## A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO EDUCATION.

### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1	PART I
2	SECTION 1. Research studies consistently demonstrate that
3	investing in the effectiveness of our teachers is the most
4	productive means to increase student achievement and ensure that
5	students are prepared to either continue their education beyond
6	high school or engage in careers of their choice. The State's
7	greatest investment in public education is the quality of
8	personnel in the department of education. Maximizing the impact
9	of this human capital investment is the greatest point of
10	leverage Hawaii has to increase student learning and growth to
11	improve public education outcomes for our children and the
12	State. The legislature finds that the department of education
13	must accelerate its efforts to increase the effectiveness of the
14	State's teachers and educational officers.
15	In 2010, the United States Department of Education awarded
16	the State a \$74,934,761 race to the top grant. The State's race
17	to the top plan and the department of education's strategic plan
18	include targeted initiatives to develop great teachers and great
	SB2789 HD1 HMS 2012-3015

- 1 leaders to the benefit of Hawaii's students. The legislature
- 2 endorses the State's race to the top framework to accomplish
- 3 these improvements in educator effectiveness, described in the
- 4 State's race to the top plan, which outlines clear principles
- 5 and policy actions and sets a timetable to implement a new
- 6 performance management evaluation system and related human
- 7 resource management support.
- 8 Aligned with the State's race to the top commitments, the
- 9 department of education has developed and begun a two-year pilot
- 10 effort to establish a performance management system that will
- 11 cultivate highly effective teachers and principals through
- 12 recruitment, evaluation, support, tenure, and compensation.
- 13 Based on an evaluation of the pilot project, including feedback
- 14 from pilot participants, the great teachers great leaders task
- 15 force, and technical experts, the department of education will
- 16 implement a new evaluation system for teachers statewide
- 17 beginning July 1, 2013.
- 18 The performance management system will keep teaching and
- 19 student learning at the heart of teacher and educational officer
- 20 evaluations and link educator effectiveness to the facilitation
- 21 of student learning and growth. Hawaii's educators deserve a
- 22 high quality evaluation system that provides the tools they need

- 1 to continuously improve their teaching and leadership to advance
- 2 student learning. To accomplish this, the evaluations must be
- 3 fair, transparent, timely, rigorous, and valid.
- 4 The purpose of this part, therefore, is to require the
- 5 department of education to establish a performance management
- 6 system that includes an evaluation component and supports the
- 7 development of highly effective educators.
- 8 SECTION 2. Section 302A-1004, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 9 amended by amending subsection (a) to read as follows:
- 10 "(a) The department shall implement a comprehensive system
- 11 of educational accountability to motivate and support the
- 12 improved performance of students and the education system. This
- 13 accountability system shall:
- 14 (1) Include student accountability; school or collective
- 15 accountability; individual professional accountability
- for teachers, principals, and other employees; and
- 17 public accounting to parents, community members,
- 18 businesses, higher education, media, and political
- 19 leadership;
- 20 (2) Link authority and resources to responsibility;
- 21 (3) Define clear roles for all parties and lines of
- responsibility and mutual obligation and develop a

•		corraborative process with stakehorders, including
2		representatives of appropriate bargaining units,
3		parents, administration, and students;
4	(4)	Assess and track measures of academic achievement[ $_{\tau}$
5		safety and well being, and civic responsibility] of
6	·	individual students at selected grade levels and
7		report trend data on these measures over time
8		annually;
9	(5)	Invoke a full and balanced set of appropriate
10		consequences for observed performance, including
11		rewards and recognition for those schools, teachers,
12		and educational officers that meet or exceed their
13		goals, assistance to those that fall short, and
14		sanctions for those that, given adequate assistance
15		and [ample] time, continue to fail to meet goals;
16	(6)	Involve an annual statewide assessment program that
17		provides a report card containing trend data on
18		school, school complex, and system performance at
19		selected benchmark grade levels with performance
20		indicators in areas relating to student achievement[-
21		safety and well-being, and civic responsibility].

7		THES	e performance indicators sharr include but not be
2		limi	ted to:
3		(A)	Student performance relative to statewide content
4			and performance standards[; and] including gaps
5			in achievement between all students and student
6			groups persistently achieving at lower levels;
7		(B)	School attendance and dropout rates;
8		<u>(C)</u>	High school completion and graduation rates, and
9			number of graduates that met benchmarks for
10			college and career readiness; and
11		(D)	Rates of students entering and persisting in
12			postsecondary education and training;
13	(7)	Requ	ire that teachers and administrators engage in the
14		cont	inuous professional growth and development that
15		ensu	re their currency with respect to disciplinary
16		cont	ent, leadership skill, knowledge, or pedagogical
17		skil	l, as appropriate to their position. This
18		requ	irement may be established by the department in
19		term	s of credit hours earned or their equivalent in
20		prof	essional development activity certified by the
21		depa:	rtment as appropriate in focus and rigor;

1	(8)	Establish an explicit link between professional
2		evaluation results and individual accountability
3		through professional development of the knowledge,
4		skill, and professional behavior necessary to the
5		position, by requiring that results of the
6		professional evaluation be used by the department to
7		prescribe professional development focus and content,
8		as appropriate;
9	(9)	Include an annual statewide fiscal accountability
10		program, which includes a published report card that
11		contains trend data on school, school complex, and
12		systemwide plans and results, including:
13		(A) Amounts allocated;
14		(B) Amounts expended;
15		(C) Amounts carried over; and
16		(D) Any significant changes to the budget, with an
17		explanation for the change; and
18	(10)	[Include an evaluation of the effectiveness of complex
19		area superintendents and principals in supporting:
20		(A) Students' academic achievement, safety and well
21		being, and civic responsibility; and

1	(B) The satisfaction of stakeholders affected by the
2	work of the complex area superintendents and
3	principals, which may be measured by broadbased
4	<del>surveys; and</del>
5	(C) Fiscal accountability.
6	Include a consistent performance management program
7	that shall include an evaluation of educational
8	officers and teachers to provide information necessary
9	to improve professional practice and leadership on a
10	consistent and continuing basis in order to
11	successfully facilitate student learning and growth.
12	The evaluation shall:
13	(A) Be performed at least once in each school year;
14	(B) Result in an annual rating of each employee's
15	performance; and
16	(C) Be based on professional practice and
17	contribution to student learning and growth;
18	provided that student learning and growth shall
19	be:
20	(i) Determined through the use of multiple
21	measures; provided that it shall not be

1		based on a single standardized test score;
2		and
3	<u>(ii)</u>	Included as a significant factor toward the
4		annual rating.
5	The depar	tment shall define evaluation criteria,
6	assign re	sponsibilities for the application of the
7	criteria,	including training for those responsible for
8	<u>conductin</u>	g evaluations, and identify uses for
9	<u>evaluatio</u>	n results. The board shall determine
10	<u>evaluatio</u>	n categories, ratings, and labels. The board
11	may estab	lish evaluation rating categories that are
12	equivalen	t to those provided in this chapter,
13	including	"exemplary" and "satisfactory"."
14	SECTION 3. Sec	ction 302A-638, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
15	repealed.	
16	[" <del>[\$302A-638]</del>	Evaluation of teachers and educational
17	officers. The depart	rtment shall establish an evaluation program
18	for all teachers and	d educational officers. The evaluation shall
19	be performed at leas	st once in each school year. The program
20	shall define the cr	iteria for evaluation and assign
21	responsibilities for	r the application of the criteria. The
22	evaluation of a tead	cher or educational officer shall be on the
	SB2789 HD1 HMS 2012	-3015 

- 1 basis of efficiency, ability, and such other criteria as the
- 2 department shall determine."]
- 3 SECTION 4. The evaluation of principals required under
- 4 this Act shall be implemented no later than December 31, 2012.
- 5 The evaluation of teachers and educational officers other than
- 6 principals required under this Act shall be implemented no later
- 7 than July 1, 2013.
- 8 SECTION 5. The department of education shall submit to the
- 9 legislature its findings, including the status of the
- 10 performance management system required by this Act, actions
- 11 taken by the board of education and department with respect to
- 12 the performance management system, and proposed legislation, if
- 13 any, no later than twenty days prior to the convening of the
- 14 regular sessions of 2013 and 2014.
- 15 PART II
- 16 SECTION 6. The legislature finds that Hawaii is one state
- 17 among many addressing tenure in response to federal education
- 18 reform guidelines and articles in The New York Times, Time
- 19 magazine, USA Today, and newspapers across the country reveal
- 20 the intensity of the tenure debate. As of August 2011, eighteen
- 21 state legislatures have modified various elements of their
- 22 tenure or continuing contract policies, with a majority of

SB2789 HD1 HMS 2012-3015

# S.B. NO. S.D. 2

- 1 states requiring a probationary period of three to five years.
- 2 Many states have also integrated performance evaluations in
- 3 their tenure statutes, tying teacher impact on student outcomes
- 4 to the attainment of tenure.
- 5 The legislature finds that while it is imperative to
- 6 maintain the job security that teacher tenure represents, it is
- 7 equally imperative to modify tenure requirements in order to
- 8 implement any meaningful education reform in the State.
- 9 Specifically, the legislature finds that the current two-year
- 10 probationary period required of teachers prior to attaining
- 11 tenured status is too short a window in which to adequately
- 12 prepare teachers and assess whether an individual teacher is
- 13 effective. Accordingly, the purpose of this part is to require
- 14 that all teachers entering the service of the department of
- 15 education for the first time serve a minimum probationary period
- 16 of three years prior to obtaining tenure.
- 17 SECTION 7. Chapter 302A, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 18 amended by adding a new section to be appropriately designated
- 19 and to read as follows:
- 20 "§302A- Probationary period of employment. All
- 21 teachers entering the service of the department for the first

1	time shal	l serve as probationary employees of the department for
2	a minimum	period of three consecutive years; provided that:
3	(1)	The consecutive employment may be interrupted by
4		maternity leave, sick leave, or any other leave
5		approved by the department not exceeding a period of
6		three years; by military leave not exceeding a period
7		of five years; or by termination or nonrenewal of the
8		probationary employment contract because of a decrease
9		in the number of pupils or for causes over which the
10		department has no control, for a period between
11		employment not to exceed five years, without loss of
12		credit for the period of probationary employment; and
13	(2)	At or prior to the end of the three-year period of
14		probation, the department may extend the probationary
15		period of a teacher for additional periods not to
16		exceed a total probationary period of five years."
17		PART III
18	SECT	ION 8. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
19	and stric	cen. New statutory material is underscored.
20	SECT	ION 9. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2050.

#### Report Title:

Public Schools; Education

### Description:

Requires teachers first entering Department of Education employment to serve a probationary period of three years prior to attaining tenure. Provides the Department the directive, means, and flexibility to establish a performance management system that includes an evaluation of teachers and educational officers. Effective July 1, 2050. (SB2789 HD1)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.