STAND. COM. REP. NO. 2 94

Honolulu, Hawaii

## MAR 1 4 2000

RE:

H.B. No. 2248

H.D. 2

S.D. 1

Honorable Colleen Hanabusa President of the Senate Twenty-Fourth State Legislature Regular Session of 2008 State of Hawaii

## Madam:

Your Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, and Affordable Housing, to which was referred H.B. No. 2248, H.D. 2, entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO INSURANCE,"

begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose of this measure is to streamline the taxation of insurers by recognizing that, for general excise tax purposes, a reciprocal insurer and its attorney-in-fact is a single entity that is not subject to double taxation under state law.

Specifically, this measure:

- (1) Ensures that a reciprocal insurer and its attorney-infact shall be considered as a single entity for general excise tax purposes;
- (2) Clarifies that the general excise tax exemption applies to insurers, and not insurance companies, authorized to do business under chapter 431, Hawaii Revised Statutes; and
- (3) Clarifies that the attorney-in-fact of a reciprocal insurer remains subject to all taxes imposed on entities doing business in the State, other than the general excise tax on its gross income as attorney-in-fact.

Testimony in support of this measure was submitted by the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs, the Department of Taxation, the Property Casualty Insurers Association of America, the Medical Insurance Exchange of California, and the Medical Underwriters of California.

A reciprocal or reciprocal insurer is recognized under chapter 431, Hawaii Revised Statutes, as an insurance company that provides insurance through unincorporated associations of individuals, partnerships, or corporations called "subscribers," and is directly owned by its policyholders. The reciprocal operates through an attorney-in-fact that is common to all subscribers, and as a result, the reciprocal and the attorney-in-fact are virtually indistinguishable.

Your Committee finds that a misinterpretation in the law exists regarding reciprocal insurers resulting in double taxation of reciprocal insurers and their attorneys-in-fact, who unlike their incorporated stock or mutual insurer counterparts, are not exempt from the general excise tax. The Insurance Division of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs has recognized a reciprocal and its attorney-in-fact as a single entity for regulatory purposes, but since the term "insurance company" is not defined in chapter 237, Hawaii Revised Statutes, relating to general excise taxation, or the Insurance Code under chapter 431, Hawaii Revised Statutes, the law has been interpreted to not apply to a reciprocal and its attorney-in-fact. As a result, the reciprocal and its attorney-in-fact have been singled out and subjected to double taxation, which ultimately affects the premium rates paid by subscribers who are private practice physicians in the State.

Your Committee has amended this measure by:

- (1) Changing the effective date of this measure from July 1, 2020, to July 1, 2008; and
- (2) Making technical, nonsubstantive amendments for the purposes of clarity and style.

Your Committee believes that recognizing a reciprocal insurer and its attorney-in-fact as a single entity fulfills the intent of this measure, which is to streamline the taxation of insurers.

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, and Affordable Housing that is attached to this report, your Committee is in accord with the intent and purpose of H.B. No. 2248, H.D. 2, as amended herein, and recommends that it pass Second Reading in the form attached hereto as H.B. No. 2248, H.D. 2, S.D. 1, and be referred to the Committee on Economic Development and Taxation.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the members of the Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, and Affordable Housing,

RUSSELL S. KOKUBUN, Chair

## The Senate Twenty-Fourth Legislature State of Hawaii

## Record of Votes Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection and Affordable Housing CPH

Bill / Resolution No.:* Committee Referral: Date:				
HB 2248 HDZ CPH, EDT, WAM 3/11/08				
The committee is reconsidering its previous decision on this measure.				
If so, then the previous decision was to:				
The Recommendation is:				
Pass, unamended Pass, with amendments Hold Recommit 2312 2311 2310 2313				
Members	Aye	Aye (WR)	Nay	Excused
KOKUBUN, Russell S. (C)	<b>V</b> ,			
IGE, David Y. (VC)	<b>7</b>			
ESPERO, Will			·	
IHARA, Jr., Les				
SAKAMOTO, Norman				
TANIGUCHI, BRIAN T.	/			V
TRIMBLE, Gordon	<b>1</b>			
	***************************************			
TOTAL	6			
Recommendation:				
Adopted Not Adopted				
Chair's or Designee's Signature:				
<b>Distribution:</b> Original <del>Yello</del> w Pink Goldenrod File with Committee Report Clerk's Office Drafting Agency Committee File Copy				