

LINDA LINGLE GOVERNOR

JAMES R. AIONA, JR. LT. GOVERNOR



KURT KAWAFUCHI DIRECTOR OF TAXATION

SANDRA L. YAHIRO DEPUTY DIRECTOR

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION P.O. BOX 259 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

PHONE NO: (808) 587-1510 FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT

TESTIMONY REGARDING THE JANUARY 31, 2008 AGENDA

TESTIFIER: KURT KAWAFUCHI, DIRECTOR OF TAXATION (OR DESIGNEE)

DATE:

JANUARY 31, 2008

TIME:

2:15PM

ROOM:

414

Contained in this testimony are the Department of Taxation (Department) comments on the Senate Committee on Energy & Environment's agenda for January 31, 2008. Because each measure relates to taxation, the Department's comments are in summary fashion for your convenience—

This legislation provides various tax incentives aimed at renewable energy and other alternative fuel related legislation.

I. THE DEPARTMENT SUPPORTS ENERGY REFORM POLICY.

The Department recognizes the importance of this legislation because these bills serve as another step in the right direction for minimizing Hawaii's dependence on fossil fuels. The Department and the administration both recognize the importance of Hawaii's energy independence and are in strong support of policies to that effect. The administration is committed to energy conservation and promoting alternative energy production, including reducing Hawaii's fuel dependency.

This legislation also compliments current federal incentives on the same subject matter.

II. DEFERRAL TO DBEDT ON THE MERITS.

The Department also defers to the Department of Business, Economic Development, & Tourism on the merits of this legislation. Though the Department is highly involved in the administration of these tax measures, the Department is not the subject matter expert on the viability of these policies and incentives.

III. SB 3215, RELATING TO BIODIESEL

Department of Taxation Testimony Senate Committee on Energy & Environment January 31, 2008 Page 2 of 6

This legislation, among other things, provides a real property exemption and an income tax exemption for biodiesel feedstock crop facilities.

Real Property Exemption

The Department has <u>no additional comments</u> on the real property exemption provided in this legislation, other than it will impact the county revenues.

Income Tax Exemption

The Department has no additional comments on this component.

Revenue Impact

This bill will result in an indeterminate revenue loss.

IV. SB 2766, RELATING TO ETHANOL

This legislation provides an income tax credit for installation of E-85 fueling facilities.

The Department <u>opposes</u> this legislation because it is underdeveloped and requires additional common requirements associated with other tax credits. Examples of this language can be provided upon request. Other similar bills in this agenda include the necessary language.

This legislation will result in the following revenue loss:

FY2010 (loss): \$198,000 FY2011 (loss): \$204,000

V. SB 2764, RELATING TO ETHANOL FACILITY TAX CREDIT

The Department has <u>no additional comments</u> on this legislation. However, the Department requests that the Committee be cognizant of its revenue impact because the 40 million gallon cap is eliminated.

This legislation will currently result in an indeterminate revenue estimate because the credit caps are blank.

VI. SB 2468, RELATING TO ETHANOL FACILITY TAX CREDIT

The Department has <u>no additional comments</u> on this legislation.

This legislation will result in a \$4 million gain.

Department of Taxation Testimony Senate Committee on Energy & Environment January 31, 2008 Page 3 of 6

VII. SB 2632 RELATING TO RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES

This legislation amends the current Renewable Energy Technologies Income Tax Credit, by adding a new definition for "concentrating solar power energy systems." The Department <u>does not like this additional definition</u> and prefers that a definition in this credit focus on what is put into a machine rather than an approach based upon what the machine creates. In short, the Department prefers defining the technology based upon inputs; not outputs.

Based upon the Department's estimates, this legislation will not have an impact on the general fund.

VIII. SB 2623, RELATING TO RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES

This legislation amends the current Renewable Energy Technologies Income Tax Credit, by adding a new definition for "solar electric energy systems." The Department <u>does not like this additional definition</u> and prefers that a definition in this credit focus on what is put into a machine rather than an approach based upon what the machine creates. In short, the Department prefers defining the technology based upon inputs; not outputs.

Based upon the Department's estimates, this legislation will not have an impact on the general fund.

IX. SB2744, RELATING TO HYDROGEN FUEL

The Department opposes this bill because of the numerous technical flaws outlined below.

Income Tax Credit

COMPLIANCE WITH RULES & STATUTES—The Department objects to this provision. The Department does not have the expertise or resources to ensure that any taxpayer claiming the credit is in compliance with all rules and regulations of whatever sort. For example, if a taxpayer obtains a speeding ticket or other citation, the taxpayer would be precluded from obtaining the credit as the bill is written. This section should be removed.

AMBIGUOUS CREDIT ACTIVITY—Currently the credit applies to "capital, operation, maintenance, or leasing costs related to the investments in hydrogen-powered vehicles and hydrogen fueling stations." The Department points out that it would be better to allow a credit for "costs" generally. Also, the Department suggests that the credit be narrowed to apply only to investments in the "development" of hydrogen fuel vehicles or fueling stations. This bill presupposes that such vehicles and stations exist, which they do not. The Department's comments should be taken into account to spur the activity that will result in the foregoing products.

ELIMINATE CAPS—This credit has caps in the aggregate. The Department strongly opposes caps because they are difficult to administer. There is no guidance. Should the caps be on a first-come-first-served basis? The caps should be eliminated in favor of a cap per taxpayer, which is

Department of Taxation Testimony Senate Committee on Energy & Environment January 31, 2008 Page 4 of 6

administrable.

DEFINITION OF "CORPORATION"—It would be unwise to define a corporation to include what are clearly partnership or pass through entities. Under well settled tax principles, a corporation is not a partnership and a partnership is not a corporation. However, a taxpayer may ELECT under current law to be taxed as a corporation. Better policy would be to defer to taxpayer desires and allow the taxpayer to control its own taxing status, rather than mandate it by statute.

TRANSFER OF CREDIT—The Department strongly opposes transferring any state tax credit. Transferring of credits turns otherwise good tax policy into tax shelters subject to abuse and fraud. Moreover, transferring credits makes administration difficult when it comes time to audit. One taxpayer claims the credit, when all of the facts relate to an unrelated taxpayer. The Department will be required to chase two different entities—one with the facts, the other with the money. The transfer of credits should be eliminated.

RECAPTURE—This credit lacks recapture provisions. The Committee should consider adding recapture provisions in order to ensure that if property is sold or disposed of the state is made whole by including in income the previously taken credit.

General Excise Tax Exemption

ELIMINATE CAPS—The Department does not support caps on credits or exemptions throughout the tax code. Caps on exemptions specifically are the most difficult to administer because there is no guidance provided in the statute for how to administer them. For example, is the exemption to be claimed on a first-come-first-served basis? Also, tax returns are filed periodically, which could likely result in going over the cap during a given period.

Revenue Impact

This bill will result in an indeterminate revenue loss.

X. SB 2455, RELATING TO RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES

This legislation extends the current Renewable Energy Technologies Income Tax Credit to include hydrogen energy systems. There is no definition of the term "hydrogen energy system." The <u>Department requests that a definition be added</u> so that the Department can effectively administer this credit's extension.

This bill's revenue estimate is estimated to be minimal.

XI. SB 2932, RELATING TO ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE TAX

This bill increases the State Environmental Response Tax to \$0.25 per barrel of petroleum product. The bill also provides that an unspecified amount be used for concerns relating to drinking

Department of Taxation Testimony Senate Committee on Energy & Environment January 31, 2008 Page 5 of 6

water. The Department has no comments on this legislation.

The increased environmental response tax will increase the annual revenue of the Environmental Response Revolving Fund by approximately \$7.0 million dollars.

XII. SB 2032, RELATING TO INCOME TAX

This legislation increases the wind-powered Renewable Energy Technologies Income Tax Credit by various amounts. The Department has **no comments** on this legislation.

This legislation would resulting a revenue loss of \$10,600 annually.

XIII. SB 2986, RELATING TO REFUNDABLE RENEWABLE ENERGY TAX CREDIT

This <u>Lingle-Aiona Administration measure</u> amends the Renewable Energy Technologies Income Tax Credit by allowing the credit to be refundable for those that have little Hawaii taxable income. The Department <u>strongly supports</u> this measure as a policy to encourage additional investment in renewable energy technologies.

Under current Hawaii law, pension income, including social security is not taxable. This population includes retirees that may have little Hawaii taxable income (investment income) due to the exclusion, but would otherwise have the resources to invest in these technologies. This legislation will allow those with the resources to obtain a refundable incentive for installations of renewable energy technologies. This legislation also extends to any taxpayer with less than \$20,000 of adjusted gross income. This would provide incentives for the lower- and middle-class to invest in these technologies.

Annual revenue loss is estimated to be \$41,000, starting in fiscal year 2009.

XIV. SB 3230, RELATING TO ENERGY

This legislation creates a Energy Security Tax assessed on a per-barrel of petroleum product basis, as well as a special fund to administer the revenue.

The Department of Taxation has <u>no additional comments</u> on this legislation other than it is a tax increase that will eventually impact the gasoline prices all Hawaii drivers pay and creates an unnecessary special fund.

XV. SB 2943, RELATING TO ENERGY

This legislation increases the Renewable Energy Technology Income Tax Credit amounts to various amounts. This legislation also includes wave energy as a qualifying energy technology. The Department has <u>no additional comments</u> on this legislation.

Department of Taxation Testimony Senate Committee on Energy & Environment January 31, 2008 Page 6 of 6

This legislation will result in a revenue loss of approximately \$400,000 for FY 2009 and \$1.2 million for FY 2010.

XVI. SB 2946, RELATING TO RENEWABLE ENERGY OPPORTUNITY ZONES

This legislation creates Renewable Energy Opportunity Zones that, among other things, provide taxpayers within the zones with tax incentives similar to that of current Enterprise Zones.

The Department of Taxation <u>supports the intent</u> of this measure because it is an intuitive, logical, and bold step in the right direction for supporting Hawaii energy independence. The Department, as a co-participant of the Enterprise Zone system, agrees that these systems have worked to attract businesses to high-risk areas that need economic stimulus. This legislation will provide businesses with the opportunity to join other similar businesses geographically in order to consolidate the talent and resources of alternative energy research and development into one opportunity zone. The Department also points out that similar "opportunity zone" legislation has been very successful on the federal level with the Liberty Zone in New York and the Gulf Opportunity Zones in the south.

This legislation will result in a revenue loss of approximately \$1 million per year.



DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & TOURISM

LINDA LINGLE
GOVERNOR
THEODORE E. LIU
DIRECTOR
MARK K. ANDERSON
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

No. 1 Capitol District Building, 250 South Hotel Street, 5th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2359, Honolulu, Hawaii 96804 Web site: www.hawaii.gov/dbedt

Telephone:

(808) 586-2355 (808) 586-2377

Statement of

THEODORE E. LIU Director

Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism before the

SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Thursday, January 31, 2008 2:15 PM State Capitol, Conference Room 414

in consideration of

SB 2455 RELATING TO RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES.

Chair Menor, Vice Chair Hooser, and Members of the Committee.

The Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism (DBEDT) appreciates SB 2455 that provides renewable energy technology system tax credits for installations of hydrogen energy systems. There have been many good ideas introduced this legislative session that support the State's economic development goals. We note, however, that this tax credit was not included in the Executive's Supplemental Budget, and request that this tax credit not displace the priorities contained in that budget.

The use of renewable hydrogen technologies is an important component in Hawaii's ability to transition to a clean energy future. However, these technologies are generally at an early stage of commercialization, thus should be required to meet performance and safety criteria to ensure the deployment of appropriate technologies. Such criteria may include the following:

- Commercially available hydrogen energy systems with capacity and technology appropriate for the intended use;
- Operating installations with multi-year warranties; and
- Installations that meet all applicable national, state, and local codes, permitting, and emission standards.

Thank you for the opportunity to offer these comments.

LEGISLATIVE

TAXBILLSERVICE

126 Queen Street, Suite 304

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

Honolulu, Hawali 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT:

INCOME, Hydrogen energy system

BILL NUMBER:

SB 2455; HB 2938 (Identical)

INTRODUCED BY:

SB by Kokubun by request; HB by Tsuji, Awana, Brower, Karamatsu, Magaoay, McKelvey, Mizuno, B. Oshiro, Wakai, Lee, and 2 Democrats

BRIEF SUMMARY: Amend HRS section 235-12.5 to allow each individual or corporate income taxpayer to claim a tax credit for a hydrogen energy system. Single-family residential property shall be eligible for a credit of 35% of the actual cost of the system or \$5,000 per unit, whichever is less. A system for a multi-family residential property is eligible for a credit of 35% of the actual cost or \$10,000, whichever is less. A system for a commercial property is eligible for a credit for 35% of the actual cost or \$15,000, whichever is less.

Makes conforming amendments to Act 240, SLH 2006.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Tax years beginning after December 31, 2007

STAFF COMMENTS: It appears that this measure is proposed to encourage taxpayers to use hydrogen energy systems in the state by allowing taxpayers to claim a 35% tax credit for the cost of a system.

While some may consider an incentive necessary to encourage the use of energy conservation devices, including hydrogen energy systems, it should be noted that the high cost of these energy systems limits the benefit to those who have the initial capital to make the purchase. Currently, a 5kW hydrogen energy system costs between \$15,000 and \$29,000 depending on the options and application. It is doubtful that the state credits alone will encourage many more taxpayers to switch to the hydrogen energy systems proposed by this measure, given that hydrogen technology is rapidly developing and at a relatively high cost to acquire. Until the technology can make hydrogen energy systems affordable to the general public, no subsidy short of 100% will convince users to convert.

Lawmakers need to remember two things. First, the tax system is the device that raises the money that they, lawmakers, like to spend. Using the tax system to shape social policy merely throws the revenue raising system out of whack, making the system less than reliable as there is no way to determine how many taxpayers will avail themselves of the credit and in what amount. The second point to remember about tax credits is that they are nothing more than the expenditure of public dollars albeit out the back door. If, in fact, these dollars were subject to the appropriation process, would taxpayers be as kind about the expenditure of these funds when schools go wanting for books and repairs, or for the lack of space prisoners are sent off to the mainland for incarceration or there isn't enough money for substance abuse treatments?

Utilizing tax credits other than to alleviate an excessive tax burden cannot be justified and is of a questionable benefit relative to the cost for all taxpayers. If lawmakers want to encourage the use of hydrogen energy systems by reducing the cost of such systems, then a direct appropriation to subsidize that cost would be more accountable and transparent.

Digested 1/30/08

GUY TOYAMA H2 Technologies, Inc 73-4347 Malie Pl, Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96740 Phone (808) 938-6325

Wednesday, January 30, 2008

Ladies and gentlemen:

I hereby submit the following testimony regarding **SB2455**, **SB 2744** and **SB2932**. The SENATE Energy and Environment Committee is set to hear this bill on Thursday, January 31, 2008 at 2:15 pm in Senate Conference Room 414.

I would appreciate if you would make and deliver appropriate number of copies of my testimony to Room 414 for this hearing. Thank you.

TO: THE SENATE ENERGY and ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE, Senator Ron Menor, Chair, Senator Gary L Hooser, Vice-Chair

FROM: GUY TOYAMA, H2 TECHNOLOGIES, INC

SUBJECT: Testimony relating to RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES and ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE TAX (*SB2455, SB 2744 and SB2932*) - set to be heard on 1/31/2008 at 2:15 pm in Conference Room 414

I support each of these three bills, which support incentives for clean energy technology growth in Hawaii. A support for moving Hawaii into a more energy secure and environmentally responsible State.

I encourage this committee to approve *SB2455*, *SB 2744 and SB2932* and hasten their passage.

Thank you very much for your consideration of my views and my testimony on this bill.

Respectfully submitted,

Guy Toyama H2 Technologies, Inc guy@h2-techs.com

Will Roiston NELHA – Gateway Manager Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96740 Phone (808) 217-0201

Wednesday, January 30, 2008

Ladies and gentlemen:

I hereby submit the following testimony regarding **SB2455**, **SB 2744 and SB2932**. The SENATE Energy and Environment Committee is set to hear this bill on Thursday, January 31, 2008 at 2:15 pm in Senate Conference Room 414.

I would appreciate if you would make and deliver appropriate number of copies of my testimony to Room 414 for this hearing. Thank you.

TO: THE SENATE ENERGY and ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE, Senator Ron Menor, Chair, Senator Gary L Hooser, Vice-Chair

FROM: Will Rolston, Hawaii Gateway Manager

SUBJECT: Testimony relating to RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES and ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE TAX (*SB2455, SB 2744 and SB2932*) - set to be heard on 1/31/2008 at 2:15 pm in Conference Room 414

I support each of these three bills, which support incentives for clean energy technology growth in Hawaii. A support for moving Hawaii into a more energy secure and environmentally responsible State.

I encourage this committee to approve **SB2455**, **SB 2744 and SB2932** and hasten their passage.

Thank you very much for your consideration of my views and my testimony on this bill.

Respectfully submitted,

Will Rolston

NELHA - Gateway Manager

willr@nelha.org