S.B. NO. <sup>779</sup> <sup>5.D. 2</sup> <sup>H.D. 2</sup>

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PROCUREMENT.

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that the current procurement process for design-build contracts requires offerors 2 to prepare, in most instances, conceptual design drawings as 3 part of their proposals. This requires a considerable initial 4 investment and may prevent many local firms from submitting 5 proposals for design-build contracts. As a result, purchasing 6 agencies may experience a decrease in competition and an 7 increase in prices, and may potentially be forced to sacrifice 8 design and construction creativity. 9

10 The purpose of this Act is to provide for the selection of 11 the most qualified offerors for design-build contracts and to 12 encourage the participation of Hawaii-based companies, including 13 local small firms, in the design-build contract proposal

14 process.

15 SECTION 2. Section 103D-104, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 16 amended by adding a new definition to be appropriately inserted 17 and to read as follows:

## SB779 HD2 HMS 2011-3486

### S.B. NO. <sup>779</sup> S.D. 2 H.D. 2

2

| 1  | "_Design-build" means a project delivery method in which                            |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2  | the procurement officer enters into a single contract for design                    |  |  |  |
| 3  | and construction of an infrastructure facility."                                    |  |  |  |
| 4  | SECTION 3. Section 103D-303, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is                            |  |  |  |
| 5  | amended to read as follows:   |  |  |  |
| 6  | "§103D-303 Competitive sealed proposals. (a) Competitive                            |  |  |  |
| 7  | sealed proposals may be [utilized] used to procure construction,                    |  |  |  |
| 8  | goods, <u>or</u> services[ <del>, or construction designated in rules adopted</del> |  |  |  |
| 9  | by the procurement policy board as goods, services, or                              |  |  |  |
| 10 | construction which are] that are either not practicable or not                      |  |  |  |
| 11 | advantageous to the State to procure by competitive sealed                          |  |  |  |
| 12 | bidding. [Competitive sealed proposals may also be utilized                         |  |  |  |
| 13 | when the head of a purchasing agency determines in writing that                     |  |  |  |
| 14 | the use of competitive sealed bidding is either not practicable                     |  |  |  |
| 15 | or-not advantageous to the State.]  |  |  |  |
| 16 | (b) Proposals shall be solicited through a request for                              |  |  |  |
| 17 | proposals.  |  |  |  |
| 18 | (c) Notice of the request for proposals shall be given in                           |  |  |  |
| 19 | the same manner as provided in section 103D-302(c).                                 |  |  |  |
| 20 | (d) Proposals shall be opened so as to avoid disclosure of                          |  |  |  |
| 21 | contents to competing offerors during the process of                                |  |  |  |
| 22 | [negotiation.] evaluation. A register of proposals shall be                         |  |  |  |
|    | SB779 HD2 HMS 2011-3486   |  |  |  |

#### S.B. NO. <sup>779</sup> <sup>S.D. 2</sup> <sup>H.D. 2</sup>

3

prepared [in accordance with rules adopted by the policy board] 1 and shall be open for public inspection after contract award. 2 The request for proposals shall state the relative 3 (e) importance of price and other evaluation factors. 4 5 Discussions may be conducted with responsible offerors (f) who submit proposals determined to be reasonably [susceptible of 6 being] likely to be selected for a contract award for the 7 purpose of clarification to assure full understanding of, and 8 responsiveness to, the solicitation requirements. Offerors 9 10 shall be accorded fair and equal treatment with respect to any opportunity for discussion and revision of proposals, and 11 12 revisions may be permitted after submissions and prior to award for the purpose of obtaining best and final offers. In 13 conducting discussions, there shall be no disclosure of any 14 information derived from proposals submitted by competing 15 16 offerors. Award shall be made to the responsible offeror whose 17 (q) proposal is determined in writing to be the most advantageous, 18 taking into consideration price and the evaluation factors set 19 forth in the request for proposals. No other factors or 20 criteria shall be used in the evaluation. The contract file 21 shall contain the basis on which the award is made. 22

Page 3

# SB779 HD2 HMS 2011-3486

Page 4

### **S.B. NO.** <sup>779</sup> S.D. 2 H.D. 2

4

| 1  | (h) In cases of awards made under this section,                          |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | nonselected offerors may submit a written request for debriefing         |  |  |  |
| 3  | to the chief procurement officer [ <del>or designee</del> ] within three |  |  |  |
| 4  | working days after the posting of the award of the contract.             |  |  |  |
| 5  | Thereafter, the [head of the purchasing agency] procurement              |  |  |  |
| 6  | officer shall provide the [requester] nonselected offeror a              |  |  |  |
| 7  | prompt debriefing [in_accordance with rules adopted by the               |  |  |  |
| 8  | policy board]. Any protest by the [requester] nonselected                |  |  |  |
| 9  | offeror pursuant to section 103D-701 following debriefing shall          |  |  |  |
| 10 | be filed in writing with the [chief] procurement officer [ $\sigma r$    |  |  |  |
| 11 | designee] within five working days after the date [that] upon            |  |  |  |
| 12 | which the debriefing is completed.                                       |  |  |  |
| 13 | (i) In addition to any other provisions of this section,                 |  |  |  |
| 14 | construction projects may be procured using the design-build             |  |  |  |
| 15 | method as follows:   |  |  |  |
| 16 | (1) The procurement officer shall issue a request for                    |  |  |  |
| 17 | qualifications in advance of the request for proposals                   |  |  |  |
| 18 | to prequalify offerors; provided that a short list of                    |  |  |  |
| 19 | no more than three responsible offerors, based on the                    |  |  |  |
| 20 | qualifications stated in their proposals, shall be                       |  |  |  |
| 21 | selected prior to the submittal of proposals. The                        |  |  |  |
| 22 | number of offerors to be selected for the short list                     |  |  |  |
|    | SB779 HD2 HMS 2011-3486  |  |  |  |

## Page 5

#### S.B. NO. <sup>779</sup> S.D. 2 H.D. 2

,

s

,

| 1  |           | shall be stated in the request for qualifications, and |
|----|-----------|--|
| 2  |           | the procurement officer shall provide prompt notice to |
| 3  |           | all offerors as to which offerors have been short      |
| 4  |           | listed; and  |
| 5  | (2)       | The procurement officer shall issue a request for      |
| 6  |           | proposals to the offerors selected for the short list  |
| 7  |           | in paragraph (1). The request for proposals shall      |
| 8  |           | include design requirements, solicit proposal          |
| 9  |           | development documents, and state proposal evaluation   |
| 10 |           | criteria. The procurement officer may pay a            |
| 11 |           | conceptual design fee to nonselected offerors that     |
| 12 |           | submit a technically responsive proposal to the        |
| 13 |           | request for proposals in this paragraph; provided that |
| 14 |           | the terms of the payment of a conceptual design fee    |
| 15 |           | shall be stated in the request for qualifications and  |
| 16 |           | the request for proposals."                            |
| 17 | SECT      | ION 4. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed  |
| 18 | and stric | ken. New statutory material is underscored.            |
| 19 | SECT      | TON 5. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2030.     |

.

# SB779 HD2 HMS 2011-3486



6

Report Title: Procurement; Design-build Contracts

#### Description:

Establishes discretionary request for competitive sealed proposal procedures using a two-step design-build process. Defines design-build. Authorizes the procurement officer to pay a conceptual design fee to unsuccessful offerors. Clarifies process of short-listing of offerors for purposes of nonselection. Effective July 1, 2030. (SB779 HD2)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

