TESTIMONY BY WESLEY K. MACHIDA
ADMINISTRATOR, EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
STATE OF HAWAII
TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
ON
SENATE BILL NO. 1269, S.D. 2, H.D. 1

MARCH 31, 2011

RELATING TO THE DEFINITION OF COMPENSATION FOR PURPOSES
OF THE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Chair Oshiro and Members of the Committee:

S.B. 1269, S.D. 2, H.D. 1 amends the definition of "compensation" for eligible service beginning on July 1, 2011 for the purpose of calculating retirement benefits. The ERS Board of Trustees has not had an opportunity to review the changes made to this bill through House Draft 1, and accordingly, does not have a position on this change. These changes, for services provided after June 30, 2011, appear to reinstate the overtime required by the employer, shortage differentials, elective salary reduction contributions, and 12-month differentials for employees of the Department of Education. Still excluded from the definition of compensation are bonuses and lump sum salary supplements, overtime not required by the employer, and differentials other than by reason of employee shortages.

The ERS is not currently provided with information on the bonuses and lump sum salary supplements, overtime not required by the employer, and differentials other than shortage differentials, and therefore, we are not able to determine the estimated savings from this change. However based on discussions with certain employers, this bill is not anticipated to generate significant savings or a significant positive impact on the unfunded actuarial accrued liability as most overtime is approved by the employer.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this important measure.

# TESTIMONY BY KALBERT K. YOUNG DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE STATE OF HAWAII TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE ON SENATE BILL NO. 1269, S.D. 2, H.D. 1

March 31, 2011

RELATING TO THE DEFINITION OF COMPENSATION FOR PURPOSES OF THE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Senate Bill No. 1269, S.D. 2, H.D. 1, establishes provisions for compensation to an employee or officer for Employees' Retirement System service after June 30, 2011.

The Department of Budget and Finance supports the concept of this measure; however, we strongly recommend that the original language of Senate Bill No. 1269 (see attachment), which would exclude overtime, bonuses, lump sum salary supplements, and differentials for the purpose of calculating retirement benefits from July 1, 2011, be reinserted in defining compensation. If the original language of Senate Bill No. 1269 is not reinserted in defining compensation, we recommend that the following language be deleted from page 2, lines 9 and 10, item (b) (1), "and additional payments for any time worked that is required by the employer."

As provided in previous testimony, changing the definition of compensation to only include base pay, to be effective July 1, 2011, is estimated to save the State approximately \$13.2 million in Fiscal Year 2012 and save the counties approximately \$19.0 million. In addition, the Employees' Retirement System's unfunded liability is estimated to be reduced by at least \$500 million.

Thus, Senate Bill No. 1269, as originally proposed, will produce a near-term benefit of reducing the public employers' annual contributions, and a long-term benefit of reducing the unfunded liability, thereby improving the long-term viability of the Employees' Retirement System.

Attachment

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO THE DEFINITION OF COMPENSATION FOR PURPOSES OF THE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM.

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 88-21.5, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 2 amended to read as follows:
- 3 "§88-21.5 Employee contributions. [Unless] (a) For
- 4 service before July 1, 2011, unless a different meaning is
- 5 plainly required by context, as used in this part,
- 6 "compensation" means normal periodic payments of money for
- 7 service the right to which accrues on a regular basis in
- 8 proportion to the service performed; overtime, differentials,
- 9 and supplementary payments; bonuses and lump sum salary
- 10 supplements; and elective salary reduction contributions under
- sections 125, 403(b), and 457(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of
- 12 1986, as amended. Bonuses and lump sum salary supplements shall
- 13 be deemed earned when payable; provided that bonuses or lump sum
- 14 salary supplements in excess of one-twelfth of compensation for
- 15 the twelve months prior to the month in which the bonus or lump
- 16 sum salary supplement is payable, exclusive of overtime,
- 17 bonuses, and lump sum salary supplements, shall be deemed
- 18 earned:

# S.B. NO. 1269

1	(1) During the period agreed-upon by the employer and
2	employee, but in any event over a period of not less
3	than twelve months; or
4	(2) In the absence of an agreement between the employer
5	and the employee, over the twelve months prior to the
6	date on which the bonus or lump sum salary supplement
7	is payable.
8	(b) For service after June 30, 2011, unless a different
9	meaning is plainly required by context, as used in this part,
10	"compensation" means normal periodic payments of money for
11	services performed, the right to which accrues on an hourly,
12	daily, monthly, or annual basis; shortage differentials; and
13	elective salary reduction contributions under sections 125,
14	403(b), and 457(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as
15	amended. "Compensation" does not include any other additional
16	or extra payments to an employee or officer, including, but not
17	limited to, overtime, bonuses, lump sum salary supplements,
18	allowances, or differentials, including, but not limited to,
19	differentials for stand-by duty, temporary unusual work hazards
20	compression differentials, or temporary differentials."
21	SECTION 2. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
22	and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
23	SECTION 3. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2011;
24	provided that with respect to individuals who became members of

. 4

5

# S.B. NO. 1269

- 1 the employees' retirement system of the State of Hawaii before
- 2 July 1, 2011, the member's benefits accrued up to June 30, 2011,
- 3 shall not be diminished or impaired.

INTRODUCED BY:

BY REQUEST

#### Report Title:

Employees' Retirement System; Calculation of Retirement Benefits

#### Description:

Amends the definition of "compensation" for the purpose of calculating retirement benefits.



1200 Ala Kapuna Street L Honolulu, Hawali 96819 Tel: (808) 833-2711 C Fax: (308) 839-7106 L Web: www.hsta.org

> WII Okabe President Karolyn Mossman Vice President Joan Kamila Lewis Secretary-Treasurer Alvin Nagasako Executive Director

## TESTIMONY BEFORE THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

RE: SB 1269, SD2, HD1 -- RELATING TO THE DEFINITION OF COMPENSATION FOR PURPOSES OF THE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM.

THURSDAY, MARCH 31, 2011

WIL OKABE, PRESIDENT HAWAII STATE TEACHERS ASSOCIATION

Chair Oshiro and Members of the Committee:

The Hawaii State Teachers Association supports SB 1269, SD2, HD1, as amended, which establishes provisions for compensations to employees for the ERS service.

HSTA believes that members' accrued benefits should not be diminished or impaired. We further believe employees compensated for services performed shall be deemed earned and should be included in their retirement calculations towards their pension.

Therefore, HSTA supports SB 1269, SD2, HD1, as amended.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

# The Twenty-Sixth Legislature, State of Hawaii House of Representatives Committee on Finance

#### March 25, 2011

### [SB 1269] – RELATING TO THE DEFINITION OF COMPENSATION FOR PURPOSES OF THE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Chair Marcus Oshiro, Vice-Chair Marilyn Lee, and members of the Committee on Finance.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my thoughts in opposition of SB 1269., which changes the way our retirement benefits are calculated.

I have been following the progression of this bill through the various steps, doing my best to understand both sides of this issue, and the language of the bill. Although I know that the ERS is underfunded and in trouble, this was party do to the fact that monies in the fund was used by the legislature to "balance" other areas of our state government for many decades. But now, if these changes become effective, it now becomes the employee's responsibility to help correct the problems.

I am a member of the "old" retirement system that requires me to contribute 7.8% of my earned income, ALL of my income, which includes night differential, charge differential, and any overtime I was required to performed in order to do my job.

I have worked now for close to 3 decades as a staff RN at MMMC, most of which were on the night shift. A shift that pays a small differential to compensate for the difficult strain working all night long day after day, year after year creates. A job that requires you to work not only throughout the night, but on holidays, and overtime to care for the people's health when there was no one else to provide this vital service.

What a slap in the face to say these parts of my pay are now in jeopardy of not being counted to compute what I will received when I retire.

Please consider some different ways to make the system more stable. Can the percentage contributed be raised? Why is it that members of the "old" retirement system contribute 7.8% but the hybrid member just 6%. I would even consider contributing up to 10% of my total income. To change the way the computation is figured for the membership who especially are too close to retirement to make adequate arrangements to cover the change is too much to bear.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide my thoughts in opposition of SB 1269.

Respectfully submitted,

Ellen Prais