HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TWENTY-SIXTH LEGISLATURE, 2011 STATE OF HAWAII H.B. NO. ⁹⁸⁵ H.D. 2 S.D. 2

C.D. 1

1

A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PROCUREMENT.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that the current 2 procurement process for design-build contracts requires offerors 3 to prepare, in most instances, conceptual design drawings as 4 part of their proposals. This requires a considerable initial 5 investment and may prevent many local firms from submitting 6 proposals for design-build contracts. As a result, purchasing 7 agencies may experience a decrease in competition and an 8 increase in prices, and may potentially be forced to sacrifice 9 design and construction creativity.

10 The purpose of this Act is to provide for the selection of 11 the most qualified offerors for design-build contracts and to 12 encourage the participation of Hawaii-based companies, including 13 local small firms, in the design-build contract proposal 14 process.

15 SECTION 2. Section 103D-104, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 16 amended by adding a new definition to be appropriately inserted 17 and to read as follows:

HB985 CD1 HMS 2011-3964

Page 2

H.B. NO. 985 H.D. 2 S.D. 2 C.D. 1

.

1	" <u>"Design-build</u> " means a project delivery method in which			
2	the procurement officer enters into a single contract for design			
3	and construction."			
4	SECTION 3. Section 103D-303, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is			
5	amended to read as follows:			
6	"§103D-303 Competitive sealed proposals. (a) Competitive			
7	sealed proposals may be [utilized] used to procure goods,			
8	services, or construction [designated in rules-adopted by the			
9	procurement policy board as goods, services, or construction			
10	which are] that are either not practicable or not advantageous			
11	to the State to procure by competitive sealed bidding.			
12	[Competitive sealed proposals-may also be utilized when the head			
13	of a purchasing agency determines in writing that the use of			
14	competitive sealed bidding is either not practicable or not			
15	advantageous to the State.]			
16	(b) Proposals shall be solicited through a request for			
17	proposals.			
18	(c) Notice of the request for proposals shall be given in			
19	the same manner as provided in section 103D-302(c).			
20	(d) Proposals shall be opened so as to avoid disclosure of			
21	contents to competing offerors during the process of			
22	[negotiation.] evaluation. A register of proposals shall be			
	HB985 CD1 HMS 2011-3964			

Page 3

H.B. NO. 985 H.D. 2 S.D. 2 C.D. 1

prepared [in accordance with rules adopted by the policy board] 1 2 and shall be open for public inspection after contract award. 3 (e) The request for proposals shall state the relative 4 importance of price and other evaluation factors. Discussions may be conducted with responsible offerors 5 (f) 6 who submit proposals determined to be reasonably [susceptible of 7 being] likely to be selected for a contract award for the 8 purpose of clarification to assure full understanding of, and 9 responsiveness to, the solicitation requirements. Offerors 10 shall be accorded fair and equal treatment with respect to any 11 opportunity for discussion and revision of proposals, and 12 revisions may be permitted after submissions and prior to award 13 for the purpose of obtaining best and final offers. In 14 conducting discussions, there shall be no disclosure of any 15 information derived from proposals submitted by competing 16 offerors.

(g) Award shall be made to the responsible offeror whose
proposal is determined in writing to be the most advantageous,
taking into consideration price and the evaluation factors set
forth in the request for proposals. No other factors or
criteria shall be used in the evaluation. The contract file
shall contain the basis on which the award is made.

HB985 CD1 HMS 2011-3964

Page 4

H.B. NO. 985 H.D. 2 S.D. 2 C.D. 1

1	(h) In cases of awards made under this section,			
2	[nonselected] non-selected offerors may submit a written request			
3	for debriefing to the [chief] procurement officer [or designee]			
4	within three working days after the posting of the award of the			
5	contract. Thereafter, the [head of the purchasing agency]			
6	procurement officer shall provide the [requester] non-selected			
7	offeror a prompt debriefing [in accordance with rules adopted by			
8	the policy board]. Any protest by the [requester] non-selected			
9	offeror pursuant to section 103D-701 following debriefing shall			
10	be filed in writing with the [chief] procurement officer [$rac{arphi r}{arphi}$			
11	designee] within five working days after the date [that] upon			
12	which the debriefing is completed.			
13	(i) In addition to any other provisions of this section,			
14	construction projects may be solicited through a request for			
15	proposals to use the design-build method; provided that:			
16	(1) A request for proposals is issued to prequalify			
17	offerors to select a short list of no more than three			
18	responsible offerors, prior to the submittal of			
19	proposals; provided that the number of offerors to be			
20	selected for the short list shall be stated in the			
21	request for proposals and prompt notice is given to			

HB985 CD1 HMS 2011-3964

1		all offerors as to which offerors have been short
2		listed;
3	(2)	A conceptual design fee may be paid to non-selected
4		offerors that submit a technically responsive
5		proposal; provided that the cost of the entire project
6		is greater than \$1,000,000; and
7	(3)	The criteria for pre-qualification of offerors, design
8		requirements, development documents, proposal
9		evaluation criteria, terms of the payment of a
10		conceptual design fee, or any other pertinent
11		information shall be stated in the request for
12		proposals."
13	SECT	ION 4. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
14	and stric	ken. New statutory material is underscored.
15	SECT	ION 5. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2011.

985 H.D. 2 S.D. 2 C.D. 1

H.B. NO.

1

5





Report Title:

Procurement; Design-Build Contracts

Description:

Allows construction projects to be solicited through request for proposals to use the design-build method. Authorizes the procurement officer to pay a conceptual design fee to unsuccessful offerors. Clarifies process of short-listing of offerors. Effective July 1, 2011. (HB985 CD1)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

