## <u>H</u>.B. NO. 1091

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO USE TAX.

### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

SECTION 1. The purpose of this measure is to clarify the
current application of the use tax by eliminating overbroad and
redundant language in a provision relating to interstate
commerce activities.

5 In Act 74, Session Laws of Hawaii 1979, the legislature 6 amended the tax law to prevent the application of Hawaii general excise or use tax to certain interstate commerce activities of 7 common carriers, which the legislative history identifies as 8 9 primarily those involved in stevedoring and other similar activities. Act 74 was passed in response to a United States 10 Supreme Court opinion that expanded the State's ability to tax 11 12 interstate commerce. In order to prevent the State from taxing 13 stevedoring and other similar activities, Act 74 was the 14 solution.

15 Since the passing of Act 74, the tax laws have been amended 16 to expressly exempt the particular stevedoring and other 17 interstate commerce activities originally intended to be 18 exempted by the Legislature by Act 74. Because other provisions

TAX-04(11)

Page 2

## H.B. NO. 1091

1 now expressly exempt these activities, language in the use tax 2 law referencing Act 74 is now redundant and unnecessary. 3 SECTION 2. Section 238-3, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 4 amended by amending subsection (a) to read as follows: 5 " (a) The tax imposed by this chapter shall not apply to 6 any property, services, or contracting or to any use of the 7 property, services, or contracting that cannot legally be so 8 taxed under the Constitution or laws of the United States, but only so long as, and only to the extent to which the State is 9 without power to impose the tax. 10 11 To the extent that any exemption, exclusion, or 12 apportionment is necessary to comply with the preceding sentence, the director of taxation shall: 13 14 (1)Exempt or exclude from the tax under this chapter, 15 property, services, or contracting or the use of 16 property, services, or contracting exempted under chapter 237; or 17 Apportion the gross value of services or contracting 18 (2) 19 sold to customers within the State by persons engaged 20 in business both within and without the State to determine the value of that portion of the services or 21

# <u>H</u>.B. NO. 1091

1	contracting that is subject to taxation under chapter
2	237 for the purposes of section 237-21.
3	[Any provision of law to the contrary notwithstanding,
4	exemptions-or-exclusions from-tax under this chapter allowed on
5	or-before April 1, 1978, under the provisions of the
6	Constitution of the United States or an act of the Congress of
7	the United States to persons or common carriers engaged in
8	interstate or-foreign commerce, or both, whether ocean-going or
9	air, shall continue undiminished and be available thereafter.]"
10	SECTION 3. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
11	and stricken.
12	SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.
13	
14	INTRODUCED BY: Calify Any
15	INTRODUCED BY:
16	BY REQUEST
	JAN 2 4 2011

-



÷

**Report Title:** Use Tax; Stevedoring Exemption

### Description:

Clarifies the current application of the use tax exemption for certain interstate commerce activities by repealing overbroad and redundant language in the use tax law.

.

## HB 1091

#### JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DEPARTMENT: T

Taxation.

TITLE:

A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO USE TAX.

PURPOSE:

To eliminate redundant language in the use tax law exempting stevedoring and other similar activities.

MEANS: Amend section 238-3(a), Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS).

JUSTIFICATION: The purpose of this measure is to clarify the current application of the use tax by eliminating overbroad and redundant language in a provision relating to interstate commerce activities.

> In Act 74, Session Laws of Hawaii 1979, the Legislature amended the tax law to prevent the application of Hawaii general excise or use tax to certain interstate commerce activities of common carriers, which the legislative history identifies as primarily those involved in stevedoring and other similar activities. Act 74 was passed in response to a United States Supreme Court opinion that expanded the State's ability to tax interstate commerce. In order to prevent the State from taxing stevedoring and other similar activities, Act 74 was the solution.

> Since the passing of Act 74, the tax laws have been amended to expressly exempt the particular stevedoring and other interstate commerce activities originally intended to be exempted by the Legislature by Act 74. Because other provisions now expressly exempt these activities, Act 74's language in the use tax law is now redundant and unnecessary. With regard to the use tax, no express exemption for stevedoring is

HB 1091

necessary because the use tax law automatically exempts any transaction exempt under the general excise tax law. Section 237-24.3(4), HRS, exempts from the general excise tax those activities Act 74 intended to exempt, which by operation of section 238-3, HRS, automatically applies to use tax activities. As a result of this analysis, amendments by Act 74 to the use tax law are surplusage.

Impact on the public: No material impacts on the public are anticipated because general excise tax and reciprocal use tax exemptions for stevedoring and other interstate commerce activities of common carriers exist elsewhere in the law.

Impact on the department and other agencies: The Department of Taxation is charged with administering the use tax law.

GENERAL FUND: None.

OTHER FUNDS: None.

PPBS PROGRAM DESIGNATION: Not applicable.

OTHER AFFECTED AGENCIES:

None.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon approval.