

Via Capitol Website

#### March 16, 2009

House Committee on Education Hearing Date: Monday, March 16, 2009, 2:00 p.m. in CR 309

### Testimony in <u>Opposition</u> to SB 733 SD2 – Relating to Education and LURF's PROPOSED HD1

(Changes to Act 245 (2007) School Impact Fee Law)

Honorable Chair Roy M. Takumi, Vice Chair Dr. Lyla Berg; and House Education Committee Members:

My name is David Arakawa, and I am the Executive Director of the Land Use Research Foundation of Hawaii (LURF), a private, non-profit research and trade association whose members include major Hawaii landowners, developers and a utility company. One of LURF's missions is to advocate for reasonable, rational and equitable land use planning, legislation and regulations that encourage well-planned economic growth and development, while safeguarding Hawaii's significant natural and cultural resources and public health and safety.

LURF appreciates this opportunity to **provide our comments** and testify and is **in opposition** to the current version of SB 733 SD2, which purports to clarify the law for determining school impact fees for financing new or expanding existing DOE schools or facilities, but it actually makes some major changes to the intent, process and application of Act 245 (2007), the State's school impact fee law.

**LURF's Position.** Although SB 733 SD2 may have been well-intentioned, LURF must respectfully **oppose SB 733 SD2**. LURF has met with the Department of Education (DOE) and stakeholder Ho'okuleana LLC to discuss specific objections to the original version of SB 733, and concerns raised by the Chamber of Commerce of Hawaii, Ho'okuleana, Hawaii Leeward Planning Conference and LURF. The DOE was very helpful, and we resolved several issues. Nevertheless, LURF and some of the other stakeholders still have major concerns with the current SD2 version, which adopts DOE's proposed amendments. LURF and the other stakeholders are continuing to work with DOE to address the concerns of all parties. Under the circumstances, LURF would respectfully request the following:

First, that SB 733, SD2 be <u>held</u>, because it makes some major changes to the original legislation; and DOE should go thru the process with an initial pilot project (West Hawaii) first, then we can talk about revisions to the law;

- Or in the alternative, that this Committee consider <u>LURF's proposed HD1</u> version of the bill for adoption, which includes minor technical revisions (moving sections, clarifications that DOE proposed), but does not include DOE's revisions which we believe would change the intent and operation of the original legislation. We have attached our Proposed HD1 version of the bill that we are asking EDN to consider; or
- Or, <u>defer</u> to allow the stakeholders to engage in further review and discussion - as DOE, LURF and the other stakeholders are meeting to discuss portions of the bill we can mutually agree on, and also our differences.

<u>Summary of LURF's Objections to SD2 (Proposed by DOE)</u>. We understand that the current SD2 version is DOE's version, however, the SD2 includes <u>major changes to the original intent</u>, process and application of Act 245 (2007) Relating to School Impact Fees. A summary of our objections to SD2 are as follows:

• General Comment: A Needs Assessment should be done for each School Impact District, based on the legal nexus and proportionality test. The current law and proposed bill presume an impact every time there is a development, i.e.

"302A-1601 Findings. New residential developments within identified school impact districts create additional demand for public school facilities., As such, once school impact districts are identified, new residential developments shall be required to contribute toward the construction of new or expansion of existing public school facilities ..."

While DOE and the bill suggest the issue will be "studied" — the presumption (and specific language) note all new developments must pay an impact fee. The bill should state that the need must be identified, and not presume that any new development creates the need. We would recommend that language should be added which requires a needs assessment, or some other analysis prior to the presumption that an impact fee is due. Likewise, perhaps language should be added that specifies the criteria for the impact fee (i.e. rational nexus, proportionality, timing, etc.)

- Objection to the deletion of "The analysis shall also consider enrollment at existing school facilities, in and around the school impact district..." It is crucial that the analysis should consider enrollment at existing school facilities, in and around the school impact district, and the statute should include this. DOE agrees that the analysis should include this, but deleted it because they feel it is obvious and need not be stated in the statute. §302A-1605(a), pg 9.
  - Section 8, page 9 Keep line in subsection (a)(1) which reads "The analysis shall also consider... the school impact district;
- Objection to the deletion of provisions allowing the transfer of credits, and new provisions that prohibit the transfer of credits. The ability of a developer to be able to transfer any excess credits to another project was a major consideration in the original Act 245.
  - Nevertheless, DOE deleted the existing provision: "Any excess may be transferred and used as credit against any future land or construction cost requirements on any other development of the State." This provision should be retained in the law. §302A-1606(d), pg 14.

- Section 9, page 14, keep struck through subsection (d) and add some technical changes, which will read "(d) The developer or owner of new residential developments of greater than fifty . . . any other development of the State."
- ODE changed the original intent by adding the following provision: "A credit received...may be applied to the land component impact fee requirement for any future development by the same owner in the same school impact district, or with the written approval of the owner of the credit, to any future development by a different owner in the same school impact district." The Committee should reject this revision. §302A-1610(b), pg 23.
- Objection to the addition of: "If the only improvements needed in a school impact district involve the expansion of existing school facilities....." §302A-1607(g), pg 25. DOE's revisions set up a "one or the other" situation the revision assumes that it will only be a new school or an expansion, and never both. There may be situations where a new project may require a new elementary school and also expansions of a middle or high school. This revision should be rejected.
  - Delete proposed new language of SD2, Subsection (g) which says, "[(g) If the only improvements needed in a school impact district involve... building component cost per student substituted... applicable to the expansion of existing school facilities.]
- Objection to provisions which would prohibit credits for the private construction of private school facilities. Act 245 included the following language which could be interpreted to allow credits for building private school facilities which could reduce the enrollment impacts at existing area schools: "If private construction of school facilities is proposed by the developer...if the proposed construction is acceptable to the department, and if the value of the proposed construction exceeds the total impact fees that would be due from the development, the department shall execute with the developer an agreement to provide reimbursement for the excess credit from the impact fee....." §302A-1611, Section 13, page 23-24.
  - The new provision which provides that "Any <u>owner of a development subject to other construction cost component</u> impact fee requirements pursuant to this subpart, <u>shall receive</u> credit for any <u>private construction or monetary contribution toward the</u> construction of public school facilities.....<u>For purposes of this section, the private construction of school facilities is a "public work" pursuant to chapter 104." §302A-1611(a), pg 23-24. These revisions should be rejected.</u>
  - Keep first paragraph, subsection (c), which says, "If private construction of school facilities is . . . the total impact fees that . . . is a "public work" pursuant to chapter 104." Section 14, p. 25.
  - Before imposing impact fees, the DOE and State should look at using state lands for schools.

**Conclusion.** We respectfully request that the House Committee on Education:

- Hold SB 733, SD2, because it makes some major changes to the original legislation; and DOE should go thru the process with an initial pilot project (West Hawaii) first, then we can talk about revisions to the law;
- Or in the alternative, that this Committee consider LURF's proposed HD1 version of the bill for adoption, which includes minor technical revisions (moving sections, clarifications that DOE proposed), but does not include DOE's revisions which we believe would change the intent and operation of the original legislation. We have attached our Proposed HD1 version of the bill that we are asking EDN to consider; or
- Or, defer this measure, to allow the stakeholders to engage in further review and discussion - as DOE, LURF and the other stakeholders are meeting to discuss portions of the bill we can mutually agree on, and also our differences.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide our comments in **opposition** to SB 733 SD2.

S.B. NO. 733 SD2 Proposed HD1 LURF

#### LATE TESTIMONY

#### Report Title:

DOE; School Impact Fees

#### Description:

Clarifies the law for determining school impact fees for financing new or expanding existing DOE schools or facilities. HD1

S.B. NO. PROPOSED HD1 LURF

### A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO EDUCATION.

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

| 1  | SECTION 1. Pursuant to Act 245, Session Laws of Hawaii           |
|----|--|
| 2  | 2007, the Department of Education is going through the process   |
| 3  | of identifying a school impact district in West Hawaii in order  |
| 4  | to implement school impact fees. This process is consistent      |
| 5  | with what was envisioned by the Act 245, which provided for a    |
| 6  | general methodology and approach for identifying need areas and  |
| 7  | calculating appropriate school impact fees for new residential   |
| 8  | developments. However, based on the department's proposals for   |
| 9  | West Hawaii, the legislature finds that in order to implement a  |
| 10 | program of school impact fees that is consistent with the        |
| 11 | original intent, methodology and approach of Act 245, certain    |
| 12 | minor amendments need to be made to the sections of law creating |
| 13 | school impact districts, and the formulas and practices for      |
| 14 | providing land and collecting fees for new or expanded school    |
| 15 | facilities in areas expecting a large amount of residential      |
| 16 | growth.  |

| 1  |              | The purpose of this Act is to clarify the sections of   |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2  | law perta    | ining to school impact fees to facilitate the provision |
| 3  | of land a    | nd collection of fees for public schools.               |
| 4  | SECT         | ION 2. Chapter 302A, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is        |
| 5  | amended b    | y adding a new section to be appropriately designated   |
| 6  | and to re    | ad as follows:  |
| 7  | " <u>§30</u> | 2A- Use of data reflecting recent conditions in         |
| 8  | impact fe    | e calculations. (a) Every three years, beginning in     |
| 9  | 2010, the    | department shall concurrently update the following:     |
| 10 | (1)          | School site area averages provided in section           |
| 11 |              | 302A-1606(b);   |
| 12 | (2)          | Elementary, middle or intermediate, and high school     |
| 13 |              | permanent facility construction costs per student       |
| 14 |              | provided in section 302A-1607(b); and                   |
| 15 | (3)          | Revenue credit per unit figures provided in section     |
| 16 |              | 302A-1607(e).   |
| 17 | (b)          | Every three years following the initial determination   |
| 18 | pursuant     | to section 302A-1605, the department shall update the   |
| 19 | following    | <u>:</u>  |
| 20 | (1)          | Student generation rates for each established school    |
| 21 |              | impact district; and                                    |

(2) The statewide percentages of students in permanent 1 2 structures and portable classrooms. 3 (c) Every three years beginning in 2010, the department 4 shall, where appropriate, update the list of cost factors for 5 the twenty-six geographically enumerated cost districts, as 6 provided in section 302A-1607(c), by incorporating any changes 7 to these cost factors that have been made by the department of 8 accounting and general services. 9 (d) If any of the above data updates are not completed 10 within the specified time, the current data shall be used until 11 such time as the update is completed." 12 SECTION 3. Chapter 302A, part VI, subpart B, Hawaii 13 Revised Statutes, is amended by amending its title to read as 14 follows: 15 "[+]B.[+] SCHOOL IMPACT FEES" 16 SECTION 4. Section 302A-1601, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 17 amended to read as follows: 18 "[+]\$302A-1601[+] Findings. New residential developments 19 within identified school impact districts may create additional 20 demand for public school facilities. As such, once school 21 impact districts are identified, and based on a showing of such 22 additional demand, new residential developments [will] shall be SB733-PROPOSED HD1 by LURF 090316 (EDN)

| 1  | required   | to contribute toward the construction of new or                        |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | expansion  | of existing public school facilities through:                          |
| 3  | (1)  | The land requirement, either through an in lieu fee or                 |
| 4  |  | actual acreage (unless land is not required in the                     |
| 5  | manners is a second commence of the second co | school impact district), based on each new                             |
| 6  |  | development's proportionate share of the need to                       |
| 7  |  | provide additional public school sites; and                            |
| 8  | (2)  | The construction $\underline{\cos t}$ requirement either through an in |
| 9  |  | lieu or actual construction based on [the] each new                    |
| 10 | *  | development's proportionate share of the need to                       |
| 11 |  | construct additional facilities.                                       |
| 12 | A study c  | ommissioned by the State has identified the land                       |
| 13 | dedication   | n requirement that is consistent with proportionate                    |
| 14 | fair-shar  | e principles and the net capital cost of school                        |
| 15 | facilitie  | s, excluding land costs, that is consistent with                       |
| 16 | proportion   | nate fair-share principles.  |
| 17 | The  | State determines that new residential developments                     |
| 18 | within de  | signated school impact districts shall provide land for                |
| 19 | schools o  | r pay a fee in lieu of land proportionate to the                       |
| 20 | impacts o  | f the new residential development on existing school                   |
| 21 | facilitie  | s. The State also determines that new residential                      |
| 22 | developme  | nts within designated school impact districts shall                    |
|    | SB733-PRO  | POSED HD1 by LURE 090316 (EDN)   |

- 1 also pay school  $\underline{\text{construction cost}}$  impact fees proportionate to
- 2 their impacts.
- 3 In determining school impact fees, construction cost and
- 4 proportionate share, the intent of the school impact fee
- 5 calculations are that new developments should not be charged for
- 6 a higher level of service than is being provided to existing
- 7 developments. A reasonable measure of the level of service is
- 8 the percentage of classrooms that are being utilized by students
- 9 in permanent structures, as opposed to portable buildings.
- 10 This [+] subpart[+] establishes the methodology for
- 11 developers to provide their proportionate share of the land and
- 12 the construction cost of new or expanded school facilities
- 13 needed to serve new residential developments, as determined in
- 14 [section 302A-1607.] sections 302A-1606 and 302A-1607."
- 15 SECTION 5. Section 302A-1602, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 16 amended to read as follows:
- 17 "[+]\$302A-1602[+] Definitions. As used in this
- 18 [+] subpart[+], the following terms shall have the following
- 19 meanings unless the context indicates otherwise:
- "Acres[≠] per student" means the [number of] area of land
- 21 in acres required per student for a school site based on design
- 22 standards for schools, which may include the actual school site
  - SB733-PROPOSED HD1 by LURF 090316 (EDN)

- 1 <u>size and the design enrollment of schools constructed within</u>
- 2 approximately the last ten years.
- 3 "Construction cost" means the net cost to construct a
- 4 school, including without limitation, planning, design,
- 5 engineering, grading, permits, construction, and construction
- 6 and project management, but not including the cost to acquire
- 7 land. [The intent of the school impact fee calculation is that
- 8 new developments should not be charged for a higher level of
- 9 service than is being provided to existing developments.] A
- 10 reasonable measure of the level of service is the percentage of
- 11 classrooms that are in permanent structures, as opposed to
- 12 portable buildings.
- "Construction cost component impact fee" means ten per cent
- 14 of the share of the construction cost for the required new
- 15 school, the expansion of existing school facilities that are
- 16 attributable to a specific development, or both.
- "Cost per student" means the [construction cost for a
- 18 school per student (actual school construction cost divided by
- 19 enrollment capacity).] average of actual school construction
- 20 costs, expressed in current dollars, divided by the respective
- 21 design enrollments, for schools constructed within approximately
- 22 the last ten years.

1 ["Cost/unit" means the impact fee for school construction 2 (land and construction).] 3 "County" means the city and county of Honolulu, the county of Hawaii, the county of Kauai, and the county of Maui. 4 5 "Department" means the department of education. "Design enrollment" means the maximum number of students, 6 7 or student capacity, a permanent school facility is designed to 8 accommodate. 9 "Developer" means a person, corporation, organization, 10 partnership, association, or other legal entity constructing, 11 erecting, enlarging, altering, or engaging in any residential 12 development activity. 13 "Dwelling unit" or "unit" means a multi-family or single-14 family residential unit. 15 "Fee in lieu" means a fee that is paid in lieu of the 16 dedication of land, as determined pursuant to section 302A-1606. 17 "Land component" means a fee simple property that is 18 vacant, suitable for a school site, and improved [+] with 19 infrastructure[+]. "Land component impact fee" means the share of the required 20 school site area, the fair market value of the fee simple land 21 area, or any combination thereof that is attributed to a 22 SB733-PROPOSED HD1 by LURF 090316 (EDN)

1 specific development based on the student generation rate from 2 the project. 3 "Level of service" means the percentage of classrooms that 4 are located in permanent structures, but not including 5 classrooms located in portable buildings. 6 "Multi-family" means any dwelling unit other than a single 7 family dwelling unit. ["Multi-family unit count" means the total multi-family 8 9 dwelling units planned for a proposed development. "New residential development" means new residential 10 11 projects involving rezoned properties or parcels, current zoned parcels with or without buildings, and redevelopment projects. 12 13 These projects include subdivisions and other forms of "lot 14 only" developments (when the dwelling [unit] units will not be built by the developer), and [include] developments that include 15 16 single-family and multi-family units, condominiums, and additional or accessory dwelling units as defined by each 17 18 county[, and subdivisions.]. 19 "Owner" means the owner of record of real property or the 20 owner's authorized agent. 21 "Proportionate share" means the pro rata share of the 22 school impact fee attributed to the specific development based

- 1 on the student generation rate from and number of units in the
- 2 project.
- 3 "Recent school construction and site area averages" means
- 4 the department's historical average acres required and
- 5 enrollment capacity for elementary (K-5), middle (6-8), and high
- 6 (9-12)-schools. Based on existing school construction data, the
- 7 historical average design standards are as follows:
- 8 [acres]Land Area /school Enrollment/school [acres]Land Area/student
- **9** Elem. 12.5 acres 800 students .0156 acres
- **10** Middle 16.5 acres 1,500 students .0110 acres
- 11 High 49 acres 1,600 students .0306 acres
- "Revenue credit" means the state general tax revenues under
- 13 chapter 237 that will be generated by [the] a new [residential]
- 14 dwelling unit and used to fund school capital facilities and pay
- 15 for outstanding debt on existing facilities.
- 16 "School facilities" means the facilities owned or operated
- 17 by the department, or the facilities included in the department
- 18 of education capital budget or capital facilities plan.
- "School impact district" means a geographic area designated
- 20 by the board where anticipated [growth] new residential
- 21 development will create the need for one or more new schools or
- the expansion of one or more existing schools that are or will SB733-PROPOSED HD1 by LURF 090316 (EDN)

- 1 be located within the area and will primarily serve new
- 2 [housing] dwelling units within the area.
- 3 ["School impact fee: construction cost component" means ten
- 4 per cent of the construction cost associated with the
- 5 construction of a new school or expansion of an existing school
- 6 facility.
- 7 "School impact fee: land component" means the pro rata
- 8 share of the fair market value of the fee simple land or acreage
- 9 attributed to the specific development based on the student
- 10 generation rate from the project.
- "Single-family" means a detached dwelling unit not
- 12 connected to any other dwelling unit, or a detached building
- 13 containing two dwelling units.
- "Single-family unit count" means the total single-family
- 15 units planned for a proposed development.
- 16 "Student generation rate" means the number of students
- 17 generated by each multi-family and single-family unit when a
- 18 residential development has matured and enrollment per unit no
- 19 longer fluctuates[7] significantly, or [achieves] has
- 20 substantially achieved a steady state."
- 21 SECTION 6. Section 302A-1603, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 22 amended to read as follows:

| 1  | " [+]     | \$302A-1603[+] Applicability and exemptions. (a)              |
|----|-----------|---|
| 2  | Except as | provided in subsection (b), any person who seeks to           |
| 3  | develop a | new residential development within a designated school        |
| 4  | impact di | strict requiring:   |
| -5 | (1)       | A county subdivision approval;                                |
| 6  | (2)       | A county building permit; or                                  |
| 7  | (3)       | A condominium property regime approval for the                |
| 8  |           | project,  |
| 9  | shall be  | required to fulfill the land <u>dedication</u> or fee in lieu |
| 10 | requireme | nt and vertical construction cost component impact fee        |
| 11 | requireme | nt of the department.   |
| 12 | (b)       | The following shall be exempt from this section:              |
| 13 | (1)       | Any form of housing permanently excluding school-aged         |
| 14 |           | children, with the necessary covenants or declarations        |
| 15 |           | of restrictions recorded on the property;                     |
| 16 | (2)       | Any form of housing [which] that is or will be paying         |
| 17 |           | the transient accommodations tax under chapter 237D;          |
| 18 | (3)       | All nonresidential development; and                           |
| 19 | (4)       | Any development with an executed education                    |
| 20 |           | contribution agreement or other like document with the        |
| 21 |           | department for the contribution of school sites or            |

| 1  | payment of fees for school land or school                          |
|----|--|
| 2  | construction.  |
| 3  | SECTION 7. Section 302A-1604, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is          |
| 4  | amended to read as follows:  |
| 5  | "[ $\{$ ] $§302A-1604[\}] Designation of school impact districts.$ |
| 6  | (a) The board shall designate a school impact district for         |
| 7  | school impact fees only after holding at least one public          |
| 8  | hearing in the area proposed for the school impact district.       |
| 9  | The written analysis, prepared in accordance with subsection       |
| 10 | (b), shall be made available to the public at least thirty days    |
| 11 | prior to the public hearing. Notice of the public hearing shall    |
| 12 | be made as provided in section 1-28.5. The notice shall include    |
| 13 | a map of the proposed school impact district and the date, time,   |
| 14 | and place of the public hearing.                                   |
| 15 | (b) Prior to the designation of a school impact district,          |
| 16 | the department shall prepare a written analysis that contains      |
| 17 | the following:   |
| 18 | (1) A map and legend describing the boundaries of the              |
| 19 | proposed school impact district area, which may range              |
| 20 | from one school to one or more high school complexes               |
| 21 | as well as maps and legends describing surrounding                 |
| 22 | districts and school enrollments at existing school                |

| 1  |            | facilities in and around the school impact district;    |
|----|------------|---|
| 2  |            | and   |
| 3  | (2)        | Analysis to support the need to construct new or        |
| 4  |            | expand existing school facilities in the proposed       |
| 5  |            | school impact district area within the next twenty-     |
| 6  |            | five years to accommodate projected growth in the area  |
| 7  |            | based on various state and county land use,             |
| 8  |            | demographics, growth, density, and other applicable     |
| 9  |            | historical data projections and plans."                 |
| 10 | SECT       | ION 8. Section 302A-1605, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is   |
| 11 | amended to | o read as follows:                                      |
| 12 | "[+];      | §302A-1605[] Impact fee analysis. (a) Upon              |
| 13 | designati  | on of a school impact district, the department shall    |
| 14 | prepare a  | n impact fee analysis that shall include, at a minimum, |
| 15 | the follo  | wing:   |
| 16 | (1)        | An analysis to determine appropriate student            |
| 17 |            | generation rates by housing type (multi-family [unit    |
| 18 |            | count and single-family unit count) for new             |
| 19 |            | developments in the area. and single-family) for all    |
| 20 |            | new developments in the school impact district area.    |
| 21 |            | This will provide the basis for determining the steady  |
| 22 |            | state enrollment generated by new residential           |
|    |            |   |

| 1  |                | developments that will need to be accommodated. The    |
|----|----------------|--|
| 2  |                | analysis shall also consider enrollment at existing    |
| 3  |                | school facilities, in and around the school impact     |
| 4  |                | district;  |
| 5  | (2)            | Student generation rates, based on full build-out of   |
| 6  |                | the development when student generation rates are      |
| 7  |                | anticipated to be in a steady state mode (permanent    |
| 8  |                | facility);   |
| 9  | <del>(3)</del> | Analysis of the initial development period, when       |
| 10 |                | student enrollments are anticipated to peak (to        |
| 11 |                | determine capacity of facilities);                     |
| 12 | (3)            | An analysis to estimate the number of students         |
| 13 | •              | generated by all new developments in the school impact |
| 14 |                | district area at the point in time when the total      |
| 15 |                | enrollment from these developments is anticipated to   |
| 16 |                | peak. This information is not required for or related  |
| 17 |                | to the determination of the impact fee, however, this  |
| 18 |                | will provide the basis for determining the maximum     |
| 19 |                | enrollment generated by new residential developments   |
| 20 |                | that will need to be accommodated in both permanent    |
| 21 |                | facilities and portable buildings;                     |

| 1  | (4)            | An analysis to identify the percentages of existing         |
|----|----------------|---|
| 2  |                | statewide student enrollment at the elementary school,      |
| 3  |                | middle or intermediate school, and high school levels       |
| 4  |                | that are located in permanent structures, as opposed        |
| 5  |                | portable buildings, in surrounding high school              |
| 6  |                | complexes;  |
| 7  | (5)            | Calculation of the current statewide level of service,      |
| 8  |                | which shall be the ratio of current student capacity        |
| 9  |                | at all school levels to the current enrollment at all       |
| 10 |                | school levels;  |
| 11 | (6)            | An analysis, including but not limited to, of proposed      |
| 12 |                | redistricting, listing the advantages and                   |
| 13 |                | disadvantages by making more efficient use of existing      |
| 14 |                | underutilized assets;                                       |
| 15 | (7)            | An analysis of appropriate school land area, other          |
| 16 |                | state lands, and enrollment capacity, which may             |
| 17 |                | include nontraditional (i.e., mid-rise or high-rise         |
| 18 |                | structures) facilities to accommodate the need for          |
| 19 |                | public school facilities in high growth areas <u>and/or</u> |
| 20 |                | within existing urban developments; and                     |
| 21 | <del>(8)</del> | An analysis to identify the percentages of existing         |
| 22 |                | student enrollment at the elementary school, middle or      |
|    |                |   |

| T  |      | intermediate school, and might school levels that are  |
|----|------|--|
| 2  |      | located in permanent structures, and the percentages   |
| 3  |      | that are located in portable buildings in surrounding  |
| 4  |      | high school complexes.                                 |
| 5. | (8)  | The analyses specified in subsections (1) and (2)      |
| 6  |      | above shall be periodically updated for each school    |
| 7  |      | impact district pursuant to the provisions in Section  |
| 8  |      | 302A-1608  |
| 9  | (9)  | An analysis, including but not limited to, the         |
| 10 |      | advantages and disadvantages of the potential for      |
| 11 |      | making more efficient use of existing or underutilized |
| 12 |      | assets in the school impact district through school    |
| 13 |      | redistricting; and                                     |
| 14 | (10) | An analysis, including but not limited to, the         |
| 15 |      | advantages and disadvantages of potential changes to   |
| 16 |      | statewide school site areas and design enrollment      |
| 17 |      | standards that may be appropriate for application in   |
| 18 |      | the particular school impact district. This may        |
| 19 |      | include, for example, non-traditional facilities such  |
| 20 |      | as mid-rise or high-rise structures in existing urban  |
| 21 | er.  | areas where new residential development is expected to |
| 22 |      | generate the need for new school construction.         |

| 1  | (b) The analyses specified in subsections (a) (1) and (3)                      |
|----|--|
| 2  | shall be periodically updated pursuant to section 302A"                        |
| 3  | SECTION 9. Section 302A-1606, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is                      |
| 4  | amended to read as follows:  |
| 5  | "[ $\{\}$ \$302A-1606[ $\}$ ] Impact fee[ $\{\}$ ]; land component[ $\{-\}$ ]; |
| 6  | determining the amount of land or fee in lieu. (a) The school                  |
| 7  | <u>land</u> area requirements for new [school facilities shall be              |
| 8  | determined based on the recent school construction averages.                   |
| 9  | residential developments in a school impact district shall be                  |
| 10 | based on recent school construction averages and student                       |
| 11 | generation rates established pursuant to section 302A-                         |
| 12 | 1605(a)(1), recent school land area averages as specified in                   |
| 13 | subsection (b), and the number of dwelling units in the                        |
| 14 | development.   |
| 15 | (b) The following formula shall be used to determine the                       |
| 16 | total school land area requirement for each individual                         |
| 17 | development in a school impact district:                                       |
| 18 | Elementary school student generation rate                                      |
| 19 | per single-family unit (x) number of single-                                   |
| 20 | family units (x) recent average elementary                                     |
| 21 | school site area per student;  |
| 22 | plus (+)   |

| 1  | Elementary school student generation rate            |
|----|--|
| 2  | per multi-family unit (x) number of multi-           |
| 3  | family units (x) recent average elementary           |
| 4  | school site area per student;                        |
| 5  | plus (+)   |
| 6  | Middle or intermediate school student                |
| 7  | generation rate per single-family unit (x)           |
| 8  | number of single-family units (x) recent             |
| 9  | average middle or intermediate school site           |
| 10 | area per student;                                    |
| 11 | plus (+)   |
| 12 | Middle or intermediate school student                |
| 13 | <pre>generation rate per multi-family unit (x)</pre> |
| 14 | number of multi-family units (x) recent              |
| 15 | average middle or intermediate school site           |
| 16 | area per student;                                    |
| 17 | plus (+)   |
| 18 | High school student generation rate per              |
| 19 | single-family unit (x) number of single-             |
| 20 | family units (x) recent average high school          |
| 21 | <pre>site area per student;</pre>                    |
| 22 | plus (+)   |

| 1  | High school student generation rate per                                 |
|----|---|
| 2  | multi-family unit (x) number of multi-family                            |
| 3  | units (x) recent average high school site                               |
| 4  | area per student;   |
| 5  | equals (=)  |
| 6  | Total school land requirement.  |
| 7  | [ <del>(b)</del> ] <u>(c)</u> The procedure for determining whether the |
| 8  | dedication of land is required or a payment of a fee in lieu is         |
| 9  | required for a new school facility or to satisfy the land               |
| 10 | component impact fee shall be as follows:                               |
| 11 | (1) A new residential development [of greater than or                   |
| 12 | equal to fifty units, shall include a written                           |
| 13 | agreement, prior to the issuance of a building permit,                  |
| 14 | between the owner or developer of the property and the                  |
| 15 | department, with fifty or more units shall include a                    |
| 16 | written agreement between the owner or developer of                     |
| 17 | the property and the department, executed prior to                      |
| 18 | issuance of a building permit, under which the owner                    |
| 19 | or developer has:   |
| 20 | (A) Agreed to designate an area to be dedicated for                     |
| 21 | one or more schools for the development, subject                        |
| 22 | to approval by the department; or                                       |

| 1      | (B) Agreed to pay to the department, at a time                    |
|--------|---|
| 2      | specified in the agreement, a fee in lieu of land                 |
| 3      | dedication[;  |
| 4 (2)  | New residential developments $[\frac{1}{2}]$ with less than fifty |
| .5     | units shall include a written agreement $[	au]$ between the       |
| 6      | owner or the developer of the property and the                    |
| 7      | department, executed prior to the issuance of the                 |
| 8      | building permit, under which the owner or developer               |
| 9      | has agreed to a time specified for payment for the fee            |
| 10     | in lieu [prior to the issuance of the building                    |
| 11     | permit;   |
| 12 (3) | Prior to approval of any [subdivision,] change of                 |
| 13     | zoning, subdivision, or any other approval for a:                 |
| 14     | (A) Residential development [equal to or greater than             |
| 15     | fifty or more units; or   |
| 16     | (B) Condominium property regime development of fifty              |
| 17     | or more units [or more],  |
| 18     | the department shall notify the approving agency of               |
| 19     | its determination on whether [ <del>to require the</del>          |
| 20     | dedication of land, the payment of] it will require               |
| 21     | the development to dedicate land, pay a fee in lieu               |

| 1  |   | thereof, or a combination of both[+] for the provision |
|----|---|--|
| 2  |   | of new school facilities.                              |
| 3  | [ <del>(4)</del>                                    | When land dedication is required, the land shall be    |
| 4  |   | conveyed to the State upon completion of the           |
| 5  | (4.3 E. ). (3.43.5551311314444444444141434355415044 | subdivision improvements and any offsite               |
| 6  |   | infrastructure necessary to serve the land;            |
| 7  | <del>(5)</del>                                      | When the payment of a fee in lieu is required, the fee |
| 8  | ž   | in lieu shall be paid based on the terms contained in  |
| 9  |   | the written agreement;                                 |
| 10 | <del>(6)</del>                                      | Whether the department determines to require land      |
| 11 |   | dedication or the payment of a fee in lieu, shall be   |
| 12 |   | guided by the following criteria:                      |
| 13 | (4)   | The department's determination to require land         |
| 14 |   | dedication or the payment of a fee in lieu, or a       |
| 15 |   | combination of both, shall be guided by the following  |
| 16 |   | criteria:  |
| 17 |   | (A) The topography, geology, access, value, and        |
| 18 |   | location of the land available for dedication;         |
| 19 |   | (B) The size and shape of the land available for       |
| 20 |   | dedication;  |
| 21 |   | (C) The location of existing or proposed schooling     |
| 22 |   | facilities; and  |
|    |   |  |

| 1  |                    | (D) The availability of infrastructure[; and].         |
|----|--------------------|--|
| 2  | [ <del>(7)</del> ] | (5) The determination of the department as to whether  |
| 3  |                    | lands shall be dedicated or whether a fee in lieu      |
| 4  |                    | shall be paid, or a combination of both, shall be      |
| 5  |                    | final.   |
| 6  | (6)                | When land dedication is required, the land shall be    |
| 7  |                    | conveyed to the State upon completion of the           |
| 8  |                    | subdivision improvements and any offsite               |
| 9  |                    | infrastructure necessary to serve the land.            |
| 10 | (7)                | When the payment of a fee in lieu is required, the fee |
| 11 |                    | in lieu shall be paid based on the terms contained in  |
| 12 |                    | the written agreement.                                 |
| 13 | [ <del>(c)</del>   | ] (d) In determining the value per acre for any new    |
| 14 | residenti          | al development, the fee simple value of the land       |
| 15 | identifie          | d for the new or expanded school facility shall be     |
| 16 | based on           | the appraised fair market value of improved, vacant    |
| 17 | land, zon          | ed for residential use, and serviced by roads,         |
| 18 | utilities          | , and drainage. An appraiser, licensed pursuant to     |
| 19 | chapter 4          | 66K, who is selected and paid for by the developer,    |
| 20 | shall det          | ermine the value of the land. If the department does   |
| 21 | not agree          | with the developer's appraisal, the department may     |
| 22 | engage an          | other licensed appraiser at its own expense, and       |
|    | SB733-PR0          | POSED HD1 by LURE 090316 (EDN)                         |

### S.B. NO. PROPOSED HD1

- 1 resolve, through negotiation between the two appraisers, a fair
- 2 market value. If neither party agrees, the first two appraisers
- 3 shall select the third appraiser, with the cost of the third
- 4 appraisal being shared equally by the department and the
- 5 developer, and the third appraisal shall be binding on both
- 6 parties.
- 7 [<del>(d)</del>](e) The developer or owner of new residential
- 8 developments of greater than fifty units shall either pay the in
- 9 lieu fee based on the land value as determined in subsection
- 10 [<del>(c)</del>] (e) or convey appropriate acreage as determined in
- 11 subsection  $[\frac{b}{a}]$  (d). When conveying the fee simple interest
- 12 for the new or expanded school facility, the developers shall be
- 13 credited the difference between the fair market fee simple value
- 14 of the property and the developers' proportionate share of the
- 15 value of the land as determined in subsection  $[\frac{(c)}{(c)}]$  (e) against
- 16 any impact fees for construction. Any excess may be transferred
- 17 and used as credit against any future land or construction cost
- 18 requirements on any other development of the State.
- 19  $\left[\frac{d}{d}\right]$  (f) The dollar amount of the fee in lieu shall be
- 20 determined using the following formula:
- 21 Acres of land [calculated according] subject to the fee in
- lieu as determined pursuant to subsection [<del>(b)</del>] (d)

| 1  | multip          | olied by the value per acre of land determined pursuant |
|----|-----------------|---|
| 2  | to sul          | osection [ <del>(c)</del> ] <u>(e)</u> ."               |
| 3  | SECTI           | ON 10. Section 302A-1607, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is   |
| 4  | amended to      | read as follows:  |
| 5  | <u>"[+]</u> §   | 302A-1607[] Impact fee[:]; construction cost            |
| 6  | component       | [-]; determining the [cost per unit.] amount of the     |
| 7  | <b>fee.</b> (a) | The construction cost component of the school impact    |
| 8  | fees shall      | be calculated using the following factors:              |
| 9  | (1)             | For new school construction, the cost per student for   |
| 10 |                 | each school type (elementary, middle or intermediate,   |
| 11 |                 | and high school) is based on the ten-year average       |
| 12 |                 | construction of a new school facility using the         |
| 13 |                 | Honolulu assessment district in 2006 as the base.       |
| 14 |                 | Costs for construction completed earlier than 2006      |
| 15 |                 | shall be escalated to 2006 using the engineering news-  |
| 16 |                 | record construction cost index;                         |
| 17 | (2)             | For expansion of existing school facilities, the cost   |
| 18 |                 | per student for each school type (elementary, middle    |
| 19 |                 | or intermediate, and high school) is based on the ten-  |
| 20 |                 | year average construction of whatever components are    |
| 21 |                 | required to expand the school using the Honolulu        |
| 22 |                 | assessment district in 2006 as the base;                |

| 1  | (3)       | The cost per student in other assessment districts      |
|----|-----------|---|
| 2  |           | shall be the cost per student in the Honolulu           |
| 3  |           | assessment district multiplied by the appropriate cost  |
| 4  |           | factor in subsection (c). At least every three years,   |
| 5  |           | the department shall update the cost per student based  |
| 6  |           | on the construction of a new permanent school           |
| 7  |           | facility, and present the written analysis to the       |
| 8  |           | board for review; and                                   |
| 9  | (4)       | Student generation rates, as defined in section         |
| 10 |           | 302A-1602.  |
| 11 | (b)       | The student generation rate for each school type        |
| 12 | (elementa | ry, middle or intermediate, and high school) shall be   |
| 13 | multiplie | d by the cost per student for each school type          |
| 14 | (elementa | ry, middle or intermediate, and high school) to         |
| 15 | determine | the cost/unit in the development.]                      |
| 16 | (c)       | The construction cost component impact fee shall be     |
| 17 | based on  | recent public school construction costs. The 1997 to    |
| 18 | 2007 peri | od school construction costs per student, adjusted for  |
| 19 | both the  | year 2007 and for the Honolulu assessment district, are |
| 20 | as follow | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                   |
| 21 | (1)       | Elementary schools: \$35,357 per student;               |

| 1  | (2)                | Middle and                | intermediate school  | s: \$36,09   | 7 per student; |
|----|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 2  |                    | and                       |                      |              |                |
| 3  | (3)                | High schools              | s: \$64,780 per stu  | ident.       |                |
| 4  | The costs          | per student               | for other assessme   | ent distric  | ts shall be    |
| 5  | determined         | d by multiply             | ying the Honolulu a  | ssessment o  | district costs |
| 6  | per studer         | nt by the app             | olicable cost facto  | or in subse  | ction (c).     |
| 7  | These cost         | s per stude               | nt shall be updated  | l at least o | every three    |
| 8  | years, pur         | suant to the              | e provisions in sec  | ction 302A-  | •              |
| 9  | [ <del>(c)</del> ] | $(\underline{d})$ The Sta | ate shall be divide  | ed into the  | following      |
| 10 | twenty-six         | k geographica             | ally limited cost o  | districts[÷  | ], and the     |
| 11 | cost facto         | ors listed fo             | or each cost distri  | ct shall be  | e applied to   |
| 12 | the calcul         | lation of sc              | hool construction of | costs per u  | nit pursuant   |
| 13 | to subsect         | cion (d):                 |                      |              |                |
| 14 | Cost               | District                  | School District      |              | Cost Factor    |
| 15 | Honol              | lulu                      | Honolulu             |              | 1.00           |
| 16 | Ewa                |                           | Leeward/Central      | L            | 1.00           |
| 17 | Wahia              | awa                       | Central              |              | 1.05           |
| 18 | Waial              | lua                       | Central              |              | 1.10           |
| 19 | Koola              | aupoko                    | Windward             |              | 1.00           |
| 20 | Koola              | auloa                     | Windward             |              | 1.00           |
| 21 | Waiar              | nae                       | Leeward              |              | 1.10           |
| 22 | Hilo               |                           | Hawaii               |              | 1.15           |
|    |                    |                           |                      |              |                |

| 1  | Puna  | Hawaii                     | 1.20             |
|----|---|----------------------------|------------------|
| 2  | Kona  | Hawaii                     | 1.20             |
| 3  | Hamakua   | Hawaii                     | 1.20             |
| 4  | South Kohala  | Hawaii                     | 1.20             |
| 5  | North Kohala  | Hawaii                     | 1,25             |
| 6  | Pohakuloa   | Hawaii                     | 1.25             |
| 7  | Kau   | Hawaii                     | 1.30             |
| 8  | Wailuku   | Maui                       | 1.15             |
| 9  | Makawao   | Maui                       | 1.25             |
| 10 | Lahaina   | Maui                       | 1.30             |
| 11 | Hana  | Maui                       | 1.35             |
| 12 | Molokai   | Molokai                    | 1.30             |
| 13 | Lanai   | Lanai                      | 1.35             |
| 14 | Lihue   | Kauai                      | 1.15             |
| 15 | Koloa   | Kauai                      | 1.20             |
| 16 | Kawaihau  | Kauai                      | 1.20             |
| 17 | Waimea  | Kauai                      | 1.25             |
| 18 | Hanalei   | Kauai                      | 1.25             |
| 19 | $\left[\frac{(d)}{d}\right]\left(\underline{e}\right)$ At least | every three years, and co  | oncurrent with   |
| 20 | any update of the costs   | per student, the departme  | ent shall update |
| 21 | the revenue credits and   | present the written analy  | ysis to the      |
| 22 | board for review. The   | calculation of revenue cre | edits shall be   |
|    | SB733-PROPOSED HD1 by L   | URF 090316 (EDN)           | 27               |

```
reviewed and calculated recognizing that the impact fee shall be
1
2
    set at one hundred per cent of the fair market value of the land
3
    and ten per cent of the total school construction cost.
4
          [(e)](f) The construction cost component of the impact
5
    fees per dwelling unit shall be ten per cent of the amounts
    calculated according to the following formula:
6
7
              Cost per dwelling unit from [[]subsection (b)[]] minus
8
              any amount by which the revenue credit per dwelling
9
              unit from subsection (d) exceeds ninety per cent of
10
              the per unit construction cost.
11
          [\(\frac{(d)}{}\)](g) The amount of the fee shall be [\(\frac{\text{increased}}{}\)]
12
    adjusted from the date it was determined to the date it is paid
13
    using the engineering news-record construction cost index, or an
14
    equivalent index if that index is discontinued.
15
          [+(q)-] (h) Any new residential development shall be required
16
    to obtain a written agreement executed between the owner or
17
    developer of the property and the department, prior to the
    issuance of a building permit, under which the owner or
18
19
    developer has agreed to a time specified for payment [, for] of
20
    its [school impact fee] construction cost component [prior to
21
    the issuance of the building permit.] school impact fee."
```

- 1 SECTION 11. Section 302A-1608, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 2 amended to read as follows:
- 3 "[+]\$302A-1608[+] Accounting and expenditure requirements.
- 4 (a) Each designated school impact district shall be a separate
- 5 benefit district. Fees collected within each school impact
- 6 district shall be spent only within the same school impact
- 7 district for the purposes collected.
- **8** (b) Land dedicated by the developer shall be used only as
- 9 a site for the construction of one or more new schools or for
- 10 the expansion of existing school facilities [-] serving the
- 11 school impact district.
- (c) If the land is [never] not used for [the] a school
- 13 facility[ $\tau$ ] within twenty years of its dedication, it shall be
- 14 returned to the developer, or the developer's successor in
- 15 interest.
- 16 (d) Once  $used[\tau]$  for school facilities, all or part of the
- 17 land may be later sold. [, with the proceeds] Proceeds from this
- 18 sale shall be used to acquire land for school facilities in the
- 19 same school impact district.
- 20 [<del>(c)</del>] (e) Fee in lieu funds may be used for [expenses
- 21 related to acquiring a piece of land, school site land
- acquisition and related expenses, including but not limited to
  - SB733-PROPOSED HD1 by LURF 090316 (EDN)

- 1 surveying, appraisals, and legal fees. Fee in lieu funds shall
- 2 not be used for the maintenance or operation of existing schools
- 3 in the district, construction costs, including architectural,
- 4 permitting, or financing costs, or for administrative expenses.
- 5 (d) Impact fees for the construction cost component shall
- 6 be used only for the costs of new school facilities that expands
- 7 the student capacity of existing schools or adds student
- 8 capacity in new schools. School impact fees may not be used to
- 9 replace an existing school located within the same school impact
- 10 district, either on the same site or on a different site.
- 11 (g) If construction cost component impact fees shall be
- 12 used for the costs of new school facilities that expand the
- 13 student capacity of existing schools or add student capacity in
- 14 new schools. Eligible construction costs include but are not
- 15 limited to planning, engineering, architectural, permitting,
- 16 financing, and administrative expenses, and any other capital
- 17 equipment expenses pertaining to educational facilities.
- (h) Construction cost component impact fees shall not be
- 19 expended for:
- 20 (1) The maintenance or operation of existing schools in
- the district; or
- 22 (2) Portable or temporary facilities.

| 1  | (i) In the event of closure, demolition, or conversion of                      |
|----|--|
| 2  | an existing permanent department facility within a school impact               |
| 3  | district [that] has the effect of reducing student capacity, an                |
| 4  | amount of new student capacity in permanent buildings equivalent               |
| 5  | to the lost capacity shall <u>not</u> be funded with [ <del>non-school</del> ] |
| 6  | school impact fee revenue. [Eligible construction costs include                |
| 7  | but are not limited to planning, engineering, architectural,                   |
| 8  | permitting, financing, and administrative expenses, and any                    |
| 9  | other capital equipment expenses pertaining to educational                     |
| 10 | facilities. Impact fees for the construction cost component                    |
| 11 | shall not be expended for:   |
| 12 | (1) Any costs related to the acquisition of land;                              |
| 13 | (2) The maintenance or operation of existing schools in                        |
| 14 | the district; or   |
| 15 | (3) Portable or temporary facilities.  |
| 16 | (e) Impact fees and fees [(j) Fees in lieu of land                             |
| 17 | dedication, proceeds from the sale of all or part of an existing               |
| 18 | school site that has been dedicated by a developer pursuant to                 |
| 19 | the requirements of this subpart, and construction cost                        |
| 20 | component impact fees shall be expended or encumbered within                   |
| 21 | twenty years of the date of collection. Fees shall be                          |
| 22 | considered spent or encumbered on a first-in, first-out basis.                 |
|    | SB733-PROPOSED HD1 by LURF 090316 (EDN)  |

## S.B. NO. PROPOSED HD1

- 1 An expenditure plan for [the] all collected impact fees shall be
- 2 incorporated into the annual budget process of the department
- 3 and subject to legislative approval of the budget."
- 4 SECTION 12. Section 302A-1609, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 5 amended to read as follows:
- 6 "[+]\$302A-1609[+] Refunds[-] of fees. If [+he] a fee in
- 7 lieu of land dedication or a construction cost component impact
- 8 fee is not expended within twenty years of the date of
- 9 collection, the department shall either:
- 10 (1) Refund to the developer, or the developer's successor
- in interest, the amount of the fee in lieu paid and
- any interest accrued thereon; or
- 13 (2) Recommit part or all of the fees for another twenty-
- 14 year period for construction of new schools in the school impact
- 15 district, as authorized by the developer or the developer's
- 16 successor."
- 17 SECTION 13. Section 302A-1610, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 18 amended to read as follows:
- "[+]\$302A-1610[+] Credits for excess land dedication. (a)
- 20 Any [person] owner of a development subject to the land
- 21 [dedication] component impact fee requirements pursuant to this
- [+] subpart] may apply for credit, who dedicates more land for SB733-PROPOSED HD1 by LURF 090316 (EDN)

- 1 school facilities than is required for that development, shall
- 2 receive credit for the excess dedicated land area, against any
- 3 similar dedication or payment accepted and received by the
- 4 department for the project.
- 5 (b) Any credit provided for under this section shall be
- $\mathbf{6}$  based on the value  $[\tau]$  determined in the manner provided under
- 7 section 302A-1606.
- 8 (c) Excess credits for land dedications made prior to [the
- 9 effective date of this Act] July 1, 2009 that are in excess of a
- 10 developer's requirement under this subpart shall be based on the
- 11 determined value of the excess dedication; provided that the
- 12 credit amount shall not exceed the value of the dedication or
- 13 fee in lieu required under this [+] subpart[+].
- 14 (d) In addition to, or instead of applying such credits to
- 15 future developments, the department may execute with an owner of
- 16 credits an agreement to provide for partial or full
- 17 reimbursement from the school impact fee payments collected from
- 18 other developers within the same school impact district. The
- 19 reimbursements shall not exceed the amount of the fee revenues
- 20 available in the account for that school impact district."
- 21 SECTION 14. Section 302A-1611, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 22 amended to read as follows:

| 1  | "[+]\$302A-1611[+] Credits for excess contributions or                              |
|----|---|
| 2  | advance payment of required construction cost component impact                      |
| 3  | fees. (a) Any owner of a development subject to the                                 |
| 4  | construction cost component impact fee requirements pursuant to                     |
| 5  | this [subpart] shall receive for credit for any similar                             |
| 6  | contribution, payment, or construction of public school                             |
| 7  | facilities that is accepted and received by the department $\underline{\text{for}}$ |
| 8  | the development and is in excess of the impact fee required                         |
| 9  | under this subpart for that development. No credit shall be                         |
| 10 | authorized against the impact fees in lieu of land dedication.                      |
| 11 | (b) A credit may be applied only against school impact                              |
| 12 | fees that would otherwise be due for new residential                                |
| 13 | developments for which the payment or contribution was agreed to                    |
| 14 | in a written educational contribution agreement.                                    |
| 15 | (c) Such excess contribution credit may be applied to the                           |
| 16 | construction cost component impact fee requirement for any                          |
| 17 | future development by the same owner in the same school impact                      |
| 18 | district, or with the written approval of the owner of the                          |
| 19 | credit, to any future development by a different owner in the                       |
| 20 | same school impact district.  |
| 21 | (d) In addition to, or instead of applying the credits to                           |
| 22 | future developments, the department may execute with an owner of                    |
|    |   |

- 1 the credits an agreement to provide for partial or full
- 2 reimbursement from the impact fee payments collected from other
- 3 developers within the same school impact district. The
- 4 reimbursements shall not exceed the amount of the impact fee
- 5 revenues available in the account for that school impact
- 6 district.
- 7 (e) Any owner of a development shall receive credit for
- 8 any part of its required construction cost component impact fee
- 9 that, with the approval of the department, is paid in advance of
- 10 the time specified in the written agreement executed in
- 11 accordance with section 302A-1607(i). The department shall
- 12 maintain an accounting of the amount of the credit applicable to
- 13 the new residential development and shall reduce the amount of
- 14 the credit by the amount of the [school] impact fees that would
- 15 otherwise be due for each building permit issued for the new
- 16 residential development. After the credit balance is exhausted,
- 17 no additional credits shall be applied to subsequent building
- 18 permits issued within the new residential development.
- (f) {(c)} If private construction of school facilities is
- 20 proposed by a developer after [the effective date of this Act]
- 21 July 1, 2009, if the proposed construction is acceptable to the
- 22 department, and if the value of the proposed construction

## S.B. NO. PROPOSED HD1

- 1 exceeds the total impact fees that would be due from the
- 2 development, the department shall execute with the developer an
- 3 agreement to provide reimbursement for the excess credit from
- 4 the impact fees collected from other developers within the same
- 5 benefit district. For the purposes of this section, the private
- 6 construction of school facilities is a "public work" pursuant to
- 7 chapter 104.
- 8 SECTION 15. This Act does not affect rights and duties
- 9 that matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that
- 10 were begun, before its effective date.
- 11 SECTION 16. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
- 12 and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
- 13 SECTION 17. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2009.

14

| INTRODUCED  | BY.  |  |
|-------------|------|--|
| THITHODOCHD | 171. |  |