STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 869 PUNCHBOWL STREET HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-5097

February 2, 2011

## TESTIMONY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### HOUSE BILL NO. 1101

### COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

**The Department of Transportation supports House Bill No. 1101.** House Bill No. 1101 will amend Section 249-31, Hawaii Revised Statutes to increase the annual vehicle registration fee.

The bill proposes to increases to the annual vehicle registration fee rate from \$25 to \$45 and the amount to be deposited into the State Highway fund from each annual vehicle registration fee from \$20 to \$40. The bill also appropriates monies out of the State Highway Fund for fiscal years 2011 - 2012 and fiscal year 2012 -2013 for the operations and maintenance of the state highways program.

The increase in the vehicle registration fee is estimated to provide an additional \$22.9 million annually for the State Highway Fund. The increase in revenues for the State Highway Fund will improve the Department of Transportation's ability to construct, operate and maintain the State Highway System.

#### **OPERATIONS AND ROUTINE MAINTENANCE**

The current needs for the routine operation and maintenance of the State Highway System is over \$115,000,000 per year. Without the additional funding, the Highways Division will not be able to properly maintain the State Highway System that is essential to the health, welfare, and safety of our motoring public. The State Highway System includes 2,479.36 miles of lane miles. Although the State has increased the lane miles of the State Highway System, the routine operation and maintenance budget was not increased to properly maintain the additional lane miles.

The funding for the routine operation and maintenance is used for maintaining and repairing the pavement and shoulders; bridges and other structures; fencing and walls; drainage systems; traffic signs; guardrails; highway pavement markings; highway lighting system; sidewalks and wheelchair ramps; landscaping and irrigation systems; cleaning the streets; and restoring State Highways after slides, storm damages, accidents, and other catastrophic events. Additionally, operations and maintenance activities on Oahu includes a 24-hour, 7-days-a-week schedule, a traffic management center, all mechanical, electrical, electronic, plumbing and drainage, ventilation, traffic monitoring and control, fire control systems in our major tunnels; and

GLENN M. OKIMOTO INTERIM DIRECTOR

Deputy Directors FORD N. FUCHIGAMI JAN S. GOUVEIA RANDY GRUNE JADINE URASAKI

IN REPLY REFER TO:

managing and monitoring the National Pollutant Elimination System (NPDES) – Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Program.

Also, Federal laws require that the State maintain all State Highways that were constructed with the use of Federal funds. Not properly maintaining our highways may jeopardize our ability to obtain Federal funds.

# SPECIAL MAINTENANCE PROGRAM (SMP)

In prior years, when the Highways Division has had its budget cut, the Special Maintenance Program (SMP) was reduced to keep the State Highways Fund in the black.

The Highways Division changed its resurfacing cycle for State Highways from an average of once every 10 years to once every 14 years. Studies have shown that after 10 years the pavement condition deteriorates at an accelerated rate. The overall condition of the State Highway System has deteriorated because of the reduced SMP funding and to date the department has not caught up with its resurfacing program. As the highway pavement deteriorates, the cost increases exponentially. The average cost of preventive maintenance is approximately \$98,000 to \$289,000 per lane mile (\$183,000 average), while the cost for rehabilitation and/or reconstructing the pavement ranges from \$321,000 to \$2,200,000 (\$555,000 average) per lane miles.

In the fiscal year 2005-2006, the SMP state funded budget was \$72,810,487. Due to fiscal constraints, the SMP program has been reduced as follows:

FY 2006-2007	\$67,200,407
FY 2007-2008	\$49,906,862
FY 2008-2009	\$57,577,883
FY 2009-2010	\$57,842,859
FY 2010-2011	\$55,914,860
FY 2011-2012	\$27,000,000*
FY 2012-2013	\$27,000,000*

\*proposed FB 11-13 budget request.

A reduction in the Special Maintenance Program will result in a poorer overall condition of the State Highway System and the deferred maintenance significantly increases the future costs to rehabilitate and/or reconstruct our highways.

## **CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP)**

The State Highway Fund supports the CIP program in the following ways:

- 1. Direct salary, fringe benefits, and administrative costs for 366 Highways Division project-funded positions are paid from the State Highway Fund. Since fiscal year 2005-2006, the Highways Division budgets \$12,500,000 in state funds for this purpose.
- 2. The State Highway Fund pays for debt service of Highway Revenue Bonds, the primary state funding source for the CIP program. Debt service includes interest and principal payments for the revenue bonds. Every two years, the Division sells approximately \$80,000,000 in revenue bonds.

- 3. In addition to the revenue bonds, the State Highway Fund also pays for the debt service of Reimbursable General Obligation (G.O.) bonds. Although Reimbursable G.O. bonds are no longer used by the Highways Division to finance new projects, debt service for Reimbursable G.O. bonds previously issued will continue until 2017.
- 4. Finally, in the event of emergencies or other unforeseen circumstances, CIP projects may be funded from the State Highway Special Fund. An example of this would be when the heavy rainfall in the months of March and April of 2006 created severe damage to highways on the islands of Kauai and Oahu. Act 118, Session Laws of Hawaii, 2006, appropriated CIP funds to pay for emergency projects. It is estimated that about \$8,171,763 in expenditures as of November of 2009 has been spent for emergency CIP projects for Oahu, and another \$4,213,963 in expenditures as of June of 2010 has been spent for Kauai emergency related CIP projects.

The reduction of revenues will have a negative effect on the CIP program the following ways:

- 1. Reductions in revenues may negatively affect the current bond rating. In 2008, the uninsured ratings for the \$60,000,000 bond offering by S&P, Moody's, and Fitch were AA+, AA3, and AA- respectively, the second and third best bond ratings possible. The strong ratings were directly attributed to the fact that revenues were in excess of 4 times the amount needed for bond debt service.
- 2. Any downgrade in bond ratings caused by revenue reduction will increase the cost of borrowing for the Highways Division. In fiscal year 2009-2010, approximately \$38,600,000 was paid for revenue bond debt service and approximately \$8,000,000 for Reimbursable General Obligation (G.O.R.) Bond debt service. A higher cost of borrowing may restrict the ability for the Highways Division to maintain the current annual \$40,000,000 revenue bond program and may force the Highways Division to reduce future bond offerings from the \$40,000,000 annual levels.
- 3. The Highways Division will be forced to defer future CIP projects if the revenue bond program is reduced. Current CIP needs outweigh revenue sources.
- 4. Finally, the projected depletion of the State Highway Fund caused by the revenue reduction will take away the ability for the Highways Division to fund emergency projects or other unforeseen needs with cash. As demonstrated in the past, the Highways Division was able to cope with emergency projects such as:
  - Kalanianaole Highway, Emergency Landslide Repairs at Castle Junction;
  - Kailua Road Rockfall Mitigation, Permanent Repairs for Kailua Road;
  - Kauai Emergency Flood Repairs at Various Locations;
  - Emergency Culvert Repair on H-1 at Olopana Street, and
  - Kalanianaole Highway Drainage Improvements, Vicinity of Keolu Hills (Emergency Repairs).

DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMER SERVICES **CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU** DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLE, LICENSING AND PERMITS **ADMINISTRATION** P.O. BOX 30300 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96820-0300

PETER B. CARLISLE



GAIL Y. HARAGUCHI

**DENNIS A KAMIMURA** LICENSING ADMINISTRATOR

January 31, 2011

The Honorable Joseph M. Souki, Chair and Committee Members **Committee on Transportation** House of Representatives State of Hawaii State Capitol, Room 426 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Chair Souki and Committee Members:

Subject: H.B. No. 1101, Relating to Motor Vehicle Registration

The City and County of Honolulu has no objections to H.B. No. 1101 which will increase the state motor vehicle registration fee from \$25 to \$45.

In order to develop and test the appropriate computer programming that is necessary to implement this bill, we recommend that the effective date of the bill be amended to December 1, 2011.

The City and County of Honolulu recommends your favorable action on H.B. No. 1101, as amended.

Sincerely,

. Ula

Gail Y. Haraguchi Director



February 2, 2011

#### TESTIMONY BEFORE THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION ON HB 1101 RELATING TO MOTOR VEHICLE REGISTRATION

Thank you Chair Souki and committee members. I am Gareth Sakakida, Managing Director of the Hawaii Transportation Association (HTA) with over 400 transportation related members throughout the state of Hawaii.

Hawaii Transportation Association opposes the amount of the increase of motor vehicle registration fees, especially in light of legislation to propose increases in the vehicle weight fee and liquid fuel tax.

In spite of economic forecasts showing some improvement for Hawaii in the coming years, those times are not yet here and the transportation industry still suffers from losing as much as half its activity over the past three years.

Then Oahu carriers were hit by the City & County of Honolulu's increase of the vehicle weight tax in 2010 and this year, boosting our per vehicle cost an average of \$400 in 2010 and another \$400 this year. Last year the Legislature increased the barrel tax which added about \$200 per vehicle per year.

Add those hits to this year's proposals to increase the per vehicle cost by \$170 (registration and weight proposals), and each penny of fuel tax increase means an average of \$55 in additional cost.

Unlike governments, we do not have the power to mandate price increases so we have been cutting budgets and making do with less. The industry just cannot afford the kind of money you are seeking for the highway fund - if it even remains there.

Thank you.

# TAXBILLSERVICE

126 Queen Street, Suite 304TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAIIHonolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. 536-4587SUBJECT:MOTOR VEHICLE, Increase state motor vehicle registration feeBILL NUMBER:SB 1328; HB 1101 (Identical)INTRODUCED BY:SB by Tsutsui by request; Say by requestBRIEF SUMMARY:Amends HRS section 249-31 to increase the state motor vehicle registration fee

Appropriates an unspecified amount out of the state highway fund for fiscal year 2012 and the same sum for fiscal 2013 for the operations and maintenance of the state highway fund.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon approval

STAFF COMMENTS: This was an administration measure submitted by the department of transportation TRN-14(11). This measure proposes to increase to the state motor vehicle registration fee to provide additional funds for the ailing state highway fund.

Of the three major sources of funding for the state highway fund, the vehicle registration fee can be viewed as an admission charge for the privilege of being able to "enter" the state highway system. While a modest increase may be in order, since the fee has not been raised since 2004 and then not for highway maintenance but for emergency medical services, lawmakers need to look at all vehicles which use the state highway system. These include exemptions which have been adopted in recent years and those vehicle that enter state highways but pay no vehicle registration fees such as bicycles and electric vehicles. More recently lawmakers have exempted vehicles of certain armed services personnel based more on emotions than good sound financial policy.

As a result, these exemptions erode the base for this fee and pass the cost on to those who cannot qualify for the exemption. This is patently unfair since the fees and taxes paid into the highway fund provide the same basic services to all drivers regardless of whether they are veterans or the disabled.

While it is generally recognized that the current resources of the highway fund will not keep up with the rising costs of highway construction and maintenance, lawmakers should not blithely accept the cost of the highway program without closely scrutinizing the cost of running the state highway program. Just because the resources are earmarked solely for the highway program, it should not go without close examination such as the spending of general funds is subjected to in the appropriation process. Highway administrators need to be held accountable for their methods and practices in administering the program to insure that the highway users' tax dollars are spent wisely and efficiently.

Digested 1/28/11