STAND. COM. REP. NO. 1307 -08

Honolulu, Hawaii

Moch 20, 2008

RE: S.B. No. 2192

S.D. 2 H.D. 1

Honorable Calvin K.Y. Say Speaker, House of Representatives Twenty-Fourth State Legislature Regular Session of 2008 State of Hawaii

Sir:

Your Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection, to which was referred S.B. No. 2192, S.D. 2, entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO SOLID WASTE,"

begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose of this bill is to require the Department of Health (DOH) to conduct an independent study, without impairing the City and County of Honolulu's operations or permitting procedures, and make an independent determination of the effect on the health, safety, and welfare of the adjacent community, of increasing the maximum grade of the ash monofill at the Waimanalo Gulch Sanitary Landfill from 220 feet to approximately 275 feet above mean sea level.

A concerned individual testified in support of this bill. DOH opposed this measure. The Windward Ahupuaa Alliance provided comments.

DOH testified that this bill is unnecessary. In its evaluation of all solid waste permit application, DOH reviews the design, operation, and monitoring of the proposed solid waste activity for compliance with state solid waste laws and rules. Although DOH relies on completeness and accuracy of reports from the operator or owner of landfills, as opposed to its own independent data gathering, it is DOH's representation that this "honor" system is widely accepted nationwide due to limited agency

SB2192 HD1 HSCR EEP HMS 2008-3238

resources. Additionally, the availability of an independent expert on the structural stability of the ash monofill in this case is problematic, since the operator of the landfill is a nationwide company that has retained the service of many of the experts in the field. In this situation, the difficulty in finding an untainted expert will be both daunting and costly. Although DOH reviewed the stability and seismic analysis of Waimanalo Gulch Sanitary Landfill and proposed grade modifications, it is your Committee's finding that the health and welfare of the public requires that this bill continue through the legislative process for further consideration and discussion.

Accordingly, your Committee has amended this bill by changing the effective date to July 1, 2050. Other technical, nonsubstantive amendments were made for clarity, consistency, and style.

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection that is attached to this report, your Committee is in accord with the intent and purpose of S.B. No. 2192, S.D. 2, as amended herein, and recommends that it pass Second Reading in the form attached hereto as S.B. No. 2192, S.D. 2, H.D. 1, and be referred to the Committee on Finance.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the members of the Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection,

Wunni Tunit

HERMINA MORITA, Chair

State of Hawaii House of Representatives The Twenty-fourth Legislature

Record of Votes of the Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection

Bill/Resolution No.: Co	ommittee Referral: EEP, FIN	Date O	, ,	08
☐ The committee is reconsidering its previous	decision on the measure.		/ -/	
The recommendation is to: Pass, unamended (as is) Pass, with amendments (HD) Hold Pass short form bill with HD to recommit for future public hearing (recommit)				
EEP Members	Ayes	Ayes (WR)	Nays	Excused
1. MORITA, Hermina M. (C)	1			
2 CARROLL M.L. (VC)				
2. CARROLL, Mele (VC)			The Control of the Co	
3. ITO, Ken			1 10 1	
4. KARAMATSU, Jon Riki				
5. MAGAOAY, Michael Y.		The part of the pa		
5. MAGAOA1, Michael 1.				
6. SAGUM, Roland D., III				/
		00 US 1964 11 72 Selpes 1 pr 196 1981		
7. SAIKI, Scott K.				/
8 THIFLEN Cynthia				200
8. THIELEN, Cynthia				Viv
8. THIELEN, Cynthia				In
8. THIELEN, Cynthia				In
8. THIELEN, Cynthia				In
8. THIELEN, Cynthia				Jw.
8. THIELEN, Cynthia				Jw -
8. THIELEN, Cynthia				Jur -
8. THIELEN, Cynthia				Jw -
8. THIELEN, Cynthia				Jw -
8. THIELEN, Cynthia TOTAL (8)	4			3
		did not suppo	ort recommendation	3
TOTAL (8) The recommendation is: Adopted	ral,	did not suppo	ort recommendation	3