

Honolulu, Hawaii

MAR 1 9 2010

H.B. No. 1901 RE: H.D. 2 S.D. 1

Honorable Colleen Hanabusa President of the Senate Twenty-Fifth State Legislature Regular Session of 2010 State of Hawaii

Madam:

Your Committee on Judiciary and Government Operations, to which was referred H.B. No. 1901, H.D. 2, entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO PROCUREMENT OF VOTING SYSTEM EOUIPMENT, "

begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose of this measure is to provide for an alternative procurement process for the procurement of voting system equipment.

Testimony in support of the measure was submitted by two state agencies. Testimony in opposition to the measure was submitted by one state agency. Written testimony presented to your Committee may be reviewed on the Legislature's website.

Your Committee finds that there are a limited number of potential vendors in Hawaii who supply voting system equipment, and the competition between vendors can be intense. The criteria used to evaluate voting system equipment are necessarily objective, and the qualitative nature of the voting experience must be balanced against the price of the equipment. Because of the competition within the market and the evaluation criteria, procurement protests and appeals have been frequently used by disappointed vendors who contend that their proposals should have received a higher score and received the contract. Under the existing procurement process, a protest can be filed for any reason, and, when one is filed, the entire process is stalled until resolved by an administrative hearings officer and can be



STAND. COM. REP. NO. 2787

further delayed by an appeal to the courts. This type of delay could impede the State's ability to hold an election.

Your Committee finds that this measure creates an alternative procurement process for voting system equipment that is intended to allow the procurement of this equipment to be both fair to potential vendors and timely for the State's planning and implementation of elections.

Your Committee has amended this measure by:

2010-1610 SSCR SMA.doc

- Clarifying the ranking process if fewer than three offers are received;
- (2) Deleting section 1 of the measure, relating to the purpose of the measure; and
- (3) Making technical, nonsubstantive changes for purposes of consistency, style, and clarification.

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committee on Judiciary and Government Operations that is attached to this report, your Committee is in accord with the intent and purpose of H.B. No. 1901, H.D. 2, as amended herein, and recommends that it pass Second Reading in the form attached hereto as H.B. No. 1901, H.D. 2, S.D. 1, and be referred to the Committee on Ways and Means.

> Respectfully submitted on behalf of the members of the Committee on Judiciary and Government Operations,

TANIGUCHI, т. BRIAN

The Senate Twenty-Fifth Legislature State of Hawaii

Record of Votes Committee on Judiciary and Government Operations JGO

Bill / Resolution No.:*	I / Resolution No.:* Committee Referral:			Date:		
HB 1901, HD2 JGO, WAM			8/12/10			
The committee is reconsidering its previous decision on this measure.						
If so, then the previous decision was to:						
The Recommendation is:						
Pass, unamended Pass, with amendments Hold Recommit 2312 2311 2310 2313						
Members		Aye	Aye (WR)	Nay	Excused	
TANIGUCHI, Brian T. (C)	• •					
TAKAMINE, Dwight Y. (VC)		V				
BUNDA, Robert	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\mathbf{V}				
GABBARD, Mike						
NISHIHARA, Clarence K.	-					
SLOM, Sam		•	· /			
		Roman (Barrier) Source (Barrier)				
TOTAL		4	. 1	0	- 1 - 1	
Recommendation:						
Chair's or Designee's Signature:						
Distribution: Original Yellow Pink Goldenrod File with Committee Report Clerk's Office Drafting Agency Committee File Copy						

*Only <u>one</u> measure per Record of Votes