HB 333



Hawai`i Alliance for Community-Based Economic Development 677 Ala Moana Blvd., Suite 702 Honolulu, HI 96813 Ph. 808.550.2661 Fax 808.534.1199 Email info@hacbed.org www.hacbed.org

Board Members

President
Jason Okuhama (at large)
Managing Partner,
Commercial & Business Lending

Vice President
Akoni Akana (Maui)
Executive Director,
Friends of Moku`ula

Secretary
Rian Dubach (at large)
Community Development &
CRA Manager
American Savings Bank

Treasurer Wayne Tanna (at large) Asset Building Coalition & Chaminade University

Kipukai Kuali'i (Kaua'l) Anahola Kaua'i Agribusiness Microenterprise Project

Stacy Crivello (Moloka'i) President Ke Aupuni Lokahi

Puni Kekauoha & Adrienne Dillard *(Oʻahu)* Papakolea CDC

Keikialoha Kekipi & Susie Osborne *(Hawai`i)* Ho`oulu Lahui/ Kua O Ka La Public Charter School

Kukui & Gary Maunakea-Forth (O'ahu) WCRC/Mala Ai 'Opio (MA'O)

Tommy Otake (at large) Attorney At Law

HACBED Staff

Robert Agres, Jr Executive Director

Larissa Meinecke Asset Policy Associate

John Higgins Capacity Building Associate

Hanale Vincent Planning & Research Assistant

Briana Monroe Program Support Assistant

Joonghee Park Graduate Research Assistant Senate Committee on Human Services
March 19, Conference Room 016 @2:15pm
Testimony in <u>SUPPORT</u> of <u>HB 333 HD2</u>
Creating a State Earned Income Tax Credit

Dear Chair Chun-Oakland and Committee Members:

The Hawai'i Alliance for Community Based Economic Development (HACBED) is submitting testimony in support of **HB 333 HD2**.

EITC is part of a comprehensive public policy agenda to help people build assets. Asset Building is an approach to fostering financial independence. It provides individuals with tangible incentives to save, helping them to gain financial success. Adopting a state EITC would be an important economic development tool because in many cases families use these refunds to purchase their basic needs. In this manner the EITC creates a multiplier effect because those dollars circulate throughout the economy, thus part of the initial cost to the state is offset by general excise tax revenues.

Assets are essential for three reasons:

- To have financial security against difficult times
- 2. To create economic opportunities for oneself
- 3. To leave a legacy for future generations to have a better life

HACBED supports **HB 333 HD2** in that this bill is a major component of a larger asset building policy agenda. To date, there are 42 states that have an income tax and therefore eligible to create a state EITC. 24 states (including the District of Columbia) have enacted EITC's. These states will combine for close \$2 billion to nearly 6 million families. **EITC's put money back into the community where it is needed most**.

Chair and Committee Members Page 2

For most tax payers, their annual refunds from both federal and state fillings are the largest lump sum of discretionary funds they ever see. These funds can be used for home down payments, debt reduction, creation of Individual Development Accounts, and rainy day funds.

How would a state EITC work?

HB 333 HD2 establishes a state EITC that is similar to the 24 other states that utilize the credit. Hawai'i individual filers that qualify for a federal EITC may claim 20% of the earned income credit allowed and reported on the individuals' federal income tax return. Filers have already been utilizing tax preparation assistance from Aloha United Way since the incorporation of the federal EITC and will be provided the same opportunity should a state EITC become available to them. It is key to note that these credits encourage timely filing and offer an opportunity to educate filers on the importance of early filing and financial planning.

In closing, Hawai'i families are struggling to provide for their families given the high cost of living across the state. They are overburdened by taxes and have few opportunities to build their assets and work toward self-sufficiency. A state EITC will help the working families in Hawai'i by providing targeted tax relief that stimulates the economy.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Brent Dillabaugh Policy Coordinator Nicole Holler

March 17, 2009

Organization: Individual

Testify: support

HB 333 HD 2

Aloha Senator Suzanne Chun Oakland, Chair Senator Les Ihara, Vice chair, and members of the committee. My name is Nicole Holler. I am a student at the University

of Hawaii. I am in the masters program in social work and I am a member of NASW. I

am testifying in support of bill HB 333 HD 2.

I feel very strongly that this bill should be signed into law because it alleviates the

financial burden on the less fortunate individuals who are struggling financially. This

will also ameliorate the struggles that individuals are facing because of the economic

decline. I understand that it will pose a burden to administrators, but, I feel that helping

individuals who are in need supersedes the burden that this bill would put on

administrators.

Thank you so much for allowing me to testify on bill HB 333. I strongly urge you

to support this bill.

LINDA LINGLE GOVERNOR

JAMES R. AIONA, JR. LT. GOVERNOR



KURT KAWAFUCHI DIRECTOR OF TAXATION

SANDRA L. YAHIRO DEPUTY DIRECTOR

PHONE NO: (808) 587-1510 FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

SENATE COMMITTEE ON HUMAN SERVICES

TESTIMONY REGARDING HB 333 HD 2 RELATING TO TAXATION

TESTIFIER: KURT KAWAFUCHI, DIRECTOR OF TAXATION (OR DESIGNEE)

DATE:

MARCH 19, 2009

TIME:

2:15PM

ROOM:

016

This Bill would provide qualified Hawaii taxpayers with an earned income tax credit (EITC) equal to a blank percentage of the federal EITC. This bill would also make a person charging over a certain amount to prepare a return claiming the EITC would be guilty of a misdemeanor.

The Department of Taxation ("Department") appreciates the intent of alleviating the tax burden of those who need it most; however has <u>strong concerns</u> regarding administration of this measure.

This bill provides for a refundable tax credit equal to a blank percentage of the EITC allowed under section 32 of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) and reported on these qualified individuals' federal income tax returns. The bill requires the Department to alert eligible taxpayers of the proposed Hawaii EITC and prepare an annual report containing certain information.

I. INTEREST LIMITATION PROBLEMATIC.

The measure provides that no tax preparer may charge more than an unstated percentage interest rate for any tax preparation service. The measure is silent, however, as to whether such a preparer can also charge a fixed fee for the services or otherwise impose a "service charge" in addition to charging interest. Such fees could easily circumvent the limitations on the interest rate that may be charged.

II. COMPLIANCE PROBLEMS.

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) admits that the EITC has been plagued by persistent compliance problems. The IRS has been unable to reduce noncompliance problems significantly. Between \$8.4 and \$9.9 billion (27% to 32%) in EITC claims have been paid improperly as reported in a compliance study of tax year 1999 returns. For fiscal year 2006, the IRS estimates that the error

Department of Taxation Testimony HB 333 HD 2 March 19, 2009 Page 2 of 3

rate is still between 23% and 28%, despite the implementation of five (5) initiatives undertaken by the IRS to reduce the EITC non-compliance rate and to educate the public about the EITC. The EITC credit is listed as a "high risk area for the federal government" by the General Accounting Office. See EITC Reform Initiative, FS-2003-14, June 2003). In its 2005 EITC Initiative Final Report to Congress, the IRS stated that although "the IRS has implemented a number of legal and administrative changes since [the 1999 study], IRS officials believe the error rate is still substantial." The 2005 report, in an analysis of preliminary data from tax year 2001 returns stated that EITC over claim estimates would not be "substantially different" than that of tax year 1999. See http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/irs_earned_income_tax_credit_initiative_final_report_to_congress_october_2005.pdf.

"The EITC credit is a social welfare program embedded in the tax code where the tax system primarily relies on self-reporting." (See EITC Reform Initiative, FS-2003-14, June 2003). Unlike other social welfare programs, no requirement is imposed for EITC eligibility proof prior to payments and the payments rely on the claimants' self-assessment for eligibility. Crucial EITC eligibility factors such as marital status, residency, and the relationship test of a claimed child, are difficult for the IRS to confirm. See id.

In particular, most of the errors concerning the EITC have been found to occur because of incorrectly claiming children which do not meet the EITC qualification as a child. A qualifying child must meet residency, age and relationship tests, and must reside with the taxpayer for more than half the tax year. It should be noted that taxpayers who used a paid preparer had a higher error rate that did taxpayers who did not use a paid preparer to calculate the EITC.

It should also be noted that taxpayers who are otherwise eligible to claim the EITC often times forego claiming the EITC, in large part due to the technicalities of the law. Estimates are that some 20% to 30% of otherwise eligible taxpayers fail to claim the EITC.

III. PROVISION ALLOWING HUSBAND AND WIFE FILING SEPARATE SHOULD BE STRICKEN.

The measure requires that a resident individual taxpayer making a claim for the EITC must use the same filing status for state tax purposes as the individual used for federal tax purposes. The measure provides that taxpayers filing under married filing separately may divide the credit as they determine. However, a taxpayer filing under the status "married filing separately" is *per se* ineligible to claim the federal EITC, and this provision should be stricken.

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE BURDEN.

The bill would place an administrative burden on the Department due to the high rate of noncompliance with respect to the Federal EITC claims. The requirement of the Department alerting eligible taxpayers of the proposed Hawaii EITC would also place an adverse administrative burden on the Department. Due to the unclear and incomplete annual reporting requirements set forth in this bill and the existing annual reporting of tax credits claimed by Hawaii taxpayers, the Department would be unduly burdened in compiling duplicate reports.

Department of Taxation Testimony HB 333 HD 2 March 19, 2009 Page 3 of 3

V. TANF MONEY SHOULD BE THE ONLY MONEY PUT AT-RISK.

The Department appreciates that this measure utilizes federal TANF money to accomplish its purpose. However, the use of TANF funds is limited to the 2009 taxable year. The Department suggests modifying this provision so that only TANF funds are put at-risk of the noncompliance in this area. General fund revenues should not be subjected to the high level of abuse experienced with the EITC.

Also, the Department is not the proper agency to receive the TANF monies. The Department believes that the Department of Budget & Finance would be more appropriate. The Department does not pay out tax incentives, rather administers them.

VI. RESOURCE INTENSIVE

This bill will require the Department to alert taxpayers to the ability to claim this credit. Public outreach costs could be substantial in order to provide adequate notice of this tax credit. Moreover, given the high fraud costs associated with this bill, the Department will likely focus audit efforts toward fraudulently claimed EITC credits. As a result, the Department points out that this measure will consume considerable resources to implement.

VII. REVENUE ESTIMATE.

This legislation will result in revenue loss of approximately \$25.8 million annually, assuming a 20% conformity to the federal EITC.

Testimony for HMS 3/19/2009 2:15:00 PM HB333

Conference room: 016

Testifier position: oppose Testifier will be present: No Submitted by: Brian Donohue Organization: H&R Block

Address: 1401 Eye Street NW, Suite 240 Washington, DC

Phone: (202) 962-0075

E-mail: brian.donohue@hrblock.com

Submitted on: 3/17/2009

Comments:

H& R Block would like to submit testimony on House bill 333.

H&R Block is proud to have has 41 offices in Hawaii and employ over 565 tax professionals. We favor high standards of competence and integrity for tax return preparers, strong regulation of refund anticipation loans, and would welcome helping eligible clients obtain a state EITC if one is enacted.

Our primary activity is tax return preparation, although we also offer savings and credit products as well as an Emerald prepaid debit card that can act as a bank account and save clients on average nearly \$600 a year by avoiding check-cashing fees for tax refunds or payroll checks. We recognize that tax time is a teachable moment when clients may be able to save and build assets. Responsible use of credit, like savings, can be a necessary element in a family's financial planning.

About 80% of our clients do not choose a refund loan, but for those who do, it can be a lifesaver for family financial emergencies.

HB333 seeks to cap interest rates for Refund Anticipation Loans (RAL) in connection with the preparation of tax returns. Under existing rules of the Internal Revenue Service, a tax preparer is not permitted to be the lender on a RAL relating to a tax return prepared by the tax preparer. Accordingly, all refund loans are made by financial institutions, like J.P. Morgan Chase and HSBC (which makes loans to Block clients). Therefore, tax preparers do not charge interest, as it is written in Section 231.

Further, for over 140 years, national banks have been subject to federal jurisdiction; courts have repeatedly ruled that inconsistent state regulation is preempted, especially as to interest rates. If enacted, HB333 would therefore likely be preempted by a court as required by the National Bank Act when applied to tax preparers who offer RALs on behalf of national banks. A similar Connecticut statute was recently held to be unconstitutional by a federal district court and upheld by the Second Circuit Court of Appeals. See Pacific Capital Bank v. Connecticut, 2006 WL 2331075.

TAXBILLSERVICE

126 Queen Street, Sulte 304

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

Honolulu, Hawall 96813 Tel. 536-4587

opposition

SUBJECT:

INCOME, Earned income credit

BILL NUMBER:

HB 333, HD-2

INTRODUCED BY: House Committee on Finance

BRIEF SUMMARY: Adds a new section to HRS chapter 235 to allow taxpayers to claim a state earned income tax credit equal to ______% of the federal earned income tax credit amount.

Credits in excess of tax liability shall be refunded to the taxpayer provided such amounts are over \$1. Requires claims, including any amended claims, to be filed on or before the end of the twelfth month following the taxable year for which the credit may be claimed.

Requires the director of taxation, with the assistance of the director of human services, to determine the value of the refundable portion of the tax credits and notify the director of human services of this amount. The director of human services is to transfer temporary assistance for needy family funds (TANF) to pay for the refundable tax credits provided the transfer shall not apply to tax years after December 31, 2009.

Directs the director of taxation to: (1) prepare the necessary forms to claim the credit; (2) require proof of the claim for the tax credit; (3) alert eligible taxpayers of the tax credit; (4) prepare an annual report containing the number of credits granted for the prior calendar year, the total number of credits granted, and the average value of the credits granted to taxpayers whose earned income falls within various income ranges; and (5) adopt rules pursuant to HRS chapter 91 to effectuate this section. These directives shall apply to tax years beginning after 12/31/08.

Appropriates \$____ out of temporary assistance for needy families funds for fiscal 2010 to fund the refundable earned income tax credit.

Appropriates \$_____ in general funds for fiscal 2010 and the same amount in 2011, to fund public service announcements to alert eligible taxpayers of the earned income tax credit.

Adds a new section to HRS chapter 231 to provide that a tax preparer who prepares tax returns for compensation to clients who are recipients of the state earned income tax credit shall not charge such recipients more than ____% of interest for any tax preparation service. Interest received on any refund anticipation loan or comparable arrangement shall be considered interest charged for the tax preparation service. Violation of this section shall constitute a misdemeanor.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2050

STAFF COMMENTS: The federal earned income tax credit (EITC) provides an incentive to low-income households to remain in the workforce. The credit is targeted at households with children but the credit is also available at a lower amount to low-income households without children. The credit is based on a

number of tests for earned income, investment income, number of qualifying children, dependency, etc. Given the complexity of the credit, the IRS will optionally calculate the amount of the credit for taxpayers. The IRS reports an error rate of greater than 25% for this credit.

The proposed measure would adopt an earned income credit by merely taking a percentage of the amount that the taxpayer would be eligible for under the federal table or determination. It should be remembered that the federal EITC was established for low and moderate-income workers to offset the burden of Social Security payroll taxes that might have otherwise been paid to them but were instead paid to the federal government by the employer. Enacted in 1975 at the federal level primarily as a means of tax relief, the credit was expanded three times during the 1980's and 1990's by the federal government to boost income from work and lessen poverty among families with children. In other words, it became a tool by which the federal government undertook social policy beginning with the first expansion of the credit in 1986. It is interesting to note the date of the first expansion because that was also the year that the federal Code was dramatically restructured, eliminating a number of tax benefits such as the deduction of consumer credit interest, deduction of state sales taxes, and institution of a minimum tax for those taxpayers receiving generally exempt income. It was also the year that rates were dramatically reduced, and together with the standard deduction and personal exemption, rates were indexed.

Thus, what started out as a mechanism to "refund" payroll taxes that might otherwise have been paid to low and moderate-income workers by the federal government has turned into a subsidy for these families. While federal policymakers have the luxury of expending millions of dollars to accomplish a social goal through the tax system, state lawmakers do not have the same level of resources.

If the intent of state lawmakers is to alleviate the burden on the low and moderate-income workers in Hawaii who claim the federal EITC, their efforts should focus on the state income tax burden as it affects these families. Hawaii has one of the lowest thresholds of the some 43 states that levy a state income tax. An income tax threshold is the income level at which families begin to pay the state income tax. Despite the reduction in personal income tax rates in 1998 and adoption of a low-income tax credit, as well as a modest increase in the standard deduction, much more work needs to be done to adjust the standard deduction and the personal exemptions. Rates and brackets are still much too high for all of Hawaii's working people.

While advocates point to a variety of national articles that hail the EITC as a means of helping the poor out of poverty and encouraging the poor to go to work, they miss the point that taking a percentage of the federal amount bears no relationship to the tax burden imposed by the state. Thus, the EITC amounts to nothing more than a back door welfare program, handing out money merely because a person falls into a low-income category and has joined the workforce with a dependent or two. So while welfare advocates may point to tomes of literature that praise the EITC as a way to lift the poor out of the abyss of poverty, there is just as much material that decries the EITC as poor tax policy and one that is fraught with errors and compliance difficulties. In other words, if the poor are to be helped, don't do it through the tax system as there is very little transparency and accountability. And despite claims that many of these problems have been resolved, there is general agreement from administrators and practitioners that this is one of the most difficult and complicated federal tax credits with which to administer and comply, with increasing errors and inaccuracies.

Like many of the targeted tax credits aimed at encouraging business activities, the EITC comes with all of the problems outlined with those targeted business tax credits. There is no oversight as to how these refunds are aiding families, whether or not outcomes are being achieved or for that matter whether a family is getting sufficient assistance to actually leave the welfare rolls and become self-sufficient. As a recent study reported, nearly one-third of Hawaii's families are not self-sufficient. What will the EITC do for those families who are working two or three jobs to make ends meet but, as a result, make too much money to qualify for the EITC? Where is the tax relief for those families? Lawmakers can make much more of a difference by making the needed structural changes to the state income tax rates and brackets and by boosting the standard deduction. Again, one must ask what is the relationship between taking 20% of the federal credit amount and the amount of state tax burden relieved?

Lawmakers should also consider the interaction of a state tax credit that produces negative income and how that will affect the amount of income that would then be exposed to the federal rate structure. There are comprehensive studies on the interaction of the credit with the overall federal income tax system. Adopting the credit willy-nilly for state tax purposes may disrupt the incentive to remain employed or to increase the number of hours worked. It should be noted that an EITC has not been recommended by the latest state Tax Review Commission (TRC). The TRC examined the effects of what would have happened if an EITC was enacted equal to 20% of the federal EITC in 2006. Based on 2003 tax returns, the staff of the Tax Research and Planning Office of the department of taxation found that fewer than half of the Hawaii resident income tax returns would have benefitted from a Hawaii EITC. Of the 308,652 returns with AGI of under \$30,000, only 68,845 or 22.3% claimed the federal EITC. They also estimated that there would be a \$23.2 million decline in tax collections if the EITC were adopted.

Finally, lawmakers should understand that by taking a percentage of a number calculated at the federal level, they are surrendering their oversight over this tax policy to Congress. It should be noted that the Federal 2009 "Stimulus" package temporarily increased the amount of the federal credit from 40% to 45% of the first \$12,570 of earned income for taxpayers with three or more qualifying children. What is even scarier is that Congress in the future could choose to substantially increase the amount of the credit such that the result at the state level may mean a huge unexpected impact on state resources. Such is the case with the state inheritance taxes which were tied into the tax credit offered under the federal law which calculated an amount the federal government assumed the state took in death taxes. However, this provision was eliminated by EGTRRA in 2001, phasing out the state death tax credit completely over four years such that Hawaii has no tax on inheritance and estates.

Finally, where would the revenue loss generated by this credit be taken? Which program would be cut or not funded at all? What is known in the social services community is that unless the poor are given the tools and skills to become self-sufficient they will remain on welfare. The funds lost in this tax credit program would be far better spent on services that assist those especially in public housing in gaining the skills they need to hold gainful employment, provide child care so those who need to go to work will have childcare, and learn how to manage what money they earn. Without these skills, merely subsidizing their earned income with a tax credit will not hold a promise of self-sufficiency. Rather than duplicating the federal earned income tax credit, the state should use its resources to instead complement the effort with more skill building and family support so these families can hold gainful employment. This would be a far better use of the TANF funds being proposed to fund the EITC in this proposal.

Digested 3/17/09

LINDA LINGLE



LILLIAN B. KOLLER, ESQ. HENRY OLIVA

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES P. O. Box 339

Honolulu, Hawaii 96809-0339

March 19, 2009

MEMORANDUM

TO:

The Honorable Suzanne Chun Oakland, Chair

Senate Committee on Human Services

FROM:

Lillian B. Koller, Director

SUBJECT:

H.B. 333, H.D. 2 - RELATING TO TAXATION

Hearing: Thursday, March 19, 2009; 2:15 p.m.

Conference Room 016, State Capitol

PURPOSE: The purpose of H.B. 333, H.D. 2 is to create a state earned income tax credit funded initially with TANF funds and to also restrict the interest charged by tax preparers to clients who claim the earned income tax credit.

DEPARTMENT'S POSITION: The Department of Human Services (DHS) defers to the Department of Taxation regarding the state earned income tax credit and the interest charged by tax preparers.

While Federal TANF funds may be available to fund all or some of the new State supplemental EITC program proposed in this bill, there are no State TANF MOE funds available because all of the State TANF MOE funds are spent every year on financial assistance to recipients, personnel and other operating costs.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on this bill.