## TESTIMONY SB 638 LATE

February 5th, 2009

Danielle Ululani Beirne-Keawe P.O. Box 653 Kane'ohe, Hawai'i 96744 Phone: (808) 237-8856 email: ululani2006@hawaiiantel.net

The Senate
The Twenty-Fifth Legislature
Regular Session of 2009
Committee on Water, Land, Agriculture, and Hawaiian Affairs
Senator Clayton Hee, Chair and
Senator Jill N. Tokuda, Vice-Chair and
Members of the Committee

RE: Testimony for S.B. 638

### Dear Members:

The purpose of this legislation being submitted is to establish a two year moratorium on eviction of residents from Kahana Valley State Park and also establishes the master plan advisory committee to create a living park master plan for Kahana Valley.

The history of this legislation is to be commended as it spells out the people of Kahana, who were our "Kupuna." It was our Kupuna who saw the possibilities of being an integral part of holding on to their cultural identities for the benefit their children. As "traditional practitioners" they played a big part in helping to lobby the legislature after the condemnation to allow them to stay in Kahana and preserve this rural native Hawaiian lifestyle. The residents were allowed to stay on the land on revocable permits.

This brought about the "living park concept" to participate in the park by perpetuating those native Hawaiian cultures and values of a unique people. Therefore, the concept of a "living park" once excepted by our Kahana families followed with many planning strategies for embracing the many talents of the people of Kahana who lived a simple, subsistence lifestyle in harmony with native Hawaiian values and traditions. The people of Kahana have been the caretakers of the land for many years and even today.

Today, the residents of Kahana participate in interpretive park programs as well as are carctakers of the land. There was only 31 leases issued in 1993, over fifteen years ago, and along the way, three families were evicted already for default of their lease agreement/contract. While we are in the midst of rallying around the

LATETISTICAL

families threatened with evictions because they have no leases, but in fact were allowed to live in Kahana for nearly fifteen years on revocable permits, we have other lessees who are in default of their lease agreements/contracts that need to be rectified.

I cannot support a moratorium for those being evicted because it would also give cause to others being evicted for cause, however, I support other legislation giving the DLNR the authority to issue leases to these residents, and should be approved as soon as July 2009 and leases given to them so as interpretive park programs and caretaking hours may be credited to their families. If those families are issued their leases, they should be able to build their homes within the year upon obtaining of a housing fund. i.e Habitat is funded by OHA, lets keep that open. The support needed would be provided by individual families or "ohana sweat equity."

I feel that fifteen years is long enough to live in Kahana on a revocable permit that did not require families to participate in interpretive park programs, however, it was left entirely up to the families to be supportive of lessees required to fullfill their credit hours in order to live in the Kahana Valley State Park.

I also cannot support the master plan advisory committee and feel that a planning council/committee would be much more effective. Kahana Valley State Park has many Master Plans that have not been approved or adopted by the Department of Land and Natural Resources and there are also many community plans and the Peoples Plan that is available. The information is on the books, we need a planning council to address the plans and its implementation process. Also, I feel we the people would be left out from the make-up of the master plan advisory committee, it feels like it would be top heavy, all those not living the "living park" concept, again advising the residents. Its about time we be given that authority to plan a "Master Plan" we can accept. After all, the residents will be responsible to carry out the Master Plan.

Again, the legislation of authorizing the Department of Land and Natural Resources to issue long-term residential leases to those persons who had lived "continuously" in Kahana valley or had permits allowing them to reside on certain parcels of land within Kahana Valley and as a condition of holding a lease, these qualified persons agree to participate in the interpretive programs in Kahana valley State Park, should be approved as soon as possible.

Danielle Ululani Beirne-Keawe

February 6,2009 Testimony of May Leinani Au. Kahana Valley Iessee S5308

Hon.Senator Clayton Hee & Committee Members Water, Land, Agriculture, and Hawaiian Affairs





I DO NOT support a moratorium for two years. The families who are affected by the evictions have had at least two years of "free moratorium" since their revocable permits expired in 2006. HOWEVER, I do say to afford them the opportunity to obtain a lease now(pending qualifications); to allow them to remain where they live or relocate them in the valley and allow them to provide a better pathway for their families hereon.

I DO SUPPORT the establishment of a master plan advisory committee to develop and implement action plans for Kahana Valley state park. If the intent of the plan is to empower lessees to meet the goals, then another lessee should be added to make seven members on that committee. I propose that the timeline for the completed plan be one year since there are many Kahana master plans already in existence to glean from (SECTION 3.(a))

### Senate Bill 639

I DO SUPPORT authorization to the department of Land & Natural Resources to issue long-term leases to qualified persons. However, I propose an amendment to address qualifications:to include individuals that once qualified under Act 5; this does not exclude individuals currently living in Kahana who are 18 years or older, and can verify financial funding of \$50,000 within 12 months notice of qualification.

I DO SUPPORT the state park advisory committee that is already established but recommend the membership consist of three lease holders and delete the Office of Hawaiian Affairs member for a total of seven.

I DO NOT SUPPORT SECTION 6 and recommend deletion in entirety and replaced with language to provide for REPLACEMENT LEASES in the event of defaults.

### Senate Bill 643

I DO NOT SUPPORT the department of Hawaiian Home Lands receiving all authority to manage, administer, and exercise control over Kahana Valley state park. Moving jurisdiction from department of land and natural resources to another state agency is moot. We have been "controlled" to death. Empower the people!

Von Beinein del

Aloha:

LATETISTMONY

I'm Ron Johnson, a lease holder, and my family spans seven generations in Kahana.

In 1965, in a document titled State of Hawaii vs. Hattie Laea Nuhi Au, our Tutu's interest in Kahana was condemned by the State, to include water rights and konohiki fishing rights. As you can imagine I have a keen interest in the success of the Living Park as envisioned by our elders.

I am strongly against S.B. No. 643 proposing transfer to DHHL.

I am strongly against S.B. No. 635 proposing transfer to OHA.

I am strongly against S.B. No. 638 proposing a 2 year moratorium on evictions/Master plan advisory committee.

I am strongly against S.B. No 639 proposing DLNR issue leases/Advisory committee.

All of these bills have flaws that I believe need to be corrected.

I believe the majority of current lease holders support the adoption of a master plan and I support the people's plan 1979.

I support State Parks and our program flourishes under them. Ask the Park interpretive program co-coordinator for an evaluation of all our programs in Kahana.

I resent the negative impressions out there about Kahana, we have much to offer and we work at it.

Response to S.B.No 638

### I am against S.B. No. 638

Separate the two issues.

No moratorium.

The proposed master plan advisory committee calls for "a resident of Kahana.....designated by the president of the Kahana valley association".

I feel the appropriate person should be a lease holder not just any resident and the association should not designate whom will serve, rather a majority of lease holders should decide.

Mahalo,	
Ron Johnson	

.

.

Mark S. Alapaki Luke P.O. Box 11085 Honolulu, 96828 808-381-4326, markluke@hawaii.edu



Organizations: Kamakakūokalani Center for Hawaiian Studies, Ka Papa Loʻi o Kānewai, Wailua 'Auwai loʻi in Kahana Valley, 'Onipa'a Nā Hui Kalo, Geography Dept at Honolulu Community College, and the East-West Center International Board

TESTIMONY IN REGARDS TO SB 638: TO BE HEARD BY THE SENATE WATER, LAND AND OCEAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE ON 2/6/09.

Aloha members of the Senate Water, Land, and Ocean Resources. My name is Alapaki Luke and I am a kalo (taro) planter in Kahana Valley, Ko'olauloa moku of O'ahu, with the Wailua 'Auwai cultural interpretive program under the direction and leadership of Uncle Ron Johnson and Uncle Nana Gorai, current and previous residents of Kahana Valley for many generations back. The Wailua 'Auwai program was started in 1997 with a vision to restore to Kahana Valley the lo'i (taro ponds) and  $m\bar{a}la$  (gardens) that once flourished in the valley. Since its inception, the project has grown exponentially with thousands of visitors and participants taking part in the establishment, maintenance and growth of this culturally significant wahi (place). State Parks has been a key element in administering this program from the start, big supporters such as Dan Quinn, Martha Yent, and Renee Kamisugi have been instrumental in supporting these programs throughout the years, without their support, the program would not be as successful as it is today. The Wailua 'Auwai program has accommodated the residents of the valley, students from various levels of education (pre-school to graduate levels), greater Hawai'i community, and the international community. Institutions such as University of Hawai'i (Mānoa, Bringham Young University Hawai'i, The East-West Center, Kamehameha Schools, many Department of Education schools at all levels, community organizations, 'Ohana (family) groups, Native Hawaiian support programs, to name just a few. Kumu Keao NeSmith, a kumu (teacher) of Hawaiian Language at the UH Mānoa, and one of the founding kumu of the project, whos 'ohana' lives in the valley, regularly brings his haumana (students) to participate and connect to their ha'awina (lessons), sometimes flying in the Ni'ihau 'ohana to participate with his haumana. The Wailua 'Auwai program has been an avenue to achieve the living cultural park mission of Act 5, thereby establishing a program to ho'olauna (introduce) the host culture of Hawai'i, and more importantly establishing a way of life for the Kahana community that brings back pride to our heritage and who we are.

There has been rhetoric about how things are unorganized or chaotic in Kahana Valley and there's no good direction or management, I feel this is false and coming from people who don't fully realize the situation and the many different dynamics that take place in the valley. The program is working, which I can attest to, as a *kumu* myself at the University of Hawai'i, and connected with my 'ohana through kupuna 'iwi (ancestral remains) that are buried in the valley, I was fortunate to kanu (plant) kalo and receive what holistically comes with planting kalo, which is the 'ike (knowledge) and mana (spiritual power) of the kūpuna (ancestors).

me ka ha'aha'a (with humility),

### COMMITTEE ON WATER, LAND, AGRICULTURE, AND AFFAIRS

Chairman Senator Clayton Hee, Vice Chairwoman Jill Tokuda and committee members

06 Pepeluali 2009

### ALOHA!

My name is Sherri Lynn Leimomi Wallace Johnson. As one of six families facing evictions from the Ahupua'a o Kahana, I am writing in support of ALL legislation that support my efforts, the efforts of all the other na ohana facing evictions to remain on the land where I/WE reside, in this community called "Kahana."

At the least, six generations of my family have resided and currently reside in Kahana, from my great grand mother, to my grand nephew (who is 1 ½ years). Last year, I went to the Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation for representation, and was saddened to hear that they would not be able to represent me, and that I am considered a "squatter" on this land that my ancestors once lived upon. I informed the NHLC attorney that I did not just "fly" in from the continental United States of America with a one way ticket, hop on the bus and when I came to "Kahana" in its beauty and said, "THIS IS THE PLACE!" I have lineal ties to THIS land I now occupy, and wish to remain on THIS land I call "HOME."

I believe my 'ohana to be an asset to the community, participating in many cultural based activities (maintance of the fishpond and lo'i). I have three beautiful children (25, 16, 15) who speak fluent hawaiian, and raised in Kahana.

I encourage all legislators to support all legislation that will KEEP HAWAIIAN HANDS IN HAWAIIAN LANDS.

In closing, I share this olelo noe'au with your committee,

I ULU NO KA LALA KE KUMU THE BRANCES GROW BECAUSE OF THE TRUNK WITHOUT OUR ANCESTORS...WE WOULD NOT BE HERE

Mahalo nui loa!

Sherri Lynn Leimomi Wallace Johnson V Erik Kakuzen Johnson Ka'imina'auao Edd Cole Ho'opa'a ikapono Johnson Kamalani Micah Francis Ho'ohiwahiwaokalewanu'u Johnson

### PUBLIC TESTIMONY: SB 638; 639\*

ATE TO THE PARTY To be read in conjunction with other testimony submitted on this subject, particularly that testimony submitted by me on HB1552 and its attachments

Dr. Jim Anthony, a resident of Kahana

I do not support Senator Hee's bills as they stand.

### Introduction:

On December 9, 2008 Senator Hee attended an evening meeting of the Kahana Community Association and hand delivered copies of a draft Senate Bill entitled: A Bill for an Act Relating to Public Lands. Despite its title the Bill is specifically related to Kahana Valley State Park as can readily be seen from even a cursory examination of its contents. This is the Bill that is the subject of this report. The first Bill, unnumbered at the time it was delivered to people in Kahana has now grown into two Bills—SB 638 and 639.

Attached to this statement are two documents submitted at formal testimony when SB 3 (2008) was being considered:

- 1. The written testimony submitted by the Attorney-General against a similar Bill authored by Senator Hee and submitted to the Legislature for its consideration in 2007 [Tab # 1].
- 2. The written testimony submitted by the Chairperson of the Board of Land and Natural Resources against a similar Bill offered in 2007 [Tab #2].

That Bill, SB 3, [2008] failed to pass.

### Background

In October 2008 six residents of Kahana Valley State Park were served notices of eviction.

Of the six, one had had a lease which he had transferred to his sister. She failed to come up with the money to build a house on the lot which remains vacant to this day. Her lease was cancelled.

Three of the six are what you would call "long time" residents but none of them have ever had a lease.

The remaining two residents facing eviction are of more recent vintage—both moved into structures in which their parents used to live after they (i.e. their parents) were allocated other lease lots in Kahana on which they built homes more than a decade ago.

Three of these six residents had long been given a chance to get a lease long before the A-G's opinion of March 24, 2008 was rendered.

As soon as the threat of evictions became public Senator Hee became involved in seeking a stay of the evictions. He is reported to have made representations to Laura Thielen, Director of DLNR. Senator Hee is also reported to have made representations to the Governor—again, for the same purpose: to stay the evictions.

In time, as the outcry against the evictions became more public, Senator Hee became more publicly and intensely involved in representing and assisting the six residents facing eviction.

Specifically, here are some of the steps which Senator Hee took in behalf of the six threatened with eviction:

- He helped raise funds from several unions, he told us. These funds, Senator Hee said, were raised to have bail money on hand in the event there were any arrests of those opposing the evictions.
- He helped raise funds from a local philanthropist to provide food for those who had assembled to protest the threatened evictions.
- He promised to report a Bill out of his Committee on Land & Water to enable the six to get leases, but that it would then be their responsibility to get funding to build homes.

In time Laura Thielen backed away from evicting the six. A deal was struck: the Legislature would be given a chance to deal with the issue, particularly with that part of the issue making it illegal for any more leases to be issued for the reasons set out in the A-G's March 24, 2008 opinion.

It is difficult to avoid the conclusion that Senator Hee's Bill is, yet again, constitutionally prohibited special legislation designed to address the plight of the six resident families in Kahana facing eviction.

We do not know whether the A-G, who has been asked by Senator Hee to review the Bill, will opine, once again, that it is special legislation and is, therefore, unconstitutional. I have asked Senator Hee to share the A-G's opinion with us and he has agreed to do so. As of this writing I have not heard from Senator Hee.

### Principal submissions:

With specific reference to the Bills (especially SB 639), set out below are my principal submissions:

- 1. My overarching position is that I do NOT support either Bill as it stands; "qualified persons are those who are persons who reside and who have continuously lived in the State Park since before 1987 and have served as caretakers of the Park": These core criteria for 'qualified persons' are unnecessarily restrictive. I believe that "qualified persons" should be more inclusive and should, therefore, be those:
  - who now live in the Park and who have lived there for no less than a total of three years at a minimum
  - who have participated in, and contributed to, caretaking activities in the Park ("caretaking" must be defined)
  - who are related by blood or marriage to any lessee who currently has a lease in Kahana
  - who can commit to complete building a home on any one of the remaining residential lots in the State Park, without disturbing existing arrangements, within 12 months of being awarded a lease and who can, furthermore, provide proof that he or she has, or has access to, a minimum of \$50,000 to build a home
  - In short, the 1987 threshold should be deleted. The word 'continuously' or any variant of it, if used, must be defined, and
  - In order to get over the hump of the special legislation problem open up leases to all taxpayers
  - With respect to the provision in SB 639, Section 2, page 4: (the "Koke'e formula")— in this case greater than five thousand acres but not less than 6,000 acres—I have no substantive opposition to this provided the 1987 threshold is removed.

Section 3 (b) (1) and (2), p 4 of SB 638—The Advisory Committee shall be made up of five (5) members:

• Three of whom shall be resident/lessees or members of their households who are members in good standing of the Kahana Community Association. Election shall be by secret ballot which shall be supervised by a person to be appointed by the Chair of DLNR. Term of office shall be three years. Minimum voting age shall be 18. Eligible voters shall be restricted to those who are members in good standing of the Kahana Community Association and who are lessees as set out in the current (6/22/'96 version) of the Association's by-laws.

### Additional issues:

In addressing the Bill I was faced with several very difficult overarching, connected dilemmas.

The six families facing eviction are our neighbors. We feel for them. At the same time I am not a supporter of their lack of initiative in meeting the requirements to qualify for a lease over a very long period (several years).

Having said what I have, I now come to a very contentious issue: whether what is being proposed in the Bills is constitutionally prohibited special legislation regarding public lands to which I have already referred above.

I am caught between a rock and a hard place: the "rock" is special legislation; the "hard place" is my emotional connection to those facing eviction. To compound matters I have in the back of my mind such dilemmas as the future housing needs of a new generation of the children of lessees now no longer children. What complicates this issue is that we know that Kahana is a State Park and was **NOT** intended to be a low income or, for that matter, any kind of income, housing subdivision. In fact one of the reasons why the State purchased Kahana was inorder to foreclose it becoming a housing subdivision.

To compound my dilemmas I have received representations, too, from those who once lived in Kahana and who left. Some of these people want to return. Some of the lineal descendants of <u>kuleana</u> land owners seek to have <u>kuleana</u> land restored to them. And then there is a whole cluster of issues which have grown and festered over the years. They are rooted in a lease that was badly written in undue haste—more than a decade and a half ago. <u>Addressing just the threatened eviction of the six families while ignoring many issues of long standing is a piecemeal approach that is bad policy. A comprehensive approach is long overdue (see, for example, "Kahana State Park still work in progress" [editorial], <u>Honolulu Advertiser</u>, October 30, 2008).</u>

There is no handy sword that I know of that can be used to cut the 'gordian knot' of the problems before us. I see, in particular, no way around the 'special legislation' issue, if indeed, as was the case in 2008 and in 2007 (HB No. 1664), an opinion as significant as that of Attorney-General's holds to the view yet again that this proposed legislation is in violation of Article XI, Section 5 of the Hawaii State Constitution. No matter how Senator Hee's Bills are disguised and no matter how magnanimous his intentions, this Bill seems to be, *prima facie*, 'special legislation' prohibited by the State's constitution. If this is found to be persuasive the Bill would likely be held again (or, possibly, litigated, should it become law) and the fate of the six families will likely be thrown back into the cauldron of public controversy: confrontation, picket lines, calls for resignation, arrests (if the evictions are carried out). I do not wish to further develop the special legislation issue at this time except to flag it as I have and to just leave it highlighted for now.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See TESTIMONY OF THE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL TWENTY-FOURTH LEGISLATURE 2008, March 27, 2008 attached as <u>Tab # 1</u>.

To simply dismiss the Attorney-General's opinion, if indeed the same opinion on the constitutionality of the Bill is tendered again, and it is ignored just because it is **just the A-G's** opinion (as Senator Hee recently put it) is an attitude that troubles me. Should a way be found around the special legislation problem I would still hold to my submission that the "1987" provision and others related to it be deleted and the alternative criteria I have suggested be adopted and made part of the Bills instead, if Senator Hee and this Committee decide to persist with them

Attachments: Tabs 1 and 2.

kahanarteport.020609



### TESTIMONY OF THE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL TWENTY-FOURTH LEGISLATURE, 2008

### ON THE FOLLOWING MEASURE:

S.B. NO. 3, S.D. 1 RELATING TO KAHANA VALLEY STATE PARK.

### BEFORE THE:

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON WATER AND LAND

DATE:

Monday, March 17, 2008

TIME: 9:00 A.M.

LOCATION:

State Capitol Room 312

Deliver to: Clerk, Room 427, 3 copies

TESTIFIER(S): Mark J. Bennett, Attorney General

or William J. Wynhoff, Deputy Attorney General

### Chair Ito and Members of the Committee:

The Department of Attorney General opposes this bill and believes it would be unconstitutional if enacted.

This bill would authorize issuance of long-term leases on additional parcels of land within Kahana Valley.

Article XI, section 5 of the Hawaii Constitution provides:

The legislative power over the lands owned by or under the control of the State and its political subdivisions shall be exercised only by general laws, except in respect to transfers to or for the use of the State, or a political subdivision, or any department or agency thereof.

No Hawaii case deals with article XI, section 5. One formal opinion from this department addresses it. In our Opinion No. 61-38, at page 2 (fn. omitted), we said:

> [I]t is clear that once land was "owned by the State or under its control," the framers of the Constitution intended that it be distributed by means of general laws and to prohibit its dissipation "through private, or special laws". (Vol. 1, Proceedings of the Constitutional Convention of Hawaii, pp. 233, 336.)

Aside from the problems with the constitutionality of the measure, the bill describes qualified lessees as "persons who reside and have continually lived in the state park since before 1987 in a culturally and appropriate manner and have served as caretakers of the state park." We know from past experience that this definition will be difficult to interpret and apply. What evidence could prove or disprove that a person has "continually" lived in the park since 1986? What about, for example, persons who lived elsewhere during time spent in military service or in college?

In addition, the phrases "culturally and appropriate manner" and "served as a caretaker of the state park" are inherently ambiguous. If these phrases are intended to impose additional qualifications beyond living in the park since 1986, they should be defined or clarified. During what part of the time must the person have been a caretaker of the park? How would the phrases apply to a person in his or her twenties who was a child during most of the relevant time?

The Department of Attorney General believes that this bill should be held.

LINDA LINGLE GOVERNOR OF HAWAII





### STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES

POST OFFICE BOX 621 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809 LAURA H. THIELEN
CHARPESON
BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMEN

RUSSELL Y, TSUJ

KEN C. KAWAHARA DEPUTY DESCTOR-WATER

AQUATIC RESOURCES
BOATTS AND COCAN RECREATION

BOATTS AND COCAN RECREATION

BOATTS AND COCAN RECREATION

CONSIDERATION AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

CONSIDERATION AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT

ACCOMMENTAL AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT

ACCOMMENTAL AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT

ACCOMMENTAL AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT

KAHOOLAWE SLAND RESERVATION

TAND

TAND

TATE PARKS

### TESTIMONY OF THE CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES

On Senate Bill 3, Senate Draft 1 - RELATING TO KAHANA VALLEY STATE PARK

### BEFORE THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON WATER, LAND, OCEAN RESOURCES AND HAWAIIAN AFFAIRS

### March 17, 2008

Senate Bill 3, Senate Draft 1 provides for additional families to reside in Kahana Valley State Park by lease agreement, and establishes an advisory committee to, among other things, monitor compliance with the agreements. The Department of Land and Natural Resources (Department) opposes this bill because of the cost implications generated by this proposal and the negative impact on the primary park purpose of Kahana Valley (Kahana), which is to provide public access to parks – not private restricted uses.

The State acquired 5,228 acres encompassing the entirety of the Kahana ahupua'a in 1969 to preserve the natural setting of the ahupua'a and to provide public recreational opportunities. The "Living Cultural Park" concept was proposed in 1972 as a way for the people living in Kahana at the time of the State's acquisition to continue to live in Kahana and provide cultural interpretive programs for park visitors. Act 5, Session Laws of Hawaii (SLH) 1987, authorized the Department to enter into 65-year residential leases with families living in Kahana on permit. To qualify for a lease under this Act, one must have lived continuously in Kahana since before 1970 until 1987. The census conducted in 1987 determined that 31 families qualified for leases. Act 238, SLH 1988, provided state funds for mortgages to construct new houses in the Park. The appropriation was sufficient for 26 lessees to receive \$50,000 mortgages each. In lieu of lease rent, each lessee is required to perform 25 hours of interpretive service each month. The 31 residential leases were executed in 1993.

Many Kahana lessees began construction of their houses by 1995, and most have completed construction or renovated their house. A few houses remain uncompleted or have not been started. Between 2003 and 2005, the Department for non-compliance with the lease conditions forfeited three (3) leases, and one lease was assigned to a new lessee through foreclosure.

The bill does not provide a cap on the number of leases for Kahana, which could be problematic. If the number of leases is not limited, the natural setting of the Park may be jeopardized and there are cost implications with the infrastructure needed for these new residences. The Department understands that families grow with each generation, but it was not envisioned that

the park would provide housing for all the children of the original lessees. Expanded housing will not necessarily benefit the goals of the Park, which are public recreation and preservation of the natural setting.

The Department believes that 31 leases are adequate for the implementation of an interpretive cultural park program. Currently, about half of the lessees are in default on the performance of their interpretive hours. While public interest in interpretive programs has grown, the park program has been limited to one or two school groups a month based on the availability of residents to participate in these programs.

The State has spent over \$1 million in capitol improvement program funds to develop the infrastructure for the two residential areas in the park, including paved roads, graded 10,000 sq. foot lots, leach fields, and utilities. There is one full-time staff position in the Department's Division of State Parks overseeing lease compliance and interpretive programs at Kahana. All this cost comes at the expense of the public parks and public access.

The establishment of a Kahana advisory committee appears to duplicate many of the tasks of the interpretive advisory committee and Kokua Committee, two entities already established in compliance with the lease. It may be more beneficial to expand the function of these two groups, rather than establish another entity with overlapping purposes.

The bill calls for leases not to exceed 65 years to conform with previously issued leases at Kahana under Act 5, SLH 1987. However, in considering any issuance of new leases, the Department would recommend that the bill be amended to have all residential leases terminate in 2058, which is 65 years from 1993 when the 31 original leases were signed.

The Department does not support additional leases at Ahupua'a 'O Kahana State Park because it will require an appropriation of state funds for infrastructure and mortgages. Additional residents do not necessarily mean a better interpretive program, and the management of more leases will be a burden on the existing park staff assigned to Kahana. Continuing efforts to develop a viable interpretive program with the existing lessees is the preferred course of action, not more leases.

# TESTIMONY SB 638 LATE (END)