THE SENATE TWENTY-SIXTH LEGISLATURE, 2011 STATE OF HAWAII

S.B. NO. ⁷⁷⁹ S.D. 1

A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PROCUREMENT.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that the current procurement process for design-build project contracts requires 2 offerors to prepare, in most instances, conceptual design 3 drawings as part of their proposals. This requires a 4 5 considerable initial investment and may prevent many local firms 6 from submitting proposals for design-build contracts. As a result, purchasing agencies may experience a decrease in 7 competition, an increase in prices, and may potentially be 8 9 forced to sacrifice design and construction creativity. 10 The purpose of this Act is to provide for the selection of 11 the most qualified offerors for design-build projects and to encourage the participation of Hawaii-based companies, including 12 13 local small firms, in the design-build proposal process. 14 SECTION 2. Section 103D-104, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended by adding a new definition to be appropriately inserted 15

16 and to read as follows:

2011-1392 SB779 SD1 SMA.doc

1	"Design-build" means a project delivery method in which		
2	the procurement officer enters into a single contract for design		
3	and construction of an infrastructure facility."		
4	SECTION 3. Section 103D-303, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is		
5	amended to read as follows:		
6	"§103D-303 Competitive sealed proposals. (a) Competitive		
7	sealed proposals may be [utilized] used to procure goods,		
8	services, or construction [designated in rules adopted by the		
9	procurement policy board as goods, services, or construction		
10	which are] that are either not practicable or not advantageous		
11	to the State to procure by competitive sealed bidding.		
12	[Competitive sealed proposals may also be utilized when the head		
13	of a purchasing agency determines in writing that the use of		
14	competitive sealed bidding is either not practicable or not		
15	advantageous to the State.]		
16	(b) Proposals shall be solicited through a request for		
17	proposals[-]; provided that for construction projects the		
18	procurement officer may procure services using the design-build		
19	method; provided further that:		
20	(1) The cost of preparing proposals is high in view of the		
21	size, estimated prices, and complexity of the		
22	procurement;		
	2011-1392 SB779 SD1 SMA.doc		

1	(2)	A request for proposals is issued to initially request
2		pre-qualification of offerors, in order to select from
3		among them a short list of up to three responsible
4	•	offerors prior to submittal of proposals or
5		discussions and evaluations pursuant to subsection
6		(f); provided that the number of short-listed
7		proposals shall be stated in the request for proposals
8		and prompt public notice shall be given to all
9		offerors as to which proposals have been short-listed;
10		and
11	(3)	Unsuccessful offerors may be paid a conceptual design
12		fee; provided that the amount of the fee and the terms
13		under which the fee is to be paid shall be stated in
14		the request for proposals.
15	(c)	Notice of the request for proposals shall be given in
16	the same	manner as provided in section 103D-302(c).
17	(d)	Proposals shall be opened so as to avoid disclosure of
18	contents	to competing offerors during the <u>evaluation</u> process [of
19	negotiati	on]. A register of proposals shall be prepared [in
20	accordanc	e with rules adopted by the policy board] and shall be
21	open for	public inspection after contract award.



(e) The request for proposals shall state the relative
 importance of price and other evaluation factors.

3 (f) Discussions may be conducted with responsible offerors who submit proposals determined to be reasonably susceptible of 4 being selected for a contract award for the purpose of 5 clarification to assure full understanding of, and 6 responsiveness to, the solicitation requirements. Offerors 7 shall be accorded fair and equal treatment with respect to any 8 9 opportunity for discussion and revision of proposals, and 10 revisions may be permitted after submissions and prior to award for the purpose of obtaining best and final offers. 11 In conducting discussions, there shall be no disclosure of any 12 13 information derived from proposals submitted by competing 14 offerors.

(g) Award shall be made to the responsible offeror whose proposal is determined in writing to be the most advantageous, taking into consideration price and the evaluation factors set forth in the request for proposals. No other factors or criteria shall be used in the evaluation. The contract file shall contain the basis on which the award is made.

21 (h) In cases of awards made under this section,22 nonselected offerors may submit a written request for debriefing



1 to the [chief] procurement officer [or designee] within three 2 working days after the posting of the award of the contract. 3 Thereafter, the [head of the purchasing agency] procurement 4 officer shall provide the [requester] non-selected offeror a 5 prompt debriefing [in accordance with-rules adopted by the 6 policy board]. Any protest by the [requester] non-selected 7 offeror pursuant to section 103D-701 following debriefing shall 8 be filed in writing with the [chief] procurement officer [or 9 designee] within five working days after the date that the 10 debriefing is completed."

SECTION 4. This Act does not affect rights and duties that matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that were begun before its effective date.

14 SECTION 5. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed 15 and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.

16 SECTION 6. This Act shall take effect on January 1, 2012.
17



Report Title:

Procurement; Design-build Contracts

Description:

Establishes discretionary request for competitive sealed proposal procedures using the design-build process where not more than three offerors selected on their qualifications submit proposals. Defines design-build. Authorizes the procurement officer to pay a conceptual design fee to unsuccessful offerors. Clarifies process of short-listing of offerors for purposes of non-selection. Effective 1/1/2012. (SD1)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

