

GOV. MSG. NO. 794

EXECUTIVE CHAMBERS

HONOLULU

LINDA LINGLE GOVERNOR

July 6, 2009

The Honorable Colleen Hanabusa, President and Members of the Senate Twenty-Fifth State Legislature State Capitol, Room 409 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Madam President and Members of the Senate:

I am transmitting herewith SB912 SD2 HD2 CD1, without my approval, and with the statement of objections relating to the measure.

SB912 SD2 HD2 CD1

A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO PERMANENCY HEARINGS.

Sincerely,

LINDA LINGLÉ

EXECUTIVE CHAMBERS HONOLULU July 15, 2009

STATEMENT OF OBJECTIONS TO SENATE BILL NO. 912

Honorable Members Twenty-Fifth Legislature State of Hawaii

Pursuant to Section 16 of Article III of the Constitution of the State of Hawaii, I am returning herewith, without my approval, Senate Bill No. 912, entitled "A Bill for an Act Relating to Permanency Hearings."

The purpose of this bill is to ensure compliance with federal Title IV-E hearing requirements to be consistent with the federal case review system in section 475(5) of the Social Security Act.

This bill is objectionable because it does not ensure compliance with the federal Title IV-E hearing requirements and it is not consistent with the federal case review system in section 475(5) of the Social Security Act.

The federal Department of Health and Human Services, recognizing this bill is defective, has granted the State an extension of time to draft new legislation that complies with federal requirements which will be introduced in the 2010 legislative session.

For the foregoing reasons, I am returning Senate Bill No. 912 without my approval.

Respectfully

LINDA LINGLE

Governor of Hawaii

THE SENATE TWENTY-FIFTH LEGISLATURE, 2009 STATE OF HAWAII **VETO**S.B. NO. 912
S.D. 2
H.D. 2
C.D. 1

A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PERMANENCY HEARINGS.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1	SECT	ION 1. Section 587-2, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is			
2	amended by adding a new definition to be appropriately inserted				
3	and to rea	ad as follows:			
4	" "En	try into foster care date" means:			
5	<u>(1)</u>	The first date on which the court has ordered foster			
6		custody or temporary foster custody; or			
7	(2)	The date protective custody was assumed by the police			
8		pursuant to section 587-22; or			
9	<u>(3)</u>	The date the child was removed from the family home			
10		pursuant to a signed voluntary foster custody			
11		agreement with the department,			
12	whichever	date is earlier."			
13	SECT	ION 2. Section 587-27, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is			
14	amended by	y amending subsection (a) to read as follows:			
15	" (a)	[Permanent] A permanent plan is a specific written			
16	plan, pre	pared by an appropriate authorized agency, which, after			

1	considering both in-state and out-of-state placement options,					
2	should set forth:					
3	(1) A position as to whether the court should order an					
4	adoption, guardianship, or permanent custody of the					
5	child and specify:					
6		(A)	A reasonable period of time during which the			
7			adoption or guardianship may be finalized;			
8			provided that the identity of the proposed			
9			adoptive parent or parents shall be provided to			
10			the court in a separate report which shall be			
11		•	sealed and shall not be released to the parties			
12			unless the court deems such release to be in the			
13			best interests of the child;			
14		(B)	If adoption is not the plan, a clear and			
15			convincing explanation why guardianship is			
16			preferable to adoption; or			
17		(C)	If adoption or guardianship is not the plan, a			
18			clear and convincing explanation why permanent			
19			custody is preferable to guardianship;			
20	(2)	A sp	ecific written plan including:			
21		(A)	The goal, as being: adoption, guardianship, or			
22			permanent custody;			

Ţ	(B) The objectives concerning the child, including,
2	but not limited to, stable placement, education,
3	health, therapy, counseling, birth family
4	(including visitation, if any), culture, and
5	adoption, guardianship, or preparation for
6	independent living; [and]
7.	(C) If the child has reached the age of sixteen, the
8	services needed to assist the child to make the
9	transition from foster care to independent
10	living; and
11	$[\frac{(C)}{(C)}]$ The method or methods for achieving the goal
12	and objectives set forth in subparagraphs (A) and
13	(B);
14	(3) All supporting exhibits and written consents or an
15	explanation as to why the exhibits or consents are not
16	available[. Upon], and upon good cause shown, the
17	court may waive submission of any supporting exhibit
18	or written consent; and
19	(4) Any other information or materials which are necessary
20	to the expeditious facilitation of the permanent
21	plan."

- 1 SECTION 3. Section 587-71, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 2 amended as follows:
- 3 1. By amending subsections (d) and (e) to read:
- 4 "(d) If the court determines that the child's family home
- 5 is not a safe family home, even with the assistance of a service
- 6 plan, the court shall vest foster custody of the child in an
- 7 authorized agency and enter [such] further orders as the court
- 8 deems to be in the best interests of the child.
- 9 Further, the court shall make a finding establishing the
- 10 entry into foster care date, and enter it into the written order
- 11 resulting from the hearing.
- (e) If the child's family home is determined not to be
- 13 safe, even with the assistance of a service plan pursuant to
- 14 subsection (d), the court may [, and if the child has been
- 15 residing without the family home for a period of twelve
- 16 consecutive-months shall, set the case for a show cause hearing
- 17 as deemed appropriate by the court at which the child's family
- 18 shall have the burden of presenting evidence to the court
- 19 regarding such reasons and considerations as the family has to
- 20 offer as to why the case should not be set for a permanent plan
- 21 hearing. Upon such show cause hearing as the court deems to be

- 1 appropriate, the court shall consider the criteria set forth in
- 2 section 587-73(a)(1), (2), and (4), and:
- 3 (1) Set the case for a permanent plan hearing and order
- 4 that the authorized agency submit a report pursuant to
- 5 section 587-40; or
- 6 (2) Proceed pursuant to this section."
- 7 2. By amending subsection (j) to read:
- 8 "(j) If the court makes a determination that aggravated
- 9 circumstances are present under this section, the court shall
- 10 [set the case for a show-cause hearing as deemed appropriate by
- 11 the court within thirty days. At the show cause hearing, the
- 12 child's family shall have the burden of presenting evidence to
- 13 the court regarding the reasons and considerations as to why the
- 14 case should not be set for a permanent plan hearing.] conduct a
- 15 review hearing within thirty days."
- 16 SECTION 4. Section 587-72, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 17 amended to read as follows:
- 18 "§587-72 Review hearings. (a) [Except for good cause
- 19 shown, the] The court shall [set each case for] conduct a review
- 20 hearing not later than six months after the date that a service
- 21 plan is ordered by the court and $[\tau]$ no later than thirty days
- 22 after any finding of aggravated circumstances; thereafter, the

- 1 court shall [set subsequent] conduct review hearings at
- 2 intervals of no longer than six months until the court's
- 3 jurisdiction has been terminated or the court has ordered a
- 4 permanent plan and has set the case for a permanent plan review
- 5 hearing. The court may set a case for a review hearing upon the
- 6 motion of a party at any time if the hearing is deemed by the
- 7 court to be in the best interests of the child.
- 8 (b) [Upon] At each review hearing, the court shall
- 9 consider [fully] all relevant prior and current information
- 10 pertaining to the safe family home guidelines, as set forth in
- 11 section 587-25, including but not limited to the report
- 12 submitted pursuant to section 587-40, and age appropriate
- 13 consultations with the child, and:
- 14 (1) Determine whether the child's family is presently
- willing and able to provide the child with a safe
- family home without the assistance of a service plan
- and, if so, the court shall terminate jurisdiction;
- 18 (2) Determine whether the child's family is presently
- willing and able to provide the child with a safe
- family home with the assistance of a service plan and,
- if so, the court shall return the child or continue
- the placement of the child in the child's family home

S.B. NO. 912 S.D. 2 H.D. 2

1	under	the	family	supervision	of the	appropriate
2	author	cize	d agency	7 ;		

- (3) If the child's family home is determined, pursuant to paragraph (2) not to be safe, even with the assistance of a service plan, order that the child remain or be placed under the foster custody of the appropriate authorized agency; if the child was not previously in foster custody, the court shall make a finding establishing the entry into foster care date and include the date in the written order for the hearing; and if the child is in out-of-state placement, the court shall determine whether out-of-state placement continues to be appropriate and in the best interests of the child;
- (4) Determine whether the parties have complied with, performed, and completed every term and condition of the service plan that was previously court ordered[+], and determine if the most appropriate plan continues to be reunification of the child in a family home and the projected date for that to occur;

1	(3)	IT IT IS asserted by a party of guardian ad litem that				
2		reunification is not the most appropriate plan, the				
3		court shall set:				
4		(A) A permanent plan hearing; or				
5		(B) The date by which the department must file a				
6		motion to set the matter for a permanent plan				
7		hearing, or				
8		(C) The date by which the department must file a				
9		petition for guardianship,				
0	whic	hever is most appropriate;				
1	(6)	If reunification is determined not to be the most				
2		appropriate plan, determine whether adoption,				
13		guardianship, or other permanent placement is the most				
4		appropriate plan for the child, and the projected date				
15		for that to occur after consideration of both in-state				
16	and out-of-state placement options. If the most					
7		appropriate option is not adoption or guardianship,				
8		the court shall document the compelling reasons why				
9		adoption or guardianship is not in the child's best				
20		<pre>interests;</pre>				
21	[(5)]	(7) [Order revisions to the existing service plan,				
22		after] After satisfying the provisions of section 587-				

1	. •	/1(n), [as the court, upon a hearing] order revisions
2		to the existing service plan that the court deems [to
3		be appropriate, determines] to be in the best
4		interests of the child[+], including the projected
5		date for reunification, if that is determined to be
6		the most appropriate plan or, for a child who has
7		reached the age of sixteen and for whom reunification
8		is not planned, the services needed to help that child
9		transition from foster care to independent living;
10		provided that a copy of the revised service plan shall
11		be incorporated as part of the order;
12	[-(6) -	Enter further orders as the court deems to be in the
13		best interests of the child;
14	(7)]	(8) Determine whether aggravated circumstances are
15		present [and, if so, the court shall set the case for
16		a show-cause hearing as the court deems appropriate
17		within thirty days. At the show cause hearing, the
18		child's family shall have the burden of presenting
19		evidence to the court regarding the reasons and
20		considerations as to why the case should not be set
21		for a permanent plan hearing; and]; provided that if a
22		court determination of aggravated circumstances is

1.		made, the department shall file a petition for
2		permanent custody of the child and the court shall
3		conduct a permanent plan hearing within sixty days of
4		the initial determination of aggravated circumstances;
5	[-(8) -]	(9) If the child has been residing outside the family
6		home [for twelve consecutive months from the initial
7		date of entry into out of home care, the court may
8		set the case for a show cause hearing as deemed
9		appropriate by the court[. At], and at the show cause
10		hearing, the child's family shall have the burden of
11		presenting evidence to the court regarding the reasons
12		and considerations as to why the case should not be
13		set for a permanent plan hearing [-]; and
14	(10)	Enter further orders as the court deems to be in the
15		best interests of the child.
16	(c)	In any case [that a permanent plan hearing is not
17	deemed-to	be appropriate, where reunification is determined to
18	be the ap	propriate goal, the court shall:
19	(1)	Make a finding that the parties understand that unless
20		the family is willing and able to provide the child
21		with a safe family home, even with the assistance of a

service plan, within the reasonable period of time

22

S.B. NO. 912 S.D. 2 H.D. 2 C.D. 1

1	specified in the service plan, their respective
2	parental and custodial duties and rights shall be
3	subject to termination; and
4	(2) Set the case for a review hearing within six months.
5	(d) If the child has been [residing outside of the family
6	home] in foster care for an aggregate of fifteen out of the most
7	recent twenty-two months from the [initial date of] entry into
8	[out of home care,] foster care date, the department shall file
9	a motion to set the matter for a permanent plan hearing, or the
10	court shall set a permanent plan hearing, unless:
11	(1) The department has documented in the safe family home
12	guidelines prepared pursuant to section 587-25(a), a
13	compelling reason why it would not be in the best
14	interests of the child to file a motion; or
15	(2) The State has not provided to the family of the child,
16	consistent with the time period in the service plan,
17	such services as the department deems necessary for
18	the safe return of the child to the family home;
19	provided that nothing in this section shall prevent the
20	department from filing such a motion to set a permanent plan
21	hearing if the department has determined that the criteria in
22	section 587-73(a) are present."

2009-2395 SB912 CD1 SMA-1.doc

- 1 SECTION 5. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
- 2 and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
- 3 SECTION 6. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2010.