A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PROCUREMENT.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1	SECTION 1. The legislature finds that the current			
2	procurement process for design-build project contracts requires			
3	offerors to prepare, in most instances, conceptual design			
4	drawings as part of their proposals. This requires a			
5	considerable initial investment and may prevent many local fi			
6	from submitting proposals for design-build contracts. As a			
7	result, purchasing agencies may experience a decrease in			
8	competition, an increase in prices, and may potentially be			
9	forced to sacrifice design and construction creativity.			
10	The purpose of this Act is to provide for the selection of			
11	the most qualified offerors for design-build projects and to			
12	encourage the participation of Hawaii-based companies, including			
13	local small firms, in the design-build proposal process.			
14	SECTION 2. Section 103D-104, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is			
15	amended by adding a new definition to be appropriately inserted			
16	and to read as follows:			

1	"Design-build" means a project delivery method in which			
2	the procurement officer enters into a single contract for desig			
3	and construction of an infrastructure facility."			
4	SECTION 3. Section 103D-303, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is			
5	amended to read as follows:			
6	"§103D-303 Competitive sealed proposals. (a) Competitive			
7	sealed proposals may be [utilized] used to procure goods,			
8	services, or construction [designated in rules adopted by the			
9	procurement policy board as goods, services, or construction			
10	which are that are either not practicable or not advantageous			
11	to the State to procure by competitive sealed bidding.			
12	[Competitive sealed proposals may also be utilized when the head			
13	of a purchasing agency determines in writing that the use of			
14	competitive sealed bidding is either not practicable or not			
15	advantageous to the State.]			
16	(b) Proposals shall be solicited through a request for			
17	proposals[-]; provided that for construction projects the			
18	procurement officer may procure services using the design-build			
19	method; provided further that:			
20	(1) The cost of preparing proposals is high in view of the			
21	size, estimated prices, and complexity of the			
22	procurement;			

HB985 SD2 LRB 11-3050.doc

1	(2)	A request for proposats is issued to initially request
2		pre-qualification of offerors, in order to select from
3		among them a short list of up to three responsible
4		offerors; provided that a second request for proposals
5		shall be issued to the pre-qualified offerors selected
6		for the short list prior to submittal of proposals or
7		discussions and evaluations pursuant to subsection
8		(f); provided further that the number of short-listed
9		proposals shall be stated in the request for proposals
10		and prompt public notice shall be given to all
11		offerors as to which proposals have been short-listed;
12		and the state of t
13	(3)	Nonselected offerors who were pre-qualified and
14		selected for the short list may be paid a conceptual
15		design fee; provided that the amount of the fee and
16		the terms under which the fee is to be paid shall be
17		stated in the request for proposals.
18	(C)	Notice of the request for proposals shall be given in
19	the same	manner as provided in section 103D-302(c).
20	(d)	Proposals shall be opened so as to avoid disclosure of
21	contents	to competing offerors during the <u>evaluation</u> process [of
22	negotiati	.on]. A register of proposals shall be prepared [in

- 1 accordance with rules adopted by the policy board] and shall be
- 2 open for public inspection after contract award.
- 3 (e) The request for proposals shall state the relative
- 4 importance of price and other evaluation factors.
- 5 (f) Discussions may be conducted with responsible offerors
- 6 who submit proposals determined to be reasonably susceptible of
- 7 being selected for a contract award for the purpose of
- 8 clarification to assure full understanding of, and
- 9 responsiveness to, the solicitation requirements. Offerors
- 10 shall be accorded fair and equal treatment with respect to any
- 11 opportunity for discussion and revision of proposals, and
- 12 revisions may be permitted after submissions and prior to award
- 13 for the purpose of obtaining best and final offers. In
- 14 conducting discussions, there shall be no disclosure of any
- 15 information derived from proposals submitted by competing
- 16 offerors.
- 17 (g) Award shall be made to the responsible offeror whose
- 18 proposal is determined in writing to be the most advantageous,
- 19 taking into consideration price and the evaluation factors set
- 20 forth in the request for proposals. No other factors or
- 21 criteria shall be used in the evaluation. The contract file
- 22 shall contain the basis on which the award is made.

H.B. NO. 985

- 1 (h) In cases of awards made under this section,
- 2 nonselected offerors may submit a written request for debriefing
- 3 to the [chief] procurement officer [or designee] within three
- 4 working days after the posting of the award of the contract.
- 5 Thereafter, the [head of the purchasing agency] procurement
- 6 officer shall provide the [requester] nonselected offeror a
- 7 prompt debriefing [in accordance with rules adopted by the
- 8 policy board]. Any protest by the [requester] nonselected
- 9 offeror pursuant to section 103D-701 following debriefing shall
- 10 be filed in writing with the [chief] procurement officer [or
- 11 designee] within five working days after the date that the
- 12 debriefing is completed."
- 13 SECTION 4. This Act does not affect rights and duties that
- 14 matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that were
- 15 begun before its effective date.
- 16 SECTION 5. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
- 17 and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
- 18 SECTION 6. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2117.

Report Title:

Procurement; Design-Build Contracts

Description:

Establishes the use of the design-build process where the cost of preparing proposals is high, relative to the size, estimated price, and complexity of the project. Authorizes a two-part process where prequalified applicants will be short-listed to submit design proposals. The short list shall be publically disclosed. Allows for payment of a conceptual design fee to nonselected offerors. Effective 7/1/2117. (SD2)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.