

GOV. MSG. NO. /350

July 12, 2011

The Honorable Shan Tsutsui, President and Members of the Senate Twenty-Sixth State Legislature State Capitol, Room 409 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 The Honorable Calvin Say, Speaker and Members of the House Twenty-Sixth State Legislature State Capitol, Room 431 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear President Tsutsui, Speaker Say and Members of the Legislature:

I am transmitting herewith SB217 SD2 HD2 CD1, without my approval, and with the statement of objections relating to the measure.

SB217 SD2 HD2 CD1

RELATING TO LIMITATION OF ACTIONS.

NEIL ABERCROMBIE Governor, State of Hawaii

EXECUTIVE CHAMBERS HONOLULU July 12, 2011

STATEMENT OF OBJECTIONS TO SENATE BILL NO. 217

Honorable Members Twenty-Sixth Legislature State of Hawaii

Pursuant to Section 16 of Article III of the Constitution of the State of Hawaii, I am returning herewith, without my approval, Senate Bill No. 217, entitled "A Bill for an Act Relating to Limitation of Actions."

The stated purpose of this bill is to eliminate the statute of limitations for civil causes of action for damages arising from the sexual abuse of a minor by an adult based upon sexual acts that constitute certain criminal offenses under parts V and VI of chapter 707, Hawaii Revised Statutes. The bill also allows claims that are beyond the current statute of limitations to be revived for two years following the effective date of the act. Claims may be brought against the person who committed the sexual abuse and that person's employer.

While the goals of this bill are laudable, it appears to allow an employer, including the State, to be sued for the criminal acts of its employees. This is contrary to wellestablished tort and agency law and is in direct contravention of the intentional tort exception to the State Tort Liability Act (STLA), chapter 662 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes. Under the STLA, the State cannot be sued for the criminal or intentional acts of its employees. In addition, this bill irreconcilably conflicts with the STLA, which already establishes a statute of limitations for tort claims against the State.

The elimination of a statute of limitations for a civil claim also raises grave constitutional and fairness concerns. If a claim can be brought after an unlimited passage of time, it is likely that documents will be lost or destroyed, witnesses will die or move away, and memories will fade. The accused, even STATEMENT OF OBJECTIONS SENATE BILL NO. 217 Page 2

those falsely accused, will not be able to defend himself, herself, or itself and true justice will not be achieved.

For the foregoing reasons, I am returning Senate Bill 217 without my approval.

Respectfully, Jermbri

NEIL ABERCROMBIE Governor of Hawaii THE SENATE TWENTY-SIXTH LEGISLATURE, 2011 STATE OF HAWAII

A BILL FOR AN ACT

VETO S.B. NO.

217 S.D. 2

H.D. 2

C.D. 1

RELATING TO LIMITATION OF ACTIONS.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

SECTION 1. Chapter 657, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
amended by adding a new section to part I to be appropriately
designated and to read as follows:

4	" <u>\$657-</u> Civil action arising from sexual offenses;
5	application; certificate of merit. (a) Notwithstanding any law
6	to the contrary, an action for recovery of damages based on
7	physical, psychological, or other injury or condition suffered
8	by a minor arising from the sexual abuse of the minor by an
9	adult may be commenced against the natural person who committed
10	the act of sexual abuse at any time following the commission of
11	the act or acts that constituted the sexual abuse. A civil
12	cause of action for sexual abuse of a minor shall be based upon
13	sexual acts that constituted or would have constituted a
14	criminal offense under part V or VI of chapter 707.
15	Notwithstanding any law to the contrary, including chapter 662,
16	Hawaii Revised Statutes, a claim may also be commenced at any
17	time following the commission of the act or acts that
18	constituted the sexual abuse under this subsection against a
	2011-2329 SB217 CD1 SMA-2.doc

Page 2

S.B. NO. ²¹⁷ S.D. 2 H.D. 2 C.D. 1

1	legal entity, including the State, if the person committing the
2	act of sexual abuse against the minor was employed by an
3	institution, agency, firm, business, corporation, or other
4	public or private legal entity that owed a duty of care to the
5	victim, or the accused and the minor were engaged in an activity
6	over which the legal entity had some degree of responsibility or
7	control. Damages against the legal entity shall be awarded
8	under this subsection only if there is a finding of gross
9	negligence on the part of the legal entity. Nothing in this
10	subsection shall be deemed to affect a cause of action otherwise
11	provided by statute for recovery of damages for the sexual abuse
12	of a minor if the liability is based on simple negligence.
13	(b) For a period of two years following the effective date
14	of this Act, victims of child sexual abuse that occurred in this
15	State who have been barred from filing suit against their
16	abusers by virtue of the expiration of the former civil statute
17	of limitations shall be permitted to file those claims in the
18	circuit courts of this State against the natural person who
19	committed the act of sexual abuse. A claim may also be brought
20	under this subsection against a legal entity, including the
21	State, if the person committing the act of sexual abuse against
22	the minor was employed by an institution, agency, firm,
	2011-2329 SB217 CD1 SMA-2.doc

Page 3

business, corporation, or other public or private legal entity 1 2 that owed a duty of care to the victim, or the accused and the 3 minor were engaged in an activity over which the legal entity had some degree of responsibility or control. Damages against 4 5 the legal entity shall be awarded under this subsection only if 6 there is a finding of gross negligence on the part of the legal 7 entity. 8 (c) A person against whom a suit is filed may recover 9 attorney's fees if the court determines that a false accusation was made with no basis in fact and with malicious intent. A 10 verdict in favor of the accused shall not be the sole basis for 11 a determination that an accusation was false. The court must 12 make an independent finding of an improper motive to award 13 14 attorney's fees under this section. (d) In any civil action filed pursuant to subsection (a) 15 16 or (b), a certificate of merit shall be filed by the attorney for each plaintiff at the time the action is commenced. The 17 certificate of merit shall contain a notarized statement by a 18 psychologist who is licensed pursuant to chapter 465, a marriage 19 and family therapist who is licensed pursuant to chapter 451J, a 20 mental health counselor who is licensed pursuant to chapter 21 22 453D, a clinical social worker who is licensed pursuant to 2011-2329 SB217 CD1 SMA-2.doc

S.B. NO. ²¹⁷ S.D. 2 Page 4

217 S.D. 2 H.D. 2 S.B. NO. C.D. 1

-	
1	chapter 467E, or a registered nurse who is licensed pursuant to
2	chapter 457, and who is knowledgeable in the relevant facts and
3	issues involved in the particular action. The certificate of
4	merit shall state in reasonable detail the facts and opinions
5 ·	that the psychologist, marriage and family therapist, mental
6	health counselor, licensed clinical social worker, or registered
7	nurse has relied on to conclude that there is a reasonable basis
8	to believe that the plaintiff has been subject to one or more
9	acts that would constitute an offense listed in subsection (a).
10	The psychologist, marriage and family therapist, mental health
11	counselor, licensed clinical social worker, or registered nurse
12	providing the statement may not be a party to the litigation."
13	SECTION 2. The revisor of statutes shall insert the
14	effective date of this Act in the appropriate place in section 1
15	of this Act.
16	SECTION 3. New statutory material is underscored.
17	SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.

APPROVED this

day of

, 2011

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF HAWAII