oversight task force to conduct a review of the Hawaiian Electric Companies. The issues identified in the bill are more appropriately reviewed by the Public Utilities Commission ("PUC" or "Commission").

The legislature has granted the PUC the powers to extensively review the effectiveness of investor-owned electric public utilities in fulfilling their duties to serve the public interest. (HRS §269-6 - PUC's general powers and duties; HRS §269-7 investigative powers; and HRS §269-15 power to institute proceedings to enforce chapter).

The Commission monitors the Companies' performance on an on-going basis, as the Companies file more than 400 compliance and monitoring reports a year. These reports include Company plans as well as performance and progress in implementing programs, projects and operations (e.g., Annual Service Reliability Reports, Capital Budget Reports, Capital Project Status and Completion Reports, Renewable Portfolio Standards ("RPS") Report, Adequacy of Supply, Integrated Resource Plans ("IRP") and 5-Year Action Plans, Monthly and Annual Financial Statements).

The Companies' performance is also reviewed through PUC proceedings. The following are some examples:

• Rate Cases –Company rate case filings provide very comprehensive information. The Companies provide estimates for expense and capital

expenditures in the test year for all of their regulated operations. The filings also provide very detailed information on their operations to support their estimates. The Commission and the Consumer Advocate conduct an in-depth review of this information and require the Companies to respond to numerous information requests. In the Hawaiian Electric 2011 rate case, the Company responded to more than 500 information requests (not counting subparts).

- Reliability Standards ("RSWG") (Docket No. 2011-0206) The Commission is examining the implementation of reliability standards for the service territories of the Hawaiian Electric Companies
- Decoupling Investigation (Docket No. 2013-0141) The Commission has ordered the utilities to publish performance metrics on its website this year.
- IRP (Docket No. 2012-0036) The Commission is evaluating the Companies' most recently filed IRP plans, as it does for each cycle of IRP plans.

In addition, the issues of the future role of investor-owned electric public utilities in the State, including whether the function of these utilities should be limited to the provisions of transmission and distribution services and the applicability and nature of the regulatory compact with respect to electric utilities in Hawaii in light of industry changes and other relevant findings, are also more appropriately reviewed by the PUC.

The legal status, procedures and protocols under which utility regulation operates have credibility that provides assurance to investors, large and small – assurance they need before investing billions to support capital projects that benefit utility customers. In utility cases, regulators use expert witnesses, due process, cross-examination, public appearances, written opinions with full explanations, and accountability to the judicial system -- professional and transparent, each reinforcing the other. All of these features are designed to make the regulator independent and objective, resulting in decisions that are equitable in the public interest.

Moreover, the legislature's review is premature. Last year, the legislature passed Act 37, Sessions Laws of the State of Hawaii 2013, which authorized the PUC to "establish a policy to implement economic incentives and cost recovery regulatory mechanisms, as necessary and appropriate, to induce and accelerate

electric utilities' cost reduction efforts, encourage greater utilization of renewable energy, accelerate the retirement of utility fossil generation, and increase investments to modernize the State's electrical grids."

Finally, the Companies would like to offer the following comments:

(1) The franchises provided to the Hawaiian Electric Companies do not grant exclusive rights for the provision of electric service to customers;

(2) Uncertainty brought about by a change to the utilities' franchise could have the unintended consequence of lowering a utility's bond rating which could ultimately affect the cost to attract of the capital needed to support projects that benefit utility customers. This higher cost of capital would ultimately result in higher bills for utility customers, and jeopardize the ability of independent power producers, which rely on the Companies' credit, to obtain financing for their renewable energy projects;

(3) The Companies also are fully aware that the price of electricity in Hawai'i has increased significantly in the past several years, driven largely by higher fuel oil prices. Three components of our bill that are affected by higher oil prices, fuel, purchased power and taxes make up roughly 75% of our customer's bills.



HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC OAHU AVERAGE RATE PER

¹ Hawaiian Electric Oahu average rate per customer Aggressive actions like the Companies' effort to sharply reduce purchased power costs through waiver projects (e.g., Hawaiian Electric seeking PUC permission to negotiate contracts with 9 grid-connected renewable projects at an average cost of 15.8 cents per kilowatt-hour totaling more than 240 megawatts) and to bring liquefied natural gas ("LNG") to Hawaii are among the actions we are taking on our own initiative to reduce costs to customers.

(4) The Companies' IRP action plans and strategic plans are focused on (1) reducing the utilities' cost to generate, transmit, and distribute power, (2) providing customers with information to enable better choices regarding their energy use; and (3) facilitating customers' ability to generate their own power using rooftop photovoltaics.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify.

FIN-Jo

From:	mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov		
Sent:	Tuesday, February 18, 2014 11:19 PM		
То:	FINTestimony		
Cc:	higa.noah@gmail.com		
Subject:	Submitted testimony for HB1999 on Feb 20, 2014 13:30PM		
Attachments:	Testimony for HB1999.docx		

<u>HB1999</u>

Submitted on: 2/18/2014 Testimony for FIN on Feb 20, 2014 13:30PM in Conference Room 308

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Noah	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

TESTIMONY OF HERMINA MORITA CHAIR, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE STATE OF HAWAII TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE



FEBRUARY 20, 2014 1:30 p.m.

MEASURE: H.B. No. 1999, H.D. 2 TITLE: Relating to Electric Utilities

Chair Luke and Members of the Committee:

DESCRIPTION:

This measure would convene a legislative utility review task force ("Utility Task Force") for the purpose of reviewing existing franchises held by investor-owned electric public utilities in the State to ensure that these utilities are adequately serving the public. The measure lists issues to be addressed by the Utility Task Force as a framework for the review. The measure further requires that, in the course of its review, the Utility Task Force meet publicly and seek input from the public, the Public Utilities Commission ("Commission"), and the Consumer Advocate. This measure also notes that the powers granted to the Utility Task Force are limited to legislative review and recommendation, and shall not duplicate the powers and duties of the Commission.

POSITION:

The Commission supports the intent of this measure, but it is concerned with the lack of 1) funding to acquire the expertise necessary to advise the Utility Task Force on the business of electric utilities and 2) a clear framework within which to review franchises. The Commission would like to offer the following comments for the Committee's consideration.

COMMENTS:

With new technologies and changing customer expectations, the premise of the regulatory compact – that the utility provides all service requirements and that customers

H.B. No. 1999, H.D. 2 Page 2

purchase all or most of their service requirements from the utility – has eroded. The proliferation of distributed generation, independent power producers, and other advancements in the energy sector have led to a far more complicated electric system than that which existed when Hawaii's electric utility franchises were originally granted, affecting the regulatory compact.¹ The Commission previously testified that in light of the changing landscape and complexity of the modern electric system, a review of the fundamental electric utility-customer relationship is warranted.²

However, the Commission would like to caution that a review absent proper expertise and a transparent and well-structured process could create uncertainty that negatively affects the electric utility's financial position. If the Legislature chooses to proceed, it must ensure that 1) proper resources are budgeted and allocated to obtain the required expertise on the subject matter, and 2) that the review is based on a framework that clearly establishes the elements of the franchise that will be reviewed, the criteria that will be used to evaluate those elements, and the procedures to be followed in making the evaluation.

While the Commission may assist the Legislature in its review, please note that given the heavy workload of the Commission and the Consumer Advocate, many other priorities identified by the Commission or timetables for mandated statutory programs priorities may need to be readjusted to make this accommodation to assist the Legislature.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.

¹Since 2005, the Legislature has increased the number of statutory exceptions to the definition of "public utility" under HRS § 269-1 at least four times, each addition encompassing a new exception for an energy-related operation. See Act 164, Session Laws of Hawaii 2005; see also Act 156, Session Laws of Hawaii 2009; see also Act 9, Session Laws of Hawaii 2011; see also Act 261, Session Laws of Hawaii 2013.

²See Testimony of Hermina Morita, Chair, Public Utilities Commission, Department of Budget and Finance of the State of Hawaii to the House Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce, H.B. No. 1999, H.D. 1, Relating to Electric Utilities, February 10, 2014.



MALAMA I KA HONUA Cherish the Earth

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

February 20, 2014, 1:30 P.M. (*Testimony is 3 pages long*)



TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF HB 1999 HD2 WITH PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

Aloha Chair Luke and Members of the Committee:

The Sierra Club of Hawai'i, with over 12,000 dues paying members and supporters statewide, *supports* HB 1999 HD2. This measure asserts legislative control over the licensing of franchises held by the current utilities.

The rise of distributed renewable energy is causing a national discussion about the future of the utility business model. The industry's own think tank, the Edison Electric Institute, recognized this with a white paper "Disruptive Challenges: Financial Implications and Strategic Responses to a Changing Retail Electric Business". Vigorous debates about the future of the utility are occurring in many states, including Arizona and California.

While we firmly believe there is a role for an electrical utility in Hawai'i's future, the business model of yesterday may no longer work for tomorrow. This measure gives the Legislature the opportunity to reexamine the utility business structure and longterm plan and ascertain if there may be better entities that can serve the needs of Hawai'i's residents. We suggest it may be worthwhile to also direct the PUC to investigate and report back to the Legislative Task Force.

The utility model is a regulatory compact. If our existing utilities are not adequately serving Hawai'i's customers, this legislature has an obligation to pursue other options.

Mahalo for the opportunity to testify.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS:

SECTION 3:

Chapter 269, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended by adding a new section to part VII to be appropriately designated and to read as follows:

"§269 Utility Business Models for the Hawaii energy

markets. (a) The public utilities commission shall investigate various types of business models for electric utilities, including existing models in Hawaii and the United States energy markets, or other nations as appropriate.

(b) In investigating utility business models, the public utilities commission may consider:

- (1) Existing published analysis and articles;
- (2) Different models being employed, such as municipalities, cooperatives, regional transmission organizations, among others;
- (3) The potential impact of continuing decreases in the cost of self-generation of electricity;
- (4) The potential impact of significantly lower cost of electricity and energy storage;
- (5) The origins of the regulated grid and basis for that model;

PO Box 2577, Honolulu, Hawaiʻi 96803 | 808-538-6616 | hawaii.chapter@sierraclub.org | sierraclubhawaii.com Emailed correspondence reduces paper waste. If you do print this letter, please recycle. Mahalo.

- (6) Case studies of transformation or distressed business models in other service areas, such as Marin County, California; Arizona, Boulder, Colorado, and others that the public utilities commission finds instructive;
- (7) Other issues the public utilities commission deems appropriate.

(c) The public utilities commission shall provide a report to the legislature by 1 January 2015.

SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.

Mahalo for the opportunity to testify.

TESTIMONY OF HERMINA MORITA CHAIR, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE STATE OF HAWAII TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE



FEBRUARY 20, 2014 1:30 p.m.

MEASURE: H.B. No. 1999, H.D. 2 TITLE: Relating to Electric Utilities

Chair Luke and Members of the Committee:

DESCRIPTION:

This measure would convene a legislative utility review task force ("Utility Task Force") for the purpose of reviewing existing franchises held by investor-owned electric public utilities in the State to ensure that these utilities are adequately serving the public. The measure lists issues to be addressed by the Utility Task Force as a framework for the review. The measure further requires that, in the course of its review, the Utility Task Force meet publicly and seek input from the public, the Public Utilities Commission ("Commission"), and the Consumer Advocate. This measure also notes that the powers granted to the Utility Task Force are limited to legislative review and recommendation, and shall not duplicate the powers and duties of the Commission.

POSITION:

The Commission supports the intent of this measure, but it is concerned with the lack of 1) funding to acquire the expertise necessary to advise the Utility Task Force on the business of electric utilities and 2) a clear framework within which to review franchises. The Commission would like to offer the following comments for the Committee's consideration.

COMMENTS:

With new technologies and changing customer expectations, the premise of the regulatory compact – that the utility provides all service requirements and that customers

H.B. No. 1999, H.D. 2 Page 2

purchase all or most of their service requirements from the utility – has eroded. The proliferation of distributed generation, independent power producers, and other advancements in the energy sector have led to a far more complicated electric system than that which existed when Hawaii's electric utility franchises were originally granted, affecting the regulatory compact.¹ The Commission previously testified that in light of the changing landscape and complexity of the modern electric system, a review of the fundamental electric utility-customer relationship is warranted.²

However, the Commission would like to caution that a review absent proper expertise and a transparent and well-structured process could create uncertainty that negatively affects the electric utility's financial position. If the Legislature chooses to proceed, it must ensure that 1) proper resources are budgeted and allocated to obtain the required expertise on the subject matter, and 2) that the review is based on a framework that clearly establishes the elements of the franchise that will be reviewed, the criteria that will be used to evaluate those elements, and the procedures to be followed in making the evaluation.

While the Commission may assist the Legislature in its review, please note that given the heavy workload of the Commission and the Consumer Advocate, many other priorities identified by the Commission or timetables for mandated statutory programs priorities may need to be readjusted to make this accommodation to assist the Legislature.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.

¹Since 2005, the Legislature has increased the number of statutory exceptions to the definition of "public utility" under HRS § 269-1 at least four times, each addition encompassing a new exception for an energy-related operation. See Act 164, Session Laws of Hawaii 2005; see also Act 156, Session Laws of Hawaii 2009; see also Act 9, Session Laws of Hawaii 2011; see also Act 261, Session Laws of Hawaii 2013.

²See Testimony of Hermina Morita, Chair, Public Utilities Commission, Department of Budget and Finance of the State of Hawaii to the House Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce, H.B. No. 1999, H.D. 1, Relating to Electric Utilities, February 10, 2014.



NEIL ABERCROMBIE GOVERNOR

SHAN S. TSUTSUI LT. GOVERNOR

STATE OF HAWAII OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS

335 MERCHANT STREET, ROOM 310 P.O. Box 541 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809 Phone Number: 586-2850 Fax Number: 586-2856 www.hawaii.gov/dcca KEALI`I S. LOPEZ DIRECTOR

JO ANN M. UCHIDA TAKEUCHI DEPUTY DIRECTOR

TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

THE TWENTY-SEVENTH LEGISLATURE REGULAR SESSION OF 2014

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 20, 2014 1:30 P.M.

TESTIMONY OF JEFFREY T. ONO, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS, TO THE HONORABLE SYLVIA LUKE, CHAIR, AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE

HOUSE BILL NO. 1999, H.D. 2 - RELATING TO ELECTRIC UTILITIES

DESCRIPTION:

This measure seeks to establish the Legislative Utility Oversight Task Force to review franchises held by investor-owned electric utilities.

POSITION:

The Division of Consumer Advocacy supports the intent of H.B. No. 1999, H.D. 2 but offers the following comments.

COMMENTS:

The Consumer Advocate appreciates the intent of the H.D.2, which is proposing to regularly evaluate investor-owned utility companies to "ensure that these utilities are adequately providing services that serve the public." All utility companies should be

House Bill No. 1999, H.D. 2 House Committee on Finance February 20, 2014, 1:30 p.m. Page 2

held accountable for their duty to provide safe, reliable, high quality utility services at affordable rates.

Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS") § 269-7 confers upon the Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") the power and authority to investigate a wide range of matters related to public utilities including, but not limited to: the manner in which the utility is operated with reference to safety or accommodation of the public, the fares and rates charged by the utility, compliance with all applicable state and federal laws and with the provisions of its franchise, charter, and articles of association, if any, and all matters of every nature affecting the relations and transactions between the utility and the public.

The Consumer Advocate recognizes that H.D. 2 provides that the powers of the task force shall be limited to legislative review and will not be duplicative of the powers and duties of the Commission. The Consumer Advocate appreciates the legislature's desire to hold the HECO Companies accountable to their franchise by creating this task force, although much of the work of the task force may be duplicative of what the Public Utilities Commission is already doing. The Consumer Advocate will, however, provide the appropriate support to the task force upon request.

Finally, H.D. 2 appears to have deleted the requirement that the task force submit a written report to the legislature prior to the convening of the 2015 regular session of the legislature. H.D. 2 does not make it clear how long the task force would remain in existence, how long any investigation will take, or how the task force's investigation will be concluded.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify.