	·	

LINDA LINGLE

JAMES R. AIONA, JR. LT. GOVERNOR



KURT KAWAFUCHI DIRECTOR OF TAXATION

SANDRA L. YAHIRO DEPUTY DIRECTOR

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION P.O. BOX 259 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

PHONE NO: (808) 587-1510 FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT

TESTIMONY REGARDING THE JANUARY 31, 2008 AGENDA

TESTIFIER: KURT KAWAFUCHI, DIRECTOR OF TAXATION (OR DESIGNEE)

DATE:

JANUARY 31, 2008

TIME:

2:15PM

ROOM:

414

Contained in this testimony are the Department of Taxation (Department) comments on the Senate Committee on Energy & Environment's agenda for January 31, 2008. Because each measure relates to taxation, the Department's comments are in summary fashion for your convenience—

This legislation provides various tax incentives aimed at renewable energy and other alternative fuel related legislation.

I. THE DEPARTMENT SUPPORTS ENERGY REFORM POLICY.

The Department recognizes the importance of this legislation because these bills serve as another step in the right direction for minimizing Hawaii's dependence on fossil fuels. The Department and the administration both recognize the importance of Hawaii's energy independence and are in strong support of policies to that effect. The administration is committed to energy conservation and promoting alternative energy production, including reducing Hawaii's fuel dependency.

This legislation also compliments current federal incentives on the same subject matter.

II. DEFERRAL TO DBEDT ON THE MERITS.

The Department also defers to the Department of Business, Economic Development, & Tourism on the merits of this legislation. Though the Department is highly involved in the administration of these tax measures, the Department is not the subject matter expert on the viability of these policies and incentives.

III. SB 3215, RELATING TO BIODIESEL

Department of Taxation Testimony Senate Committee on Energy & Environment January 31, 2008 Page 2 of 6

This legislation, among other things, provides a real property exemption and an income tax exemption for biodiesel feedstock crop facilities.

Real Property Exemption

The Department has <u>no additional comments</u> on the real property exemption provided in this legislation, other than it will impact the county revenues.

Income Tax Exemption

The Department has <u>no additional comments</u> on this component.

Revenue Impact

This bill will result in an indeterminate revenue loss.

IV. SB 2766, RELATING TO ETHANOL

This legislation provides an income tax credit for installation of E-85 fueling facilities.

The Department <u>opposes</u> this legislation because it is underdeveloped and requires additional common requirements associated with other tax credits. Examples of this language can be provided upon request. Other similar bills in this agenda include the necessary language.

This legislation will result in the following revenue loss:

FY2010 (loss): \$198,000 FY2011 (loss): \$204,000

V. SB 2764, RELATING TO ETHANOL FACILITY TAX CREDIT

The Department has <u>no additional comments</u> on this legislation. However, the Department requests that the Committee be cognizant of its revenue impact because the 40 million gallon cap is eliminated.

This legislation will currently result in an indeterminate revenue estimate because the credit caps are blank.

VI. SB 2468, RELATING TO ETHANOL FACILITY TAX CREDIT

The Department has no additional comments on this legislation.

This legislation will result in a \$4 million gain.

Department of Taxation Testimony Senate Committee on Energy & Environment January 31, 2008 Page 3 of 6

VII. SB 2632 RELATING TO RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES

This legislation amends the current Renewable Energy Technologies Income Tax Credit, by adding a new definition for "concentrating solar power energy systems." The Department <u>does not like this additional definition</u> and prefers that a definition in this credit focus on what is put into a machine rather than an approach based upon what the machine creates. In short, the Department prefers defining the technology based upon inputs; not outputs.

Based upon the Department's estimates, this legislation will not have an impact on the general fund.

VIII. SB 2623, RELATING TO RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES

This legislation amends the current Renewable Energy Technologies Income Tax Credit, by adding a new definition for "solar electric energy systems." The Department <u>does not like this additional definition</u> and prefers that a definition in this credit focus on what is put into a machine rather than an approach based upon what the machine creates. In short, the Department prefers defining the technology based upon inputs; not outputs.

Based upon the Department's estimates, this legislation will not have an impact on the general fund.

IX. SB2744, RELATING TO HYDROGEN FUEL

The Department opposes this bill because of the numerous technical flaws outlined below.

Income Tax Credit

COMPLIANCE WITH RULES & STATUTES—The Department objects to this provision. The Department does not have the expertise or resources to ensure that any taxpayer claiming the credit is in compliance with all rules and regulations of whatever sort. For example, if a taxpayer obtains a speeding ticket or other citation, the taxpayer would be precluded from obtaining the credit as the bill is written. This section should be removed.

AMBIGUOUS CREDIT ACTIVITY—Currently the credit applies to "capital, operation, maintenance, or leasing costs related to the investments in hydrogen-powered vehicles and hydrogen fueling stations." The Department points out that it would be better to allow a credit for "costs" generally. Also, the Department suggests that the credit be narrowed to apply only to investments in the "development" of hydrogen fuel vehicles or fueling stations. This bill presupposes that such vehicles and stations exist, which they do not. The Department's comments should be taken into account to spur the activity that will result in the foregoing products.

ELIMINATE CAPS—This credit has caps in the aggregate. The Department strongly opposes caps because they are difficult to administer. There is no guidance. Should the caps be on a first-come-first-served basis? The caps should be eliminated in favor of a cap per taxpayer, which is

Department of Taxation Testimony Senate Committee on Energy & Environment January 31, 2008 Page 4 of 6

administrable.

DEFINITION OF "CORPORATION"—It would be unwise to define a corporation to include what are clearly partnership or pass through entities. Under well settled tax principles, a corporation is not a partnership and a partnership is not a corporation. However, a taxpayer may ELECT under current law to be taxed as a corporation. Better policy would be to defer to taxpayer desires and allow the taxpayer to control its own taxing status, rather than mandate it by statute.

TRANSFER OF CREDIT—The Department strongly opposes transferring any state tax credit. Transferring of credits turns otherwise good tax policy into tax shelters subject to abuse and fraud. Moreover, transferring credits makes administration difficult when it comes time to audit. One taxpayer claims the credit, when all of the facts relate to an unrelated taxpayer. The Department will be required to chase two different entities—one with the facts, the other with the money. The transfer of credits should be eliminated.

RECAPTURE—This credit lacks recapture provisions. The Committee should consider adding recapture provisions in order to ensure that if property is sold or disposed of the state is made whole by including in income the previously taken credit.

General Excise Tax Exemption

ELIMINATE CAPS—The Department does not support caps on credits or exemptions throughout the tax code. Caps on exemptions specifically are the most difficult to administer because there is no guidance provided in the statute for how to administer them. For example, is the exemption to be claimed on a first-come-first-served basis? Also, tax returns are filed periodically, which could likely result in going over the cap during a given period.

Revenue Impact

This bill will result in an indeterminate revenue loss.

X. SB 2455, RELATING TO RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES

This legislation extends the current Renewable Energy Technologies Income Tax Credit to include hydrogen energy systems. There is no definition of the term "hydrogen energy system." The <u>Department requests that a definition be added</u> so that the Department can effectively administer this credit's extension.

This bill's revenue estimate is estimated to be minimal.

XI. SB 2932, RELATING TO ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE TAX

This bill increases the State Environmental Response Tax to \$0.25 per barrel of petroleum product. The bill also provides that an unspecified amount be used for concerns relating to drinking

Department of Taxation Testimony Senate Committee on Energy & Environment January 31, 2008 Page 5 of 6

water. The Department has no comments on this legislation.

The increased environmental response tax will increase the annual revenue of the Environmental Response Revolving Fund by approximately \$7.0 million dollars.

XII. SB 2032, RELATING TO INCOME TAX

This legislation increases the wind-powered Renewable Energy Technologies Income Tax Credit by various amounts. The Department has <u>no comments</u> on this legislation.

This legislation would resulting a revenue loss of \$10,600 annually.

XIII. SB 2986, RELATING TO REFUNDABLE RENEWABLE ENERGY TAX CREDIT

This <u>Lingle-Aiona Administration measure</u> amends the Renewable Energy Technologies Income Tax Credit by allowing the credit to be refundable for those that have little Hawaii taxable income. The Department <u>strongly supports</u> this measure as a policy to encourage additional investment in renewable energy technologies.

Under current Hawaii law, pension income, including social security is not taxable. This population includes retirees that may have little Hawaii taxable income (investment income) due to the exclusion, but would otherwise have the resources to invest in these technologies. This legislation will allow those with the resources to obtain a refundable incentive for installations of renewable energy technologies. This legislation also extends to any taxpayer with less than \$20,000 of adjusted gross income. This would provide incentives for the lower- and middle-class to invest in these technologies.

Annual revenue loss is estimated to be \$41,000, starting in fiscal year 2009.

XIV. SB 3230, RELATING TO ENERGY

This legislation creates a Energy Security Tax assessed on a per-barrel of petroleum product basis, as well as a special fund to administer the revenue.

The Department of Taxation has <u>no additional comments</u> on this legislation other than it is a tax increase that will eventually impact the gasoline prices all Hawaii drivers pay and creates an unnecessary special fund.

XV. SB 2943, RELATING TO ENERGY

This legislation increases the Renewable Energy Technology Income Tax Credit amounts to various amounts. This legislation also includes wave energy as a qualifying energy technology. The Department has <u>no additional comments</u> on this legislation.

Department of Taxation Testimony Senate Committee on Energy & Environment January 31, 2008 Page 6 of 6

This legislation will result in a revenue loss of approximately \$400,000 for FY 2009 and \$1.2 million for FY 2010.

XVI. SB 2946, RELATING TO RENEWABLE ENERGY OPPORTUNITY ZONES

This legislation creates Renewable Energy Opportunity Zones that, among other things, provide taxpayers within the zones with tax incentives similar to that of current Enterprise Zones.

The Department of Taxation <u>supports the intent</u> of this measure because it is an intuitive, logical, and bold step in the right direction for supporting Hawaii energy independence. The Department, as a co-participant of the Enterprise Zone system, agrees that these systems have worked to attract businesses to high-risk areas that need economic stimulus. This legislation will provide businesses with the opportunity to join other similar businesses geographically in order to consolidate the talent and resources of alternative energy research and development into one opportunity zone. The Department also points out that similar "opportunity zone" legislation has been very successful on the federal level with the Liberty Zone in New York and the Gulf Opportunity Zones in the south.

This legislation will result in a revenue loss of approximately \$1 million per year.



DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & TOURISM

LINDA LINGLE
GOVERNOR
THEODORE E. LIU
DIRECTOR
MARK K. ANDERSON
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

No. 1 Capitol District Building, 250 South Hotel Street, 5th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2359, Honolulu, Hawaii 96804 Web site: www.hawaii.gov/dbedt

Telephone:

(808) 586-2355 (808) 586-2377

Statement of

THEODORE E. LIU

Director

Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism before the

SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Thursday, January 31, 2008
2:15 p.m.
State Capitol, Conference Room 414
in consideration of
SB 2943
RELATING TO ENERGY

Chair Menor, Vice Chair Hooser, and Members of the Committee.

The Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism (DBEDT) supports the intent of SB2943, which increases tax incentives for renewable energy. Tax credits for solar thermal systems and photovoltaic systems are increased from 35 percent to 40 percent, with higher ceilings; tax credits for wind powered energy systems are increased from 20 to 25 percent, with some higher ceilings, and a new credit for commercial ocean wave energy systems is added at 40 percent of the actual cost or \$750,000, whichever is less. The term "wave energy system" is also defined appropriately.

The overall concept of this bill is supportive of our goals and objectives to increase the use of sustainable energy resources; tax credits have been instrumental to the widespread use of solar technologies in Hawaii. We are, however, concerned about the cost implications generated by this proposal. We defer to the Department of Taxation's assessment of the financial impact of this bill.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this testimony.

LEGISLATIVE

TAXBILLSERVICE

126 Queen Street, Suite 304

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

Honolulu, Hawali 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT:

INCOME, Energy conservation tax credits

BILL NUMBER:

SB 2943; HB 2633 (Identical); SB 2632; HB 2322 (Identical); SB 2032; HB 2092;

HB 2300 (Similar)

INTRODUCED BY:

SB 2943 by Hemmings, Slom, Trimble, Whalen; HB 2633 by Finnegan, Ching, Marumoto, Meyer, Pine, Thielen, Ward; SB 2632 by Menor, Hooser, 6 Democrats and 1 Republican; HB 2322 by Karamatsu, Brower, Caldwell, Carroll, Hanohano, Har, Ito, Mizuno, Morita, Yamane, 4 Democrats; SB 2032 by Inouye and 8

Democrats; HB 2092 by Green and Mizuno; HB 2300 by Caldwell

BRIEF SUMMARY: SB 2943/HB 2633 amends HRS section 235-12.5 to increase the income tax credit for single-family residential solar thermal energy systems from 35% to 40% and the dollar amount from \$2,250 to \$3,000; multi-family residential solar thermal energy systems from 35% to 40% and the dollar amount from \$350 to \$500; commercial solar thermal energy systems from 35% to 40% and the dollar amount from \$250,000 to \$300,000; single-family residential wind-powered energy systems from 20% to 25% and the dollar amount from \$1,500 to \$2,000; multi-family residential wind-powered energy systems from 20% to 25%; single-family residential photovoltaic energy systems from 35% to 40% and the dollar amount from \$5,000 to \$7,500; multi-family residential photovoltaic energy systems from 35% to 40% and the dollar amount from \$350 to \$400; commercial photovoltaic energy systems from 35% to 40% and the dollar amount from \$500,000 to \$750,000; and adds wave energy systems for commercial properties at 40% of the actual cost or \$750,000, which ever is less. This measure takes effect on July 1, 2008

SB 2632/HB 2322 amends HRS section 235-12.5 to add concentrating solar power energy systems for: single-family residential systems, 35% or \$5,000, whichever is less; multi-family systems, 35% or \$350 per unit, whichever is less; and commercial systems, 35% or \$500,000, whichever is less. Defines "concentrating solar power energy system" as a renewable technology this is capable of providing solar air conditioning, agricultural drying or desalinization. This measure is applicable to tax years beginning after December 31, 2007.

SB 2032 amends HRS section 235-12.5 to increase the income tax credit for single-family residential wind-powered energy systems from 20% to 35%; multi-family residential wind-powered energy systems from 20% to 35%; commercial wind-powered energy systems from 20% to 35%. This measure is applicable to tax years beginning after December 31, 2007.

HB 2092 amends HRS section 235-12.5 to increase the income tax credit for commercial wind-powered energy systems from 20% to 35% effective July 1, 2008.

HB 2300 amends HRS section 235-12.5 to increase the income tax credit for single-family residential photovoltaic energy systems from 30% to 50% and the dollar amount from \$5,000 to \$20,500; multi-

TFH

SB 2943; HB 2633; SB 2632; HB 2322; SB 2032; HB 2092; HB 2300 - Continued

family residential photovoltaic energy systems from 35% to 50% and the dollar amount from \$350 to \$1,400. This measure is applicable to tax years beginning after December 31, 2007.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon approval as noted in each measure

STAFF COMMENTS: Hawaii's income tax credit for alternate energy devices was established by the 1976 legislature originally for solar energy systems and was later expanded to include wind energy devices, heat pumps, ice storage systems, and photovoltaic systems. These measures propose to increase or expand the state energy tax credits to include solar air conditioning, solar drying, desalination and wave technology.

While some may consider an incentive necessary to encourage the use of energy conservation devices, it should be noted that the high cost of these energy systems limits the benefit to those who have the initial capital to make the purchase. If the combined incentives of federal and state income tax credits during the early 1980's equal to 50% were not able to encourage more than those who did install alternate energy devices during the period when the federal credits were in effect, it is questionable whether the state tax credits along with the federal energy tax credits (30%) will encourage many more taxpayers to install such devices. The combined total credit of 65% together with rising electric bills will spur those who are on the edge of being able to afford the installation of these devices to acquire them. Those who do not have the means need other forms of assistance including low-interest/no interest loans or a pay as you save plan that will pay for the devices with the amount of the avoided cost.

If it is the intent of the legislature to encourage a greater use of renewable energy systems by increasing and expanding the existing system of energy tax credits, as an alternative, consideration should be given to a program of low-interest loans available to all income levels as is being proposed in HB 2101.

However, if the taxpayer avails himself of the loan program the renewable energy credit should not be a simple control of the loan program.