A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO ACTIONS BY AND AGAINST THE STATE.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

SECTION 1. Section 661-1, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is

2 amended to read as follows:

3 "§661-1 Jurisdiction. The several circuit courts of the

4 State and, except as otherwise provided by statute or rule, the

5 several state district courts shall, subject to appeal as

6 provided by law, have original jurisdiction to hear and

7 determine the following matters, and, unless otherwise provided

8 by law, shall determine all questions of fact involved without

9 the intervention of a jury[-]:

11

12

17

10 (1) All claims against the State founded upon any statute

of the State; or upon any regulation of an executive

department; or upon any contract, expressed or

implied, with the State, and all claims which may be

referred to any such court by the legislature;

provided that no action shall be maintained, nor shall

16 any process issue against the State, based on any

contract or any act of any state officer which the

officer is not authorized to make or do by the laws of

S.B. NO. <u>2972</u>

1		the State, nor upon any other cause of action than as
2		herein set forth[-]; and provided further that a claim
3		founded upon a statute of the State is within the
4		original jurisdiction of the courts only if, in the
5		text of the separate statute upon which the claim is
6		founded, the State has unequivocally waived its
7		sovereign immunity for the claim.
8	(2)	All counterclaims, whether liquidated or
9		unliquidated, or other demands whatsoever on the part
10		of the State against any person making claim against
11		the State under this chapter."
12	SECT	ON 2. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
13	and stric	cen. New statutory material is underscored.
14	SECTI	ON 3. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.
15		
16		INTRODUCED BY:
17		BY REQUEST

Report Title:

ACTIONS BY STATE; ACTIONS AGAINST STATE.

Description:

Clarifies that certain waivers of the State's sovereign immunity can only take place if the Legislature has in a statute unequivocally waived that sovereign immunity.

JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DEPARTMENT:

Attorney General

TITLE:

A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO ACTIONS BY AND

AGAINST THE STATE.

PURPOSE:

The purpose of this bill is to clarify the limits of the State of Hawaii's waiver of sovereign immunity for claims asserted against the State founded upon any statute under section 661-1, Hawaii Revised Statutes, and to preserve the separation of powers principle that only the Legislature may waive the State's inherent sovereign immunity from suits for retrospective money

damages.

MEANS:

Amend section 661-1, Hawaii Revised Statutes.

JUSTIFICATION:

Section 661-1, Hawaii Revised Statutes, contains the Legislature's waiver of the State of Hawaii's sovereign immunity for "All claims against the State founded upon any statute of the State; or upon any regulation of an executive department; or upon any contract, expressed or implied, with the State . . ."

This bill affects only the "claims founded upon any statute of the State" portion of section 661-1. The Hawaii Supreme Court has repeatedly held that the State's liability is limited by its sovereign immunity, except where there has been a "clear relinquishment of immunity and the State has consented to be sued." E.g., Pele Defense Fund v. Paty, 73 Haw. 607, 837 P.2d 1247, 1365 (1992). As to a waiver of immunity for a claim founded upon any statute, the Hawaii Supreme Court has repeatedly recognized the following principles: (1) a waiver of the government's sovereign immunity will be strictly construed, in terms of its scope, in favor of the sovereign; (2) a waiver of

sovereign immunity must be unequivocally expressed in statutory text; (3) a statute's legislative history cannot supply a waiver that does not appear clearly in any statutory text; (4) it is not a court's right to extend the waiver of sovereign immunity more broadly than has been directed by the Legislature; and (5) sovereign immunity is not to be waived by policy arguments. E.g., Chun v. Board of Trustees of the ERS, 106 Haw. 416, 106 P.3d 339 (Haw. 2005).

This bill specifically amends paragraph (1) of section 661-1 by adding the following language: "and provided further that a claim founded upon a statute of the State is within the original jurisdiction of the courts only if, in the text of the separate statute upon which the claim is founded, the State has unequivocally waived its sovereign immunity for the claim."

This bill clarifies that section 661-1 is itself a jurisdictional statute under which the State may be sued in state court for violation of some other statute if and only if in the other statute the State has unequivocally waived its sovereign immunity for the claim. A common misread of the present statute by persons suing the State (and often by the circuit courts) is that the State has waived its immunity from suit for back damages for every statute.

Impact on the public: The purpose of this bill is to clarify the scope of the State's waiver of sovereign immunity. Because the bill would expressly clarify when claimants may sue the State, it has the potential to prevent needless litigation and the potential to save a considerable amount of taxpayer dollars and to prevent judicial incursion into the limited waiver of immunity provided by the Legislature.

SB. NO. 2972

Impact on the department and other agencies: This bill would prevent the State and its agencies from having to defend itself from lawsuits based upon statutes from which the State has not waived its immunity. It would thus promote greater departmental efficiency, and may save the State and its client agencies from having to pay for judgments against the State.

GENERAL FUND:

None.

OTHER FUNDS:

None.

PPBS PROGRAM

DESIGNATION:

None.

OTHER AFFECTED

AGENCIES:

Judiciary.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

Upon approval.