

LIQUOR COMMISSION

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

711 KAPIOLANI BOULEVARD, SUITE 600 ● HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-5249 PHONE: (808) 768-7300 or (808) 768-7355 ● FAX: (808) 591-2700 E-mail address: liquor@honolulu.gov ● INTERNET: www.honolulu.gov/liq

MUFI HANNEMANN MAYOR



March 16, 2009

DENNIS ENOMOTO

IRIS R. OKAWA

GREGG HAMMER

JON F. YAMAGUCHI COMMISSIONER

DEWEY H. KIM, JR.

Representative Angus L.K. McKelvey, Chair Representative Isaac W. Choy, Vice Chair Committee on Economic Revitalization, Business & Military Affairs

Hearing:

Tuesday, March 17, 2009

7:30 am; Room 312

Position:

Oppose SB 300 SD2 Relating to Intoxicating Liquor as Currently Written

The Departments of Liquor Control of the Counties of Hawaii, Kauai, and Maui and the City and County of Honolulu Liquor Commission oppose SB 300 SD2 as currently written, and respectfully request that appropriate amendments be made, or in the alternative, that the bill be held in committee.

We note that although the SD2 was intended to extend the requirements of the proposed bill to all licensees who serve liquor for consumption on the premises, the SD2 language included off-premises consumption licensees (Class 4 Retail Dealers) but failed to include the Class 16 Winery license, an on-premises consumption license. To more equitably distribute the compliance requirement among the different types of licensees, we would suggest that the requirement be imposed on **all** license classes **except** manufacturers (Class 1) and wholesale dealers (Class 3).

Further, we contend that requiring county liquor control departments to set liability insurance minimum limits by rule on a bi-annual basis is burdensome and forces the departments to evaluate a substantive business requirement that is well outside the regulatory focus of Chapter 281, Hawaii Revised Statutes. The Departments are accustomed to enforcing State legal requirements imposed on licensees (e.g., tax clearances), and it is our position that liability insurance minimum limits can be implemented and enforced the same way - by the appropriate State regulatory authority. As we have anecdotal information that many licensees may not be able to obtain or afford liability insurance in any amount, we hope the economic impact represented by the minimum limit will be minimized.

Finally, we note that any suspension or termination of a liquor license for failure to comply with this bill's requirements must be done in accordance with the procedural requirements

Committee on EBM Hearing: March 17, 2009 Page 2

imposed by HRS Section 281-91.

We oppose SB 300 SD2 in its current form and respectfully request your action on this matter.

Janice Pakele, Director County of Hawaii

Eric Honma, Director County of Kauai

Franklyn Silva, Director County of Maui

Dewey H/Kim, Jr., Administrator

City and County of Honolulu Liquor Commission