

EXECUTIVE CHAMBERS HONOLULU

LINDA LINGLE GOVERNOR

> Testimony of Linda L. Smith Senior Policy Advisor to the Governor

> Before the HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE Thursday, March 25, 2010, 12:30 p.m. Room 308, State Capitol

SB2807 SD2 HD1 PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE VII, SECTION 6, OF THE HAWAII CONSTITUTION, RELATING TO THE TAX REBATE REQUIREMENT

Chair Oshiro, Vice Chair Lee, and Members of the Committee:

The Office of the Governor **expresses concern regarding SB2807 SD2 HD1**, **and prefers HB2539 and HB2540**, which are Administration proposals that create the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund and require five percent of year end general fund balances to be deposited into the fund when certain conditions are met. SB2807 SD2 HD1 is a constitutional amendment that allows the Legislature to authorize a constitutional tax refund or deposit excess revenues into one or more emergency budget and reserve funds whenever the State's general fund balance at the close of each of two successive fiscal years exceeds five percent of general fund revenues for each of the two fiscal years. Such proposals are necessary to ensure funds are available for future emergencies by building a financial reserve in good years to offset the state budget shortfall in bad years.

However, we are concern that under this constitutional amendment it is unlikely any funds will be deposited into an emergency budget and reserve fund in the foreseeable future, since it is unlikely that a deposit will be triggered by this measure from now to 2015, based on the current revised financial plan. Testimony of Linda L. Smith on SB2807 SD2 HD1 2

We believe the purpose of SB2807 SD2 HD1 is the same as HB2539 and HB2540, which is to ensure a steady source of revenues is available to build a fund balance for future emergencies. However, HB2539 and HB2540 provide more certainty that moneys will be deposited into a rainy day fund by setting specific conditions for fund deposits, such as:

- Requiring five percent of year end general fund balances to be deposited whenever state general fund revenues for each of two successive fiscal years exceeds revenues for each of the preceding fiscal years by five percent. This actually increases the frequency of deposits when compared to the requirements of SB2807 SD2 HD1;
- Requiring deposits into the fund through an automatic transfer by the Director of Finance. This ensures that no means are available for diverting the deposits;
- Ensuring that moneys deposited into the fund will not count toward satisfying the conditions that trigger a constitutional tax refund, as this avoids double counting;
- 4) Stopping deposits into the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund whenever the fund balance is more than ten percent of general fund revenues for the preceding fiscal year. This ensures that constitutional tax refunds can be provided when there is an adequate balance in the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund; and

5) Requiring interest earned from moneys in the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund to remain in the fund, which helps to build a healthy fund balance.

As such, we prefer the certainty that HB2539 and HB2540 provide, and therefore respectfully request that applicable House rules be waived so that HB2540, the constitutional amendment accompanying the Administration measure, can be heard in your committee. Such action is necessary in order to match the fund transfer requirements set forth in this bill's companion measure SB2806 SD2, which contains a substantial portion of the Administration's HB2539, as inserted by the Senate Committees on Human Services and Health.

Test imony of Linda L. Smith on SB2807 SD2 HD1 3

SB2807 SD2 HD1 Tax Refund or Deposit to Emergency and Budget Reserve Funds

Fiscal Year	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Preceding Fiscal Year Rev. (Council on Revenues)	4,097,243,000	4,343,078,000	4,603,662,000	4,879,882,000	5,172,675,000
Preceding Year End Balance (Revised Financial Plan)	57,000,000	168,100,000	73,400,000	35,300,000	13,500,000
Preceding Year End Balance as % of Revenue	1.4%	3.9%	1.6%	0.7%	0.3%
Current Fiscal Year Rev. (Council on Revenues)	4,343,078,000	4,603,662,000	4,879,882,000	5, <u>172,</u> 675,000	5,431,309,000
Current Year End Balance (Revised Financial Plan)	168,100,000	73,400,000	35,300,000	13,500,000	127,200,000
Current Year End Balance as % of Revenue	3.9%				
Refund or Depositiningger Met	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO NO

*Refund or deposit is made whenever the state general fund balance at the close of each of two successive fiscal years exceeds five percent of general fund revenues for each of the two fiscal years

REVISED WRITTEN ONLY

TESTIMONY BY GEORGINA K. KAWAMURA DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE STATE OF HAWAII TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE ON SENATE BILL NO. 2807, S.D. 2, H.D. 1

March 25, 2010

PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE VII, SECTION 6, OF THE HAWAII CONSTITUTION, RELATING TO THE TAX REBATE REQUIREMENT

Senate Bill No. 2807, S.D. 2, H.D. 1, proposes a constitutional amendment to allow the Legislature to provide a tax refund or tax credit, or deposit excess funds into one or more emergency and budget reserve funds when there are excess balances in the general fund for two consecutive years. This measure would make the tax refund or tax credit an option, not a constitutional requirement, when excess balances are available.

This constitutional amendment is the counterpart to Senate Bill No. 2806, S.D. 2, Relating to the Emergency and Budget Reserve Fund and is the vehicle for the necessary constitutional amendment. Together, these measures can be used to establish a mechanism for the State to deposit excess revenues, from periods of economic growth, to the Emergency and Budget Reserve Fund.

We recommend, however, that the Committee consider making the deposit of excess revenues to the Emergency and Budget Reserve Fund a constitutional requirement rather than an option. This would ensure that a steady source of revenues would be available to augment Tobacco Settlement moneys and would ensure that a fiscal reserve would be available to support the State's financial obligations during periods of economic difficulty and would provide an alternative to raising taxes at times when the people of our State can least afford it.

- TO: HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE Rep. Marcus R. Oshiro Chair Rep. Marilyn B. Lee, Vice-Chair
- FROM: Eldon L. Wegner, Ph.D., PABEA (Policy Advisory Board for Elder Affairs)

SUBJECT: SB 2807, SD2 HD1 Proposing an Amendment to ARTICLE VII, SECTION 6, of the Hawaii Constitution, Relating to the Tax Rebate Requirement

- HEARING: 12:30 pm Thursday, March 25, 2010 Conference Room 308, Hawaii State Capitol
- **PURPOSE:** Proposes an amendment to authorize the legislature todetermine whether excess funds should be used as tax refunds or tax credit or diverted to the State's emergency and budget reserve fund

POSITION: PABEA supports this bill to amend the Hawaii Constitution.

RATIONALE:

I am offering testimony on behalf of PABEA, the Policy Advisory Board for Elder Affairs, which is an appointed board tasked with advising the Executive Office on Aging (EOA). My testimony does not represent the views of the EOA but of the Board

As the experience of the current fiscal crisis illustrates, the state needs to have a "Rainy Day" emergency fund to cover essential health and social services during times of economic turndowns. It is prudent that during times of prosperity, some funds are set aside to assure needed funds during times of revenue short-fall.

The alternative is to reduce or eliminate needed services to the most frail and vulnerable populations – often with tragic and costly consequences. It is important that the Emergency Budget and Reserve Fund continue to have the stipulation that the use of the funds is restricted to providing essential health and social services.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

TAXBILLSERVICE

126 Queen Street, Suite 304

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

Honolulu, Hawali 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT: CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT, Deposit into emergency and budget reserve fund

BILL NUMBER: SB 2807, HD-1

INTRODUCED BY: House Committee on Judiciary

BRIEF SUMMARY: Amends Article VII, section 6, of the state constitution to provide that whenever the general fund year-end balance at the close of two successive fiscal years exceeds 5% of general fund revenues, the legislature, in the next regular session, shall, as an alternative to refunding an amount to taxpayers, deposit the amount that would have otherwise been refunded to taxpayers under the excess revenues provision into one or more emergency and budget reserve funds.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Voter approval

STAFF COMMENTS: The proposed measure provides that when the excess revenues provision of the state constitution is triggered, the amount that would otherwise have been refunded to taxpayers under the excess revenues requirement shall be deposited into one or more emergency and budget reserve funds as an alternative to refunding that amount to taxpayers.

Originally, the "disposition of excess revenues" provision was enacted in tandem with the general fund spending limit. Although initially not a part of the spending limit discussion, the refund provision was proposed to insure that consideration be given to returning excess funds to taxpayers rather than to remain a target for excessive public expenditure. While this measure proposes that excess revenues be deposited into one or more emergency and budget reserve funds rather than refunded to taxpayers, its enactment would have the same effect as repealing the "excess revenues" provision.

Since the amount to be refunded is always specified by the legislature when the excess revenues provision needs to be addressed, if that track record is any indication, there is little hope that future legislatures will exercise any fiscal discipline in socking away any substantial amount into the "rainy day" fund. Thus, this proposal is nothing more than patronizing to the idea of "saving" for a rainy day while doing away with the mandatory refund credit.

While the repeal of the mandatory refund provision may have political appeal because lawmakers have tacitly complied with a minimum \$1 refund per taxpayer, the refund provision serves a purpose. That purpose is making sure the general public is aware of their state finances. This provision insures people are reminded of just how much money the state is keeping for itself while maintaining the high burden of taxes. If the true spirit of the excess revenues provision is to be maintained, then another alternative should be considered and that would be to require that the legislature make a permanent downward adjustment in income or general excise tax rates as these are the two largest tax resources which benefit the general fund.

Digested 3/23/10