STAND. COM. REP. NO. 323

Honolulu, Hawaii

FEB 1 4 2013

RE: S.B. No. 870 S.D. 1

Honorable Donna Mercado Kim President of the Senate Twenty-Seventh State Legislature Regular Session of 2013 State of Hawaii

Madam:

Your Committee on Judiciary and Labor, to which was referred S.B. No. 870 entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO USE OF FORCE BY PERSONS WITH SPECIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR CARE, DISCIPLINE, OR SAFETY OF OTHERS,"

begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose and intent of this measure is to place limitations on the parental discipline defense while maintaining a parent's general right to safeguard and promote the welfare of a child by:

- Specifying types of force that are presumed unjustifiable; and
- (2) Altering the state of mind requirement with respect to other types of force to impose a requirement that the force used does not intentionally, knowingly, recklessly, or negligently create a risk of causing substantial bodily injury, disfigurement, extreme pain or mental distress, or neurological damage.

Your Committee received testimony in support of this measure from the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu; Office of the Prosecuting Attorney, County of Kaua'i; and IMUA Alliance. Testimony in opposition to this measure was submitted by the Office of the Public Defender.

2013-1080 SSCR SMA.doc 

STAND. COM. REP. NO. **322** Page 2

Your Committee finds that the parental discipline defense is intended to limit the amount of force that parents and guardians can legally use in disciplining their children to the extent that the force is reasonable or moderate. However, according to the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney of the City and County of Honolulu, courts and attorneys have struggled with properly interpreting and applying the parental discipline defense. This measure clarifies the parental discipline defense by specifying the types of force that are not appropriate for the purpose of safeguarding or promoting the welfare of a minor.

Your Committee notes the concerns raised by the Office of the Public Defender with respect to the vagueness in applying the limitations to the parental discipline defense, which may potentially cause confusion among litigants in court. Furthermore, creating an irrebuttable presumption relating to certain types of force takes the decisions away from the trier of fact and adds an element of strict liability to the defense. The Office testified that the decision on whether a form of corporal punishment is permissible under the parental discipline defense should be appropriately made by a jury.

In balancing the need to clarify the parental discipline defense with the concern that creating an irrebuttable presumption may result in unintended legal consequences, your Committee further finds that this measure should be amended to create a rebuttable presumption regarding the specified types of physical force. A rebuttable presumption gives particular effect to certain group of facts in absence of further evidence and shifts the burden of proof to the defendant to show evidence to contradict the facts presumed. Your Committee believes that the types of force specified under this measure merit further discussion as this measure moves through the legislative process.

Accordingly, your Committee has amended this measure by:

- Establishing a rebuttable presumption that specified types of physical force when used to discipline minors are not justified;
- (2) Inserting an effective date of July 1, 2050, to encourage further discussion; and
- (3) Making technical, nonsubstantive amendments for the purposes of clarity and consistency.



STAND. COM. REP. NO. 322 Page 3

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committee on Judiciary and Labor that is attached to this report, your Committee is in accord with the intent and purpose of S.B. No. 870, as amended herein, and recommends that it pass Second Reading in the form attached hereto as S.B. No. 870, S.D. 1, and be placed on the calendar for Third Reading.

2013-1080 SSCR SMA.doc

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the members of the Committee on Judiciary and Labor

CLAYTON HEE, Chair

## The Senate Twenty-Seventh Legislature State of Hawai'i

## Record of Votes Committee on Judiciary and Labor JDL

Bill / Resolution No.:*	Committee Referral:			Date:	
SB 870	JDL			01-30-2013	
The committee is reconsidering its previous decision on this measure.					
If so, then the previous decision was to:					
The Recommendation is:					
Pass, unamended Pass, with amendments Hold Recommit 2312 2311 2310 2313					
Members		Aye	Aye (WR)	Nay	Excused
HEE, Clayton (C)		~		-	
SHIMABUKURO, Maile S.L. (VC)		/			
GABBARD, Mike		$\checkmark$			
IHARA, Jr., Les					
SLOM, Sam					
		1997 - A.			
		<u> </u>		· · ·	
······································					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOTAL		4	1		
Recommendation:					k
Chair's or Designee's Signature:					
Distribution: Original File with Committee R	Yellow eport Clerk's Office		Pink Drafting Agend		

\*Only one measure per Record of Votes