

MAR 09 2007

SENATE RESOLUTION

REQUESTING THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS TO PROPOSE AMENDMENTS TO
THE NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND ACT OF 2001.

1 WHEREAS, the United States Congress must decide in 2007
2 whether to reauthorize the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 or
3 let it die and replace it with a new law; and
4

5 WHEREAS, the No Child Left Behind Act, while purporting to
6 create an accountability system for public schools, has in
7 reality been an enormous financial and programmatic burden on
8 schools and taxpayers; and
9

10 WHEREAS, even if states and schools are satisfied with
11 their educational programs and outcomes, they are forced to
12 participate in this top-down system in order to continue to
13 receive federal funds for education, such as Title I funds; and
14

15 WHEREAS, educators in private schools, even those who
16 enroll poor students, have chosen not to spend their time or
17 money following the No Child Left Behind Act's testing system,
18 and, as a result, have frequently outperformed public schools;
19 and
20

21 WHEREAS, the No Child Left Behind Act's narrow focus on the
22 "basics" has discouraged the implementation of best practices
23 and cutting edge educational research in order to achieve higher
24 test scores; and
25

26 WHEREAS, the goal of achieving one hundred per cent
27 proficiency by even special education students is unrealistic,
28 the pursuit of which channels millions and millions of dollars
29 into remedial programs that detract from the overall school
30 environment; and
31

32 WHEREAS, the requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act,
33 penalize schools who enroll students who have inherent
34 educational deficiencies and who, regardless of their progress
35 at the school, remain below No Child Left Behind established
36 standards; and



1
2 WHEREAS, while there has recently been some interest in the
3 development of so-called "growth models" to recognize the
4 contributions of a school to individual students over time, the
5 lack of adequate funding and the prohibition against states
6 developing their own growth models has rendered this initiative
7 almost meaningless; and

8
9 WHEREAS, the No Child Left Behind Act does not provide
10 additional funds for teacher education or training if a school
11 is in "status" or under restructuring, which creates a punitive
12 environment with little commitment on the part of the federal
13 government for school success; and

14
15 WHEREAS, in the calculation of Adequate Yearly Progress,
16 there appears to be no points or benefit if a school engages in
17 meaningful educational innovation or research; and

18
19 WHEREAS, while there is some support for the alternative
20 educational environments created by charter schools, these
21 innovations do not appear to be recognized in the assessment
22 environment, assuming that the accountability systems
23 appropriate for traditional schools with large bureaucratic
24 support systems apply equally well to charter schools; and

25
26 WHEREAS, the No Child Left Behind Act has often channeled
27 countless dollars and hours into high-stake testing, which has
28 benefited private testing companies but which has not engaged
29 the local educational community in this endeavor; and

30
31 WHEREAS, the No Child Left Behind Act appears biased
32 towards a one-size fits all multiple choice testing system, and
33 tends to ignore other means of engaging and assessing students
34 such as project-based, hands-on, or problem-solving
35 demonstrations of competency; and

36
37 WHEREAS, the federal No Child Left Behind Act has driven
38 many schools and school systems into a narrowing of curriculum,
39 often focusing on only math or English, to the detriment of a
40 broader range of subjects and experiences, most notably the
41 arts; and

42
43 WHEREAS, the United States Department of Education has
44 shown little or no interest in creating incentives among



1 colleges and universities to incorporate innovative portfolios
2 or project-based competencies into their admissions decisions,
3 thus reinforcing the use of high-stake, multiple-choice private
4 testing businesses; now, therefore,

5
6 BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate of the Twenty-fourth
7 Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session of 2007,
8 that the United States Congress is strongly urged to proposed
9 specific amendments to, or recommend the repeal of, the federal
10 No Child Left Behind Act of 2001; and

11
12 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that among the issues and
13 amendments the United States Congress should address are the
14 following:

- 15
16 (1) Permitting each state to adopt its own growth model to
17 calculate adequate yearly progress under the No Child
18 Left Behind Act;
- 19
20 (2) Giving credit for schools that adopt or adapt
21 innovative curricula or methodologies;
- 22
23 (3) Giving credit for schools that serve as a research
24 venue for new curricula or methodologies;
- 25
26 (4) Requiring schools to maintain a broad and
27 comprehensive curriculum, including the arts and
28 physical education;
- 29
30 (5) Allowing states to "opt out" of the No Child Left
31 Behind Act without loss of federal funds;
- 32
33 (6) Allowing states to determine which grade levels to
34 test;
- 35
36 (7) Providing significant teacher education and
37 professional development funds for schools in status;
- 38
39 (8) Encouraging states or school districts to utilize a
40 wider range of assessments, including project-based
41 competency and portfolios, and providing funds for
42 those that do use them;
- 43



- 1 (9) Removing special education and English as a Second
2 Language student tests from the calculations of
3 Adequate Yearly Progress;
4
5 (10) Developing a completely separate and more appropriate
6 means of assessing the wide range of special education
7 students and those with behavioral health issues;
8
9 (11) Fully funding special education programs, as once
10 promised;
11
12 (12) Developing a new school facilities initiative that
13 does not push funding agencies into large schools and
14 economy-of-scale driven buildings, and that encourages
15 innovative school designs; and
16
17 (13) Providing financial incentives for institutions of
18 higher learning to incorporate portfolios and
19 demonstrations of competency into their admissions
20 decisions; and
21

22 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that certified copies of this
23 Resolution be transmitted to the President of the United States,
24 the Vice President of the United States, the President pro
25 tempore of the United States Senate, the Speaker of the United
26 States House of Representatives, and the members of Hawaii's
27 Congressional delegation.
28
29
30

OFFERED BY: Norman Sakamoto

