TESTIMONY GM 339 LATE



ANITA S. WONG
DEPUTY TO THE CHAIRMAN
ROBERT J. HALL
EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT

CHAIRMAN HAWAIIAN HOMES COMMISSION

STATE OF HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF HAWAIIAN HOME LANDS

P.O. BOX 1879 HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96805

TESTIMONY OF KAULANA H. R. PARK, CHAIRMAN
HAWAIIAN HOMES COMMISSION
BEFORE THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON WATER, LAND, AGRICULTURE AND
HAWAIIAN AFFAIRS

ON GM 339

Submitting for consideration and confirmation to the Land Use Commission, Gubernatorial Nominee, KYLE JAMES KALEO CHOCK, for a term to expire 6-30-2014.

March 24, 2010

Chair Hee, Vice-Chair Tokuda and Members of the Committee:

We strongly support the nomination of Kyle Chock to the Land Use Commission. Mr. Chock has been instrumental in strengthening the partnership between the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands and Pacific Resource Partnership and the Hawaii Carpenters Union. With Mr. Chock's assistance, our department established a pre-apprenticeship program for our beneficiaries on our waiting list. This program provides participants with carpentry training and ultimately results in beneficiaries finding employment on DHHL work sites.

Based on Mr. Chock's work with our department and his successes at Pacific Resource Partnership, we respectfully urge your committee to consent to this nomination so Mr. Chock may continue his work as a member of the Land Use Commission. Thank you for this opportunity to testify.

The Twenty-Fifth Legislature Regular Session of 2010



THE SENATE

Committee on Water, Land, Agriculture, and Hawaiian Affairs Senator Clayton Hee, Chair Senator Jill N. Tokuda, Vice Chair State Capitol, Conference Room 229 Wednesday, March 24, 2010; 2:45 p.m.

STATEMENT OF THE ILWU LOCAL 142 KYLE JAMES KALEO CHOCK FOR THE LAND USE COMMISSION G.M. 339

The ILWU Local 142 supports the confirmation of Kyle Chock to serve on the State Land Use Commission for a term to expire on June 30, 2014.

The State Land Use Commission is charged with the important function of ensuring balance in land use and protecting our natural resources for Hawaii's future. We believe Kyle Chock is uniquely suited to fulfill the legislative mandate of the Land Use Commission.

Mr. Chock's experience is diverse. Through his work experience in the public arena, both for the City Council and Honolulu Community College, Mr. Chock has developed an understanding of public policy needs. Through his volunteer activity with Lanakila Rehabilitation Foundation and Child & Family Service, Mr. Chock has come to understand the needs of the private nonprofit sector. In his service to The Nature Conservancy, Mr. Chock has developed a clearer appreciation for the environment and the crucial need to protect and preserve Hawaii's natural resources. In his current position with Pacific Resource Partnership, Mr. Chock works with both employers and unions to promote the construction industry in Hawaii.

Mr. Chock will bring a balanced perspective to the Land Use Commission and a commitment to responsible development.

The ILWU urges the Senate to confirm Kyle Chock for the State Land Use Commission. Thank you for the opportunity to share our views.





HAWAII BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL, AFL-CIO

Gentry Pacific Design Center, Sulte 215A * 560 N. Nimitz Highway, #50 * Honolulu, Hawaii 96817 (808) 524-2249 - FAX (808) 524-6893

HOLAH MORIWAKI

President

Brickleyers & Ceremic Tile Sallers Local 1 & Plasterers/Cernent Masons

Logal 630

JOSEPH O'DONNELL

Vice President

tron Workers Local 625

March 23, 2010

DAMIEN T.K. KIM Financial Sacrelary International Brotherhousi of

Electrical Workers Local 1186

Transium

Sheet Metal Workers I.A. Local 293

MALCOLM K. AHLO

Sergeant At Arms Carpel, Linclaum, & Sor Tils

Local 1286

ART TOLENTING

REGINALD CASTANARES

Trustee Plumburs & Fillers Local 876

THADDEUS TOME! Elevator Constructors Local 126

JOSEDH HAZEMORF

Drywell, Tapers, & Finishers Local 1944

RICHARD TACGERE Glaziers, Architectural Metal & Glasaworkers Local 1944

BARY AYCOCK Bollermakers, Ironship Builders Local 527

I YAN KINNEY District Council 50 Painters & Atted Trades Local 1791

EUGENE SOQUENA Operating Engineers Local 3

DOUGLAS FULP international Assoc. of Heat & Frost Insulgiors & Allied Workers Local 132

RONAN KOZUMA Named Teamslers & Alled Workers Local 998

PETER GANABAN Laborars' International Union of North America Local 368

VAUGHN CHONG Roofers, Waterpropfers & Alfield Workers United Union of Rooter Honorable Senator Clayton Hee, Chair

Honorable Senator Jill N. Tokuda, Vice Chair

Members of the Senate Committee on Water, Land, Agriculture and Hawaiian Affairs

Hawaii State Capital

415 South Beretania Street

Honolulu, HI 96813

RE: IN SUPPORT OF GM 339

RELATING TO CONSIDERATION FOR CONFIRMATION FOR KYLE J.K. CHOCK

Dear Chair Hee, Vice Chair Tokuda and fellow Committee Members;

For the Record my name is Buzz Hong, the Executive Director for the Hawaii Building & Construction Trades Council, AFL-CIO. Our Council is comprised of 16construction unions and a membership of 26,000 statewide.

The Council SUPPORTS <u>GM339</u> which requests the consideration and confirmation of Kyle James Kaleo Chock to the appointed position as a member of the Land Use Commission for a term to expire 6-30-2014.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit this testimony in support of this Gubernatorial Nominee.

Sincerely,

William "Buzz" Hono

Executive Director

WBH/kb





HAWAII GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION

AFSCME Local 152, AFL-CIO

RANDY PERREIRA Executive Director Tel: 808.543.0011 Fax: 808.528.0922 NORA A. NOMURA Deputy Executive Director Tel: 808.543.0003 Fax: 808.528.0922 DEREK M. MIZUNO
Deputy Executive Director
Tel: 808.543.0055
Fax: 808.523.6879

The Twenty-Fifth Legislature, State of Hawaii
Hawaii State Senate
Committee on Water, Land, Agriculture and Hawaiian Affairs

Testimony by
Hawaii Government Employees Association
March 24, 2010

GM 339 – Submitting for Consideration and Confirmation to the Land Use Commission - Gubernatorial Nominee, Kyle Chock

The Hawaii Government Employees Association supports the confirmation of Kyle Chock to the state Land Use Commission (LUC). Mr. Chock has been the Executive Director of the Pacific Resource Partnership (PRP) since 2005. This important organization serves as the bridge between Hawaii's major contractors and the 7,600-member Hawaii Carpenters Union. The PRP is directly responsible for developing and managing programs that support unionized construction.

The PRP is recognized as an innovative leader in labor relations, business, economic development and community-based initiatives to improve the education and employment opportunities of Hawaii residents. Under Mr. Chock's leadership, the PRP secured \$5.5 million in annual government funding to expand construction academy partnerships with public high schools throughout the state. He also successfully led efforts to support the Honolulu City Council's approval of a mass transit system.

In 2008, Mr Chock launched the Play Fair in Hawaii campaign to encourage developers to adhere to the rules governing Hawaii's construction industry and follow fair labor practices. He is currently a member of the LUC and his term expires on June 30, 2010. Hawaii Business magazine highlighted him as one of the 20 emerging leaders for the next 20 years in its March 2010 edition.

We are very pleased to support his confirmation to the state Land Use Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

Randy Perreira Executive Director



SENATE COMMITTEE ON WATER, LAND, AGRICULTURE, AND HAWAIIAN AFFAIRS

March 24, 2010, 2:45 P.M. (Testimony is 6 pages long)

TESTIMONY IN OPPOSITION TO GM 339 (APPOINTMENT OF KYLE JAMES KALEO CHOCK TO THE LAND USE COMMISSION)

Aloha Chair Hee and Members of the Committee:

The Hawai'i Chapter of the Sierra Club opposes the appointment of Kyle James Kaleo Chock to the Land Use Commission not necessarily because of a poor record, but because his professional experience exacerbates the one-sided imbalance of the Land Use Commission towards serving a development interest. This imbalance causes the Commission to fail in fulfilling its mission and purpose under the law and our state constitution.

I. Purpose of the Land Use Commission.

In discussing potential candidates for the Land Use Commission, it is important to underscore that our venerable land use law was passed in 1961 in order to protect natural beauty and natural resources, to prevent scattered and premature development, to protect prime agricultural land, and to limit land speculation of urban areas. (1961 House Journal 855; 1961 Sess. Laws 299; see also, Haw. Rev. Stat. § 226-104). As the Hawai'i Supreme Court noted:

In sum, the overarching purpose of the state land use law is to "protect and conserve" natural resources and foster "intelligent," "effective," and "orderly" land allocation and development. See 1961 Haw. Sess. L. Act 187 § 1 at 299 ("[I]n order to preserve, protect and encourage the development of lands in the State for those uses to which they are best suited for the public welfare . . . , the power to zone should be exercised by the State.") See also Pearl Ridge Estates Community Ass'n v. Lear Siegler, Inc., 65 Haw. 133, 144 n. 9, 648 P.2d 702, 709 n.9 (Nakamura, J., concurring) ("Thus, conservation lands must be reserved if practicable, agricultural lands should be protected, and urban lands should be developed in orderly fashion.")

Curtis v. Board of Appeals, County of Hawai'i, 90 Hawai'i 384, 396 (1999), 978 P. 2d 822, 834.

The Hawai'i Supreme Court has further observed that the emphasis of the Land Use Law is on controlling growth and protecting resources:

By enacting HRS ch. 205 in 1961, the legislature intended, *inter alia*, to "[s]tage the allocation of land for development in an orderly plan," H.Stand.Comm.Rep. No. 395, 1st Haw.Leg., 2d Sess., reprinted House Journal 855-56, and to redress the problem of "inadequate controls [which] have caused many of Hawaii's limited and valuable lands to be used for purposes that may have a short-term gain to a few but result in long-term loss to the income and growth potential of our economy. Act 187, 1961 Haw.Sess. Laws 299.

Neighborhood Board v. State Land Use Commission, 64 Haw. 265, 272-3, 639 P.2d 1097 (1982).

Hawaii's Land Use Law was enacted in an effort to manage growth on islands of limited resources:

Scattered subdivisions with expensive, yet reduced public services; the shifting of prime agricultural lands into non-revenue producing residential uses when other lands are available that could serve adequately urban needs . . . these are evidences of the need for public concern and action.

Act 187, 1961 Haw Sess. Laws 299.

II. Failure of the Land Use Commission to Fulfill the Law.

The current constitution of the Land Use Commission is plainly slanted towards serving development interests. This imbalance has created a perception, based on some particular examples, that the Commission has become a rubber stamp for developers. Time after time, the LUC has elected to support rapid growth or ignore the law in order to avoid addressing hard questions about smart growth and sustainability.

A. Turtle Bay/Kuilima Development Company

The Commission's failure to enforce conditions imposed on the proposed development at Turtle Bay is an example of a body ignoring the law in order to further development interests and to the detriment of the public at large.¹

In 1986, the Land Use Commission reclassified 236 acres in Kahuku based on a fifteen year market analysis and representations by Kuilima Development Company that the project would

¹ In the spirit of complete disclosure, Robert Harris previously represented Defend Oʻahu Coalition, a petitioner that requested the Land Use Commission consider this matter. He has no current relationship with Defend Oʻahu Coalition.

The Sierra Club, Hawai'i Chapter is also a plaintiff in a lawsuit pending before the Supreme Court of Hawai'i as to whether Kuilima Development Company's environmental impact statement is legally sufficient.

Sierra Club

Testimony on GM 338

Page 3

be completed by 2000.² Kuilima repeatedly promised that thousands of new jobs would be created "over the next 20 years." Dozens of residents testified in support of the project based largely on the promise of new jobs and affordable homes.

Unfortunately, Kuilima lied. Upon receiving this reclassification, ownership interest in the property exchanged hands several times. Little or no development on the property occurred. No new jobs. No new affordable housing.

Over twenty years elapsed. Few of the conditions imposed by the Land Use Commission were followed. For example, it is relatively uncontested that:

- A 4.8 acre park at Kawela Bay was never dedicated to the County;
- A 2-acre privately owned park was never opened to the public;
- Full-service hotels were never finished;
- Low and moderate income housing was never built;
- Improvements to Kamehameha Highway were never finished;
- Continuous pedestrian access along the shoreline was never provided; and
- Free public parking was not provided at the property.

On April 1, 2008, Defend Oahu Coalition filed a motion with the Land Use Commission pointing out Kuilima Development Company's failure to comply with the Commission's conditions. This is seemingly an easy motion. Numerous conditions were not satisfied. More then twenty years had elapsed. Pursuant to the Land Use Commission rules³ it seemed abundantly clear that SOMETHING should occur. The Commission, as urged by the Petitioner and suggested by the State Office of Planning, could have:

- 1) Granted the Motion and held a hearing asking Kuilima to prove why the land should not revert back to its prior reclassification or
- 2) Amended the Order granting the reclassification and established a "drop dead" date as to when the conditions needed to be fulfilled.

Instead, the Commission chose to simply defer action and hope the problem would go away. Specifically,

• 4/24/08: Land Use Commission ("LUC") hearing at which the LUC moves to set the Motion for hearing at its next Oahu meeting on 6/5 and 6/6/08. This meeting was eventually changed to 7/10 - 7/11/08.

² The Environmental Impact Statement indicated the project would be completed by 1994-1995.

³ Haw Admin. R. §15-15-93 states "[a]ny party or interested person may file a motion with the commission requesting an issuance of an order to show cause **upon a showing that there has been a failure to perform a condition, representation, or commitment on the part of the petitioner."** (Emphasis added.).

Sierra Club

Testimony on GM 338

Page 4

- 7/11/08: Hearing before LUC. LUC voted to defer ruling on the Motion to receive additional legal advice, but also voted to require the submission of a status report by KDC, due 8/18/08.
- 10/2/08: LUC held a hearing at which it considered KDC's status report and questioned KDC about progress made.
- 11/6/08: LUC held another hearing on the status report. They voted to set the hearing on the Motion for the next Oahu meeting.
- 2/6/09: LUC hearing on the Motion. The LUC moved to defer ruling on the Motion until it could further consult with its deputy attorney general on legal issues.
- 4/3/09: LUC Executive Director Dan Davidson sent a letter to DOC stating that the LUC was receiving legal advice and was also giving hearing priority to matters with statutory deadlines.
- 2/4/10: Further hearing on DOC Motion. After public testimony and party arguments, a
 motion to deny DOC's motion failed for lack of a majority and a motion to grant DOC's
 Motion failed for lack of a second. A motion to modify the D&O was withdrawn after the
 LUC met with its counsel.

At each one of these hearings, dozens of North Shore residents made the long trek to the Land Use Commission downtown office hoping that -- perhaps finally -- the Commission would make an up or down vote on the Motion. As each hearing occurred, it became increasingly clear that the Commission has no desire to enforce its own conditions.

The two-year failure to take action on Defend Oahu Coalition's Motion has sent a clear signal to other developers that the Commissions' conditions will not be enforced. This fundamentally undermines our land use laws and the express purpose of land use law -- to protect valuable resources and to ensure orderly planning. Further, the only logical rational for the Commission's actions was to preserve a developer's interests.

B. Protection of Agricultural Land.

Our constitution and land use laws plainly direct the Commission to preserve agricultural land with adequate water. The Hawai'i State Constitution, article XI, section 3 is explicit about the need to "conserve and protect agriculture lands, promote diversified agriculture, increase self-sufficiency," and about the need to "assure the availability of agricultural suitable lands." Haw. Rev. Stat. § 266-7 (10) states the Commission must "[a]ssure the availability of agricultural suitable lands with adequate water to accommodate present and future needs."

Despite this relatively clear direction, over the past fifty years approximately 53% of Class A and B land have been reclassified from agricultural to urban use. On O'ahu alone, over 3,200 acres of prime land have been reclassified over the past twenty years.



This is a crisis. Unfortunately, it looks like external problems are going to make this problem worse. Hawai'i has been experiencing droughts over the past decade. If, because of global warming, water resources become severely limited in the state, it is imperative we preserve prime agricultural lands that are characterized by high production efficiencies (which allow for greater yields or productivity with less inputs such as water, fertilizers, and labor) and that are in proximity to key population centers.

Two of the last large parcels of agricultural land on Oʻahu -- Koa Ridge⁴ and Hoʻopili -- are some of the last areas that have ready access to irrigation water. This explains why these parcels are succeeding commercially. The remaining 7,750 acres on O'ahu's north shore do not have access to adequate irrigation water for the production of edible crops, like vegetables. It will be up to the Commission and its new appointees to protect these lands for future generations.

Looking at the current constitution of the Commission -- almost all developers, representative of developers, or representatives of the construction industry -- it's unclear how the public could reasonably expect this body to fulfill its charge to protect agricultural land as it hears petitions to develop key remaining parcels. In the past, there has been somewhat of a greater balance of individuals. Plainly there is now a tremendous lack of experience with regard to agricultural, environmental, and cultural issues among the Commission's current members.

We need diversity in order to ensure public confidence and to make decisions that benefit the entire state and not simply short-term development interests. As one commentator⁵ recently noted:

[C]lear public sentiment has not been matched by a equally forceful governmental response -- the type of bold political strokes needed to ensure a strong agricultural potential for future generations. The majority of the good farmland is owned by private entities that generally seek the highest-priced use through rezoning for development. Since developments can also provide a quick boost to the local economy, as well as adding housing or addressing other present-day issues, they consistently succeed.

As Hawaii looks at sustainability and, in effect, accepts future generations as equal partners in planning, the erosion of agricultural land must be reassessed immediately. Many reasoned arguments can be made about competing uses so perhaps we need to be reminded of a basic fact: Food is fundamental to life, and the bulk of what we eat -- from the fast-food hamburger to the latest culinary concoction -- are derived from a farm. There are no realistic alternatives. In this context, we might ask: Would citizens in 2050, looking back, wish we had urbanized a piece of farmland? Or might they wish we had kept it available to agriculture, both to cultivate fresh food, and as a buffer to the unpredictable vagaries of shipping food from suppliers at least half an ocean away? What

⁴ According to the Petitioner, Castle & Cooke.

⁵ "Agriculture" by C.N. Lee and H.C. "Skip" Bittenbender, at 6.



Sierra Club

Testimony on GM 338

Page 6

would they consider the highest and best use of the land? And what might they want to pass along to their descendants?

(Emphasis added.). Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

LATE TESTIMONY

From: Sent:

Ben Shafer [bdshafer@gmail.com] Wednesday, March 24, 2010 3:41 AM

To: Subject:

WTLTestimony; Ben Shafer Corrected copy GM 339,

State Senate Committee on Water, Land, Agriculture, and Hawaiian Affairs Committee Hawaii State Capital

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Benjamin D. Shafer Hauula, Hawaii 96717 808.222.3138

March 24, 2010

RE: Strong Opposition to GM 339, Kyle Chock reappointment to LUC

March 24, 2010 2:45 pm Rm. 229

Aloha Kakou Chairman Clayton Hee, Vice Chair Jill Okuda and Members of the Senate Committee on Water, Land, Agriculture, and Hawaiian Affairs Committee,

I am in strong opposition to GM 339, the reappointment of Kyle Chock to the Land Use Commission, for the following reasons.

The Land Use Commission is suppose to be looking out for the keiki o ka aina on land development issues now and into the future. Mr. Chock's conflict of interest issues places him in a position against the LUC's stated purposes.

I am a supporter of development of the aina, but it needs to sustainable, contributory to the aina, and supportive of the aina and its people. To develop with no thought of its mitigating impacts is irresponsible and unacceptable. We presently grow only 15% of our food, which needs to change to at least 75%. We need to preserve all good farmlands for the survival of future generations.

Mr. Chock's expressed views of expansion of Turtle Bay and more development of prime farm land like Hoopili and elsewhere is short-sighted and irreversible. Mr. Chock s actions and votes do not reflect LUC purpose and mission.

Therefore, I strongly oppose GM 339, the reappointment of Kyle Chock.

My recommendation is that this position be balanced out by someone who is a farmer. A farmer who has farmed his adult life and retired now, as a farmer would probably not be able to make the LUC meetings.

Mahalo nui loa Kakou,

Robert Cazimero 1330 Ala Moana Boulevard, Suite 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 96814

March 19, 2010

The Honorable Senator Clayton Hee Chairperson Committee on Water, Land, Agriculture and Hawaiian Affairs Hawaii State Capitol, Room 228 415 South Beretania Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Via Fax: 808-586-7334

Via Email: senhee@capitol.hawaii.gov

Re: Re-appointment of Kyle Chock to the Land Use Commission (GM #339)

Dear Chairperson Hee

And Members of the Committee on Water, Land, Agriculture and Hawaiian Affairs

It gives me great pleasure to submit this letter in support of the re-appointment of Kyle Chock to the Hawaii State Land Use Commission.

I have been fortunate to have known Kyle for many years on a personal and professional level. And in that time I have found Kyle to be a caring, compassionate person with a deep commitment to his family, friends, business associates and the community.

One of his greatest personal traits is his sense of integrity. You can always count on Kyle to be open, honest and stand by his commitments.

In all of his various responsibilities, as a community volunteer, businessperson and public servant, Kyle has also demonstrated a sense of fairness, common sense and good judgment.

When selecting someone to serve on the Land Use Commission, you should look for someone with technical knowledge about land use, the environment and public policy. But perhaps more importantly, you must find candidates with strong personal character.

It is because of these traits that I am confident that Kyle Chock will continue to be a great asset to the Land Use Commission and our community.

Sincerely,

Robert Cazimero

TESTIMONY GM 339 LATE (END)