SB 178

LINDA LINGLE



In reply, please refer to:

SENATE COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS S.B. 178, RELATING TO FOOD WASTE RECYCLING

Testimony of Chiyome Leinaala Fukino, M.D. Director of Health

March 2, 2009 9:30 A.M.

- 1 Department's Position: The Department supports food waste recycling, but respectfully opposes
- 2 this measure because of funding and resource concerns.
- 3 Fiscal Implications: Unspecified amount from the general funds.
- 4 Purpose and Justification: This bill requires the Department of Education (DOE), in partnership with
- 5 the Department of Health (DOH), to establish a three-year food waste recycling pilot project within one
- or more public schools, to be paid through general funds, public-private partnerships or any donations.
- We understand that some schools are currently recycling their food waste by sending it to pig
- 8 farms. However, there are not enough pigs to consume all of the waste. Therefore, we will have to
- 9 either rely on the future development of a food waste recycling facility or establish our own recycling
- operations, such as on-site composting bins. While we have received a permit application for a food
- waste composting operation on Oahu, we do not anticipate that it will be operational until the latter part
- of 2009. We also do not have cost estimates on this recycling option. If on-site composting bins are
- utilized, we estimate that the cost of one vermi-composting (worm based composting) pilot project
- (capital cost and three years of operation) would be about \$100,000 assuming a waste generation rate of
- 15 100 pounds of food waste per day and that the composting operation will be contracted out. If existing

1	DOE staff operates the on-site worm bins during the pilot project, the project cost would be about
2	\$30,000. However, based on our discussions with DOE, they do not have staff to segregate waste and
3	operate the bin.
4	The DOH appreciates the intent of this measure; however, given the current fiscal difficulties, i
5	would not be prudent to pursue enactment at this time. In addition, please note that the Governor has
6	vetoed a similar bill (S.B. 871) last year on the basis of undue burden on resources. The Governor
7	indicated that the Department of Education should otherwise determine the need for the program and
8	whether it will result in a cost savings.
9	Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24