#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TWENTY-FIFTH LEGISLATURE, 2010 STATE OF HAWAII

## H.B. NO. 2061

### A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO CHILDREN.

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

SECTION 1. Certain jobs require parents to be separated
 from their children for extended periods of time. This fact is
 especially prevalent among families with parents who serve in
 the United States armed forces.

5 It has been reported that approximately eight per cent of 6 military service members are single parents and that 7 approximately ten thousand single-parent service members have 8 been deployed overseas for more than six months.

9 Nationwide, a number of family court judges use a military service member's absence away from home while serving the United 10 States in countries such as Iraq and Afghanistan to take away 11 12 child custody and visitation rights. A CBS News analysis of 13 child custody laws found that only five states automatically 14 return children after deployment; five states prohibit 15 deployment from being used in court; fourteen states have weaker protections; and the remaining states provide United States 16 17 service members with no additional protections.



### H.B. NO. 2001

The purpose of this Act is to prevent courts from using a
 parent's extended absence due to military service or other
 public duties to alter or affect awards of child custody and
 visitation.

5 SECTION 2. Section 571-46, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
6 amended to read as follows:

"§571-46 Criteria and procedure in awarding custody and 7 visitation; best interest of the child. (a) 8 In actions for 9 divorce, separation, annulment, separate maintenance, or any 10 other proceeding where there is at issue a dispute as to the custody of a minor child, the court, during the pendency of the 11 action, at the final hearing, or any time during the minority of 12 the child, may make an order for the custody of the minor child 13 as may seem necessary or proper. In awarding the custody, the 14 15 court shall be guided by the following standards,

16 considerations, and procedures:

17 (1) Custody should be awarded to either parent or to both
18 parents according to the best interests of the child,
19 and the court also may consider frequent, continuing,
20 and meaningful contact of each parent with the child
21 unless the court finds that a parent is unable to act
22 in the best interest of the child; provided that the



# H.B. NO. rael

э

1		custody award shall be made in accordance with
2		subsection (c);
3	(2)	Custody may be awarded to persons other than the
4		father or mother whenever the award serves the best
5		interest of the child. Any person who has had de
6		facto custody of the child in a stable and wholesome
7		home and is a fit and proper person shall be entitled
8		prima facie to an award of custody;
9	(3)	If a child is of sufficient age and capacity to
10		reason, so as to form an intelligent preference, the
11		child's wishes as to custody shall be considered and
12		be given due weight by the court;
13	(4)	Whenever good cause appears therefor, the court may
14		require an investigation and report concerning the
15		care, welfare, and custody of any minor child of the
16		parties. When so directed by the court, investigators
17		or professional personnel attached to or assisting the
18		court, hereinafter referred to as child custody
19		evaluators, shall make investigations and reports that
20		shall be made available to all interested parties and
21		counsel before hearing, and the reports may be
22		received in evidence if no objection is made and, if



### H.B. NO.2001

1 objection is made, may be received in evidence; 2 provided the person or persons responsible for the 3 report are available for cross-examination as to any 4 matter that has been investigated; and provided 5 further that the court shall define the requirements 6 to be a court-appointed child custody evaluator, the 7 standards of practice, ethics, policies, and 8 procedures required of court-appointed child custody 9 evaluators in the performance of their duties for all 10 courts, and the powers of the courts over child custody evaluators to effectuate the best interests of 11 12 a child in a contested custody dispute pursuant to 13 this section. Where there is no child custody 14 evaluator available that meets the requirements and 15 standards, or any child custody evaluator to serve indigent parties, the court may appoint a person 16 otherwise willing and available[+];[+] 17 (5)The court may hear the testimony of any person or 18 19 expert, produced by any party or upon the court's own motion, whose skill, insight, knowledge, or experience 20 21 is such that the person's or expert's testimony is 22 relevant to a just and reasonable determination of



### H.B. NO. 20201

1 what is for the best physical, mental, moral, and 2 spiritual well-being of the child whose custody is at 3 issue; 4 (6) Any custody award shall be subject to modification or 5 change whenever the best interests of the child 6 require or justify the modification or change and, 7 wherever practicable, the same person who made the 8 original order shall hear the motion or petition for 9 modification of the prior award; 10 (7)Reasonable visitation rights shall be awarded to 11 parents, grandparents, siblings, and any person 12 interested in the welfare of the child in the 13 discretion of the court, unless it is shown that 14 rights of visitation are detrimental to the best 15 interests of the child; 16 (8) The court may appoint a guardian ad litem to represent 17 the interests of the child and may assess the reasonable fees and expenses of the guardian ad litem 18 19 as costs of the action, payable in whole or in part by 20 either or both parties as the circumstances may 21 justify;



1 (9) In every proceeding where there is at issue a dispute as to the custody of a child, a determination by the 2 3 court that family violence has been committed by a 4 parent raises a rebuttable presumption that it is 5 detrimental to the child and not in the best interest 6 of the child to be placed in sole custody, joint legal 7 custody, or joint physical custody with the 8 perpetrator of family violence. In addition to other 9 factors that a court shall consider in a proceeding in 10 which the custody of a child or visitation by a parent 11 is at issue, and in which the court has made a finding of family violence by a parent: 12 13 The court shall consider as the primary factor (A) 14 the safety and well-being of the child and of the 15 parent who is the victim of family violence; 16 (B) The court shall consider the perpetrator's 17 history of causing physical harm, bodily injury, or assault or causing reasonable fear of physical 18 19 harm, bodily injury, or assault to another 20 person; and 21 (C) If a parent is absent or relocates because of an

act of family violence by the other parent, the

22

HB LRB 10-0658.doc

1			absence or relocation shall not be a factor that
2			weighs against the parent in determining custody
3			or visitation;
4	(10)	A coi	urt may award visitation to a parent who has
5		comm	itted family violence only if the court finds that
6		adequ	uate provision can be made for the physical safety
. <b>7</b>		and j	osychological well-being of the child and for the
8		safe	ty of the parent who is a victim of family
9		viole	ence;
10	(11)	In a	visitation order, a court may:
11		(A)	Order an exchange of a child to occur in a
12			protected setting;
13		(B)	Order visitation supervised by another person or
14			agency;
15		(C)	Order the perpetrator of family violence to
16	~		attend and complete, to the satisfaction of the
17			court, a program of intervention for perpetrators
18			or other designated counseling as a condition of
19			the visitation;
20		(D)	Order the perpetrator of family violence to
21			abstain from possession or consumption of alcohol
22			or controlled substances during the visitation
		-0658.	

HB LRB 10-0658.doc

ß

1			and for twenty-four hours preceding the
2			visitation;
3		(E)	Order the perpetrator of family violence to pay a
4			fee to defray the costs of supervised visitation;
5		(F)	Prohibit overnight visitation;
6		(G)	Require a bond from the perpetrator of family
7			violence for the return and safety of the child.
8			In determining the amount of the bond, the court
9			shall consider the financial circumstances of the
10			perpetrator of family violence;
11		(H)	Impose any other condition that is deemed
· 12			necessary to provide for the safety of the child,
13			the victim of family violence, or other family or
14			household member; and .
15		(I)	Order the address of the child and the victim to
16			be kept confidential;
17	(12)	The	court may refer but shall not order an adult who
18		is a	victim of family violence to attend, either
19		indi	vidually or with the perpetrator of the family
20		viol	ence, counseling relating to the victim's status
21		or b	ehavior as a victim as a condition of receiving
22		cust	ody of a child or as a condition of visitation;



1 (13)If a court allows a family or household member to 2 supervise visitation, the court shall establish 3 conditions to be followed during visitation; and 4 (14)A supervised visitation center shall provide a secure 5 setting and specialized procedures for supervised visitation and the transfer of children for visitation 6 7 and supervision by a person trained in security and 8 the avoidance of family violence. 9 (b) In determining what constitutes the best interest of 10 the child under this section, the court shall consider, but not 11 be limited to, the following: 12 Any history of sexual or physical abuse of a child by (1)13 a parent; 14 Any history of neglect or emotional abuse of a child (2)15 by a parent; 16 (3)The overall quality of the parent-child relationship; 17 (4)The history of caregiving or parenting by each parent prior and subsequent to a marital or other type of 18 19 separation; Each parent's cooperation in developing and 20 (5) 21 implementing a plan to meet the child's ongoing needs, 22 interests, and schedule; provided that this factor



# H.B. NO. 2001

10

1		shall not be considered in any case where the court
2		has determined that family violence has been committed
3		by a parent;
4	(6)	The physical health needs of the child;
5	(7)	The emotional needs of the child;
6	(8)	The safety needs of the child;
7	(9)	The educational needs of the child;
8	(10)	The child's need for relationships with siblings;
9	(11)	Each parent's actions demonstrating that they allow
10		the child to maintain family connections through
11		family events and activities; provided that this
12		factor shall not be considered in any case where the
13		court has determined that family violence has been
14		committed by a parent;
15	(12)	Each parent's actions demonstrating that they separate
16		the child's needs from the parent's needs;
17	(13)	Any évidence of past or current drug or alcohol abuse
18		by a parent;
19	(14)	The mental health of each parent;
20	(15)	The areas and levels of conflict present within the
21		family; and



## H.B. NO. 2001

A parent's prior wilful misuse of the protection from 1 (16)2 abuse process under chapter 586 to gain a tactical 3 advantage in any proceeding involving the custody determination of a minor. [Such] The wilful misuse 4 5 may be considered only if it is established by clear 6 and convincing evidence, and if it is further found by 7 clear and convincing evidence that in the particular 8 family circumstance the wilful misuse tends to show 9 that, in the future, the parent who engaged in the 10 wilful misuse will not be able to cooperate successfully with the other parent in their shared 11 12 responsibilities for the child. The court shall 13 articulate findings of fact whenever relying upon this factor as part of its determination of the best 14 15 interests of the child. For the purposes of this section, when taken alone, the voluntary dismissal of 16 17 a petition for protection from abuse shall not be 18 treated as prima facie evidence that a wilful misuse 19 of the protection from abuse process has occurred. 20 (c) In awarding custody or visitation pursuant to this 21 section, the court shall not consider absences due to a parent's 22 service in the United States armed forces or duties as a public HB LRB 10-0658.doc 11



1	official that require the parent to be a substantial distance
2	away from the child for an extended period of time.
3	(d) Where at least one parent is a member of the United
4	States armed forces or is a public official, and the parent's
5	service or duties requires the parent to be a substantial
6	distance away from the court at the time of a scheduled hearing
7	or other proceeding brought under this section, the court shall
8	make a reasonable effort to expedite the hearing or other
9	proceeding or to use an available electronic communication
10	system to facilitate the parent's participation in the hearing
11	or proceeding."
12	SECTION 3. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
13	and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
14	SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.
15	015

INTRODUCED BY:

JAN 1 9 2010

12



Page 12

## H.B. NO. rae

Report Title: Child Custody

#### Description:

Provides that in child custody proceedings, courts shall not give undue weight to a parent's absence away from the child, when such absence is due to service in the military or duties as a public official. Requires courts to make a reasonable effort to expedite proceedings or use electronic communication systems to facilitate a parent's participation in a proceeding when the parent is a substantial distance away from the court.

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

