H.B. NO. 227

A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO MARIJUANA.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 The legislature finds that scarce resources are SECTION 1. 2 currently being expended inefficiently by county and state law enforcement entities, including judicial and detention 3 4 facilities, for enforcing marijuana possession laws. A recent 5 study of the economics of enforcement of marijuana laws in 6 Hawaii reveals that "State and county law enforcement agencies spend \$4,100,000 per year to enforce marijuana possession laws; 7 and an additional \$2,100,000 is spent by the courts." 8 Notwithstanding this expenditure, "between 1994 and 2003, the 9 10 price of one ounce of high quality marijuana dropped by twelve 11 per cent." As the author of the study, a Hawaii-based economist, concluded, "the price decline reveals that law 12 enforcement efforts to restrict supply have not been effective." 13 14 The study also revealed that amending the State's marijuana 15 laws to make possession of less than one ounce of marijuana a civil violation in Hawaii could save state and county 16 governments up to \$5,000,000 per year. This would permit law 17 enforcement to focus their resources on more serious drug issues 18 HB LRB 09-1033.doc

2

such as combating the ongoing crystal methamphetamine epidemic 1 or the recently reported sharp increase in cocaine use. At the 2 same time, because of current low prosecution levels and small 3 penalties, the study's author concluded that decriminalizing the 4 possession of less than one ounce of marijuana by reducing the 5 violation to a civil penalty would not have much effect on 6 marijuana use. This finding is consistent with the experience 7 of other jurisdictions. This reduction, however, would not be a 8 significant change from the legislature's previous 9 classification of simple possession of small amounts of 10 marijuana as a petty misdemeanor due to the relatively harmless 11 nature of this substance, compared to other commonly used 12 13 illegal substances.

14 A civil fine could serve as a more certain penalty and 15 therefore a more effective deterrent, especially for young 16 people. In addition, imposing the fine would be as easy as 17 writing a traffic ticket and would require expending much less 18 time and effort on the part of law enforcement officers and 19 court officials.

20 The legislature further finds that existing Hawaii law 21 provides for a sentence of not more than thirty days for first 22 time possession of less than an ounce of marijuana. Even a 24 UR LPR 09-1033 doc



petty misdemeanor, however, is a crime. A violator's criminal
 record would seriously affect the violator's future by affecting
 eligibility for federal college loans, certain types of
 employment, and military service.

5 On the other hand, twelve other states have recognized the advantages of downgrading the possession of small amounts of 6 marijuana to a civil violation instead of a crime. These 7 states -- California, Colorado, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, 8 Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, 9 and Oregon, comprising some thirty per cent of the nation's 10 population -- have not experienced disproportionate spikes in 11 marijuana use since those states have enacted laws reducing the 12 severity of the violation. According to a 1999 report by the 13 National Academy of Sciences, Institute of Medicine, entitled 14 Marijuana and Medicine: Assessing the Science Base: "In sum, 15 there is little evidence that decriminalization of marijuana use 16 necessarily leads to a substantial increase in marijuana use." 17 Because the vast majority of possession charges under the 18 criminal code are dealt with by fines, treating possession of 19 20 less than an ounce of marijuana as a violation, punishable by a civil fine, will continue to deter marijuana use and demonstrate 21 22 the State's disapproval of the use of the drug. The legislature



Page 3

1 finds that a civil penalty will be perceived as more
2 commensurate to the offense. The State will reduce the cynicism
3 and disrespect for the law felt by those who see criminalization
4 with possible imprisonment for possession of small amounts of
5 marijuana as inconsistent with the more lenient laws governing
6 possession of more dangerous substances such as cigarettes and
7 alcohol.

There is increasing public support for the 8 decriminalization of marijuana possession. In November 2008, 9 10 fifty-eight per cent of voters of Hawaii county voted on a ballot initiative to make marijuana possession the "lowest law 11 enforcement priority." The new county ordinance directs law 12 enforcement officials of the county of Hawaii to treat the 13 "adult personal use" of cannabis as its lowest law enforcement 14 priority and prohibits the county from accepting or expending 15 funds for the marijuana eradication program and for enforcing 16 potential offenses for the adult personal use of cannabis. The 17 ordinance also directs the Hawaii county clerk to send a letter 18 to state legislators requesting that state laws pertaining to 19 20 private and personal use of cannabis be repealed.

In November 2008, sixty-five per cent of voters in
 Massachusetts approved a decriminalization initiative, effective



5

in January 2009, that makes possession of up to an ounce of
 marijuana a civil violation punishable by a \$100 fine.
 Massachusetts became the twelfth state to decriminalize
 marijuana possession.

The legislature also finds that on December 1, 2008, the 5 U.S. Supreme Court declined to review the November 28, 2007 6 7 decision of the California appellate court, which ruled that -- with regard to California's medical marijuana law -- it 8 is not the job of local police to enforce federal drug laws. 9 In 10 vetoing House Bill No. 2675, H.D. 2, which proposed the establishment of a medical marijuana task force, the governor 11 objected to the bill as an exercise by the State to circumvent 12 federal law. The U.S. Supreme Court's decision not to review 13 California's medical marijuana law appears to support the view 14 that local law enforcement may not stipulate federal law to 15 16 avoid implementing a conflicting state law, at least as far as 17 medical marijuana is concerned.

18 This Act does not amend laws regarding driving under the 19 influence of marijuana or other criminal infractions committed 20 under the influence, or infractions pertaining to sales or 21 manufacturing. This Act also does not amend laws regarding the 22 use of marijuana for medical purposes. The purpose of this Act



is to provide a civil penalty for possession of less than one 1 2 ounce of marijuana. SECTION 2. Chapter 329, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 3 4 amended by adding a new section to be appropriately designated 5 and to read as follows: "§329- Possession of marijuana. Intentional or knowing 6 possession of less than one ounce of marijuana shall constitute 7 a violation subject to a fine not to exceed \$100." 8 SECTION 3. Section 329-125, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 9 amended by amending subsection (a) to read as follows:" 10 "(a) A qualifying patient or the primary caregiver may 11 assert the medical use of marijuana as an affirmative defense to 12 any prosecution, criminal or civil, involving marijuana under 13 this [+]part[+] or chapter 712; provided that the qualifying 14 patient or the primary caregiver strictly complied with the 15 requirements of this part." 16

17 SECTION 4. Section 712-1240, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 18 amended by amending the definition of "detrimental drug" to read 19 as follows:

20 ""Detrimental drug" means any substance or immediate 21 precursor defined or specified as a "Schedule V substance" by 22 chapter 329, or any marijuana[-] <u>except that</u>, for purposes of



1	section 329- , less than one ounce of marijuana shall not
2	be deemed a detrimental drug."
3	SECTION 5. Section 712-1249, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
4	amended by amending subsection (1) to read as follows:
5	"(1) [A] Except for possession of less than one ounce of
6	marijuana deemed a violation under section 329- , a person
7	commits the offense of promoting a detrimental drug in the third
8	degree if the person knowingly possesses any marijuana or any
9	Schedule V substance in any amount."
10	SECTION 6. This Act does not affect rights and duties that
11	matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that were
12	begun, before its effective date.
13	SECTION 7. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
14	and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
15	SECTION 8. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.
16	

INTRODUCED BY:

Janha ľ

JAN 2 2 2009





Report Title:

Marijuana; Decriminalize Possession of Less than One Ounce

Description:

Decriminalizes possession of less than 1 ounce of marijuana and makes the possession a civil violation subject to a fine of not more than \$100.

