H.B. NO. 349

A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO SENTENCING OF REPEAT OFFENDERS.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 SECTION 1. The current law on sentencing of repeat 2 offenders, which is found in section 706-606.5, Hawaii Revised 3 Statutes, and provides for mandatory minimum sentences, was 4 originally enacted by Act 181, Session Laws of Hawaii 1976. 5 With respect to this legislation, the Committee on Conference 6 stated: "Your Committee finds that the high incidence of 7 repeated offenses by previously convicted persons within the State of Hawaii presents a clear danger to its citizens. 8 In 9 particular, your Committee concurs that necessary steps should 10 be taken so that any person convicted of some of the most 11 serious and reprehensible felonies as defined by the Hawaii Penal Code be sentenced, for each conviction after the first 12 conviction to a mandatory sentence without possibility of 13 parole." While Act 181 identified ten felonies deemed to 14 justify the imposition of its mandatory sentencing requirements, 15 16 section 706-606.5, Hawaii Revised Statutes, now specifies more 17 than thirty-four felonies.



H.B. NO. **349**

1 The results of a 2008 poll released by Families Against 2 Mandatory Minimums shows widespread support for ending mandatory 3 minimum sentences for nonviolent offenses. Seventy-eight per 4 cent of Americans or nearly eight in ten Americans agree that 5 courts, not Congress, should determine an individual's prison 6 sentence. Fifty-nine per cent of Americans, or nearly six in 7 ten, oppose mandatory minimum sentences for nonviolent 8 offenders. Fifty-seven per cent of Americans polled would 9 likely vote for a candidate for Congress who would eliminate all 10 mandatory minimum sentencing for nonviolent crimes. Based upon 11 the poll, Families Against Mandatory Minimums concluded that 12 mandatory minimum sentences have not discouraged drug use; have 13 not reduced drug trafficking; have created soaring state and federal corrections costs; impose substantial indirect costs on 14 15 families by imprisoning spouses, parents, and breadwinners for 16 lengthy periods of time; are not applied evenly, thus 17 disproportionately impacting minorities and resulting in vastly 18 different sentences for equally blameworthy offenders; and usurp 19 judicial discretion.

20 The current sentencing system in Hawaii has a disparate
21 impact on native Hawaiians and Pacific islanders, who are
22 incarcerated at a rate disproportionate to their percentage of HB LRB 11-0999.doc



1 the population. Mandatory minimum sentences imposed upon nonviolent offenders are helping to fuel the racial disparities 2 3 in Hawaii's prison population. Mandatory minimum sentences have also fueled a dramatic increase in the incarceration of women. 4 5 The American Bar Association's Justice Kennedy Commission, 6 in a 2003 Report to the House of Delegates, recommended, among other things, that: 7 8 Lengthy periods of incarceration should be reserved (1)9 for offenders who pose the greatest danger to the 10 community and who commit the most serious offenses; (2) Alternatives to incarceration should be provided when 11 12 offenders pose minimum risk to the community and 13 appear likely to benefit from rehabilitation efforts; 14 and 15 Mandatory minimum sentencing statutes should be (3) 16 repealed. 17 The legislature believes that a better, more cost-effective 18 alternative sentencing approach would be to treat nonviolent 19 offenses in the same manner as other serious felonies, meaning 20 that sentences would be determined by a judge with access to the 21 offender's criminal record and knowledge of the circumstances

22 involved in the offense.



H.B. NO. 349

1 Clearly, some offenders will reenter the prison system upon 2 release despite the best intentions of state agencies, law 3 enforcement, nonprofit service providers, faith-based 4 initiatives, and the offenders themselves. However, the 5 alternative sentencing approach would provide judges with the 6 authority to use discretion when sentencing offenders. 7 Additionally, alternative sentencing would make available an 8 array of programs, strategies, and tactics to help offenders 9 become successful members of society. 10 Alternative sentencing also reduces the cost of 11 corrections. Diverting individuals away from prison saves money 12 and in many cases provides a better solution to offenders and 13 society because it makes available effective services that

14 enable offenders to become productive citizens.

15 The purpose of this Act is to make mandatory minimum16 sentencing of repeat offenders discretionary.

SECTION 2. Section 706-606.5, Hawaii Revised Statutes, isamended as follows:

19 1. By amending subsection (1) to read:

"(1) Notwithstanding section 706-669 and any other law to
the contrary, any person convicted of murder in the second
degree, any class A felony, any class B felony, or any of the

HB LRB 11-0999.doc

H.B. NO. 349

1 following class C felonies: section 188-23 relating to 2 possession or use of explosives, electrofishing devices, and poisonous substances in state waters; section 386-98(d)(1) 3 4 relating to fraud violations and penalties; section 431:2-· 5 403(b)(2) relating to insurance fraud; section 707-703 relating 6 to negligent homicide in the second degree; section 707-711 7 relating to assault in the second degree; section 707-713 relating to reckless endangering in the first degree; section 8 9 707-716 relating to terroristic threatening in the first degree; 10 section 707-721 relating to unlawful imprisonment in the first degree; section 707-732 relating to sexual assault or rape in 11 the third degree; section 707-752 relating to promoting child 12 abuse in the third degree; section 707-757 relating to 13 14 electronic enticement of a child in the second degree; section 707-766 relating to extortion in the second degree; section 708-15 16 811 relating to burglary in the second degree; section 708-821 17 relating to criminal property damage in the second degree; section 708-831 relating to theft in the first degree as amended 18 19 by Act 68, Session Laws of Hawaii 1981; section 708-831 relating 20 to theft in the second degree; section 708-835.5 relating to 21 theft of livestock; section 708-836 relating to unauthorized 22 control of propelled vehicle; section 708-839.8 relating to



identity theft in the third degree; section 708-839.55 relating 1 2 to unauthorized possession of confidential personal information; 3 section 708-852 relating to forgery in the second degree; section 708-854 relating to criminal possession of a forgery 4 device; section 708-875 relating to trademark counterfeiting; 5 6 section 710-1071 relating to intimidating a witness; section 7 711-1103 relating to riot; section 712-1203 relating to 8 promoting prostitution in the second degree; section 712-1221 9 relating to gambling in the first degree; section 712-1224 10 relating to possession of gambling records in the first degree; 11 section 712-1243 relating to promoting a dangerous drug in the 12 third degree; section 712-1247 relating to promoting a detrimental drug in the first degree; section 846E-9 relating to 13 failure to comply with covered offender registration 14 15 requirements; section 134-7 relating to ownership or possession 16 of firearms or ammunition by persons convicted of certain 17 crimes; section 134-8 relating to ownership, etc., of prohibited 18 weapons; section 134-9 relating to permits to carry, or who is 19 convicted of attempting to commit murder in the second degree, 20 any class A felony, any class B felony, or any of the class C 21 felony offenses enumerated above and who has a prior conviction 22 or prior convictions for the following felonies, including an



H.B. NO. 349

1	attempt to commit the same: murder, murder in the first or		
2	second degree, a class A felony, a class B felony, any of the		
3	class C felony offenses enumerated above, or any felony		
4	conviction of another jurisdiction, [shall] may be sentenced to		
5	a mandatory minimum period of imprisonment without possibility		
6	of parole during such period as follows:		
7	(a) One prior felony conviction:		
8	(i) Where the instant conviction is for murder in the		
9	second degree or attempted murder in the second		
10	degreeten years;		
11	(ii) Where the instant conviction is for a class A		
12	felonysix years, eight months;		
13	(iii) Where the instant conviction is for a class B		
14	felonythree years, four months; and		
15	(iv) Where the instant conviction is for a class C		
16	felony offense enumerated aboveone year, eight		
17	months;		
18	(b) Two prior felony convictions:		
19	(i) Where the instant conviction is for murder in the		
20	second degree or attempted murder in the second		
21	degreetwenty years;		



8

1	(ii)	Where the instant conviction is for a class A
2		felonythirteen years, four months;
3	(iii)	Where the instant conviction is for a class B
4		felonysix years, eight months; and
5	(iv)	Where the instant conviction is for a class C
6		felony offense enumerated abovethree years,
7		four months;
8	(c) Thre	e or more prior felony convictions:
9	(i)	Where the instant conviction is for murder in the
10		second degree or attempted murder in the second
11		degreethirty years;
12	(ii)	Where the instant conviction is for a class A
13		felonytwenty years;
14	(iii)	Where the instant conviction is for a class B
15		felonyten years; and
16	(iv)	Where the instant conviction is for a class C
17		felony offense enumerated abovefive years."
18	2. By am	ending subsection (4) to read:
19	"(4) Not	withstanding any other law to the contrary, any
20	person convict	ed of any of the following misdemeanor offenses:
21	(a) Sect	ion 707-712 relating to assault in the third
22	degr	ee;

.



9

1	(b)	Section 707-717 relating to terroristic threatening in	
2		the second degree;	
3	(c)	Section 707-733 relating to sexual assault in the	
4		fourth degree;	
5	(d)	Section 708-822 relating to criminal property damage	
6		in the third degree;	
7	(e)	Section 708-832 relating to theft in the third degree;	
8		and	
9	(f)	Section 708-833.5(2) relating to misdemeanor	
10		shoplifting,	
11	and who ha	as been convicted of any of the offenses enumerated	
12	above on at least three prior and separate occasions within		
13	three years of the date of the commission of the present		
14	offense, [shall] <u>may</u> be sentenced to no less than nine months of		
15	imprisonment. Whenever a court sentences a defendant under this		
16	subsection for an offense under section 707-733, the court shall		
17	order the defendant to participate in a sex offender assessment		
18	and, if recommended based on the assessment, participate in the		
19	sex offender treatment program established by chapter 353E."		
20	SECTION 3. This Act does not affect rights and duties that		
21	matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that were		
22	begun before its effective date.		



4

H.B. NO. 349

SECTION 4. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
 and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.

3 SECTION 5. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2011.

Hanter INTRODUCED BY:

JAN 2 1 2011



H.B. NO. 349

11

Report Title: Sentencing; Mandatory Minimum Terms

Description:

Changes the mandatory minimum sentencing of repeat offenders from mandatory to discretionary.

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

