STAND. COM. REP. NO. 1327

Honolulu, Hawaii

Monh 21, 2007

RE: S.B. No. 870

S.D. 1 H.D. 1

Honorable Calvin K.Y. Say Speaker, House of Representatives Twenty-Fourth State Legislature Regular Session of 2007 State of Hawaii

Sir:

Your Committee on Water, Land, Ocean Resources & Hawaiian Affairs, to which was referred S.B. No. 870, S.D. 1, entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO THE DESIGNATION OF A HAWAII ARCHAEOLOGICAL DATA SURVEY,"

begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose of this bill is to provide public access to existing documentation of archaeological work of the Hawaiian archipelago, beginning with the collections of Bishop Museum, by:

- (1) Establishing a Hawaii Archaeological Data Survey (Survey), that consists of an online database; and
- (2) Designating the Survey as a program of the Bishop Museum, which has been designated as the State of Hawaii Museum of Natural and Cultural History (Museum) under Section 6E-40, Hawaii Revised Statutes.

The bill also authorizes the Museum, in consultation with the Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA), to withhold from the database sensitive information that if disclosed, may threaten the protection of valuable archaeological sites and artifacts.

OHA and the Bishop Museum testified in support of this bill. The Department of Land and Natural Resources supported the intent of this measure. The Office of Information Practices and the President of the Society for Hawaiian Archaeology provided comments.

Your Committee has amended this bill by changing the effective date to July 1, 2050, to encourage further discussion.

Your Committee notes that concerns were raised regarding language to ensure that confidentiality of sensitive information is balanced with the public's right to the information under information practices laws. Your Committee respectfully requests the Committee on Finance to consider inserting language to clarify the State's authority when various state agencies and the Bishop Museum differ in opinion as to whether certain information should be disclosed or withheld. Specifically, your Committee requests that the Committee on Finance consider authority the State should have to mandate the Museum to:

- (1) Withhold information the State concludes is sensitive, but Bishop Museum seeks to disclose on the Survey; and
- (2) Disclose information the State concludes is not sensitive, but Bishop Museum seeks to withhold from the Survey.

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committee on Water, Land, Ocean Resources & Hawaiian Affairs that is attached to this report, your Committee is in accord with the intent and purpose of S.B. No. 870, S.D. 1, as amended herein, and recommends that it pass Second Reading in the form attached hereto as S.B. No. 870, S.D. 1, H.D. 1, and be referred to the Committee on Finance.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the members of the Committee on Water, Land, Ocean Resources & Hawaiian Affairs,

KEN TTO Chair

HSCR 1327

## State of Hawaii House of Representatives The Twenty-fourth Legislature

## Record of Votes of the Committee on Water, Land, Ocean Resources & Hawaiian Affairs

Committee Referral:  WLH, FIN  The recommendation is to:  Pass, unamended Hold  Pass, with amendments, for recommittal for further consideration  WLH Members  Ayes  Ayes (WR)  Nays  Excused  1. ITO, Ken (C)  2. CHONG, Pono (VC)  3. CARROLL, Mele  4. MAGAOAY, Michael Y.  5. MORITA, Hermina M.  6. SAGUM, Roland D., III  7. SAIKI, Scott K.  8. THIELEN, Cynthia  TOTAL  Total	Bill/Resolution No.:  SB 870 SD1 (SSCR747)  Date:  Warch \( \begin{align*}					
WLH Members Ayes Ayes (WR) Nays Excused  1. ITO, Ken (C) 2. CHONG, Pono (VC) 3. CARROLL, Mele 4. MAGAOAY, Michael Y. 5. MORITA, Hermina M. 6. SAGUM, Roland D., III 7. SAIKI, Scott K. 8. THIELEN, Cynthia  TOTAL  The recommendation is:  Adopted  If joint referral,		☐ The committee is reconsidering its				
WLH Members Ayes Ayes (WR) Nays Excused  1. ITO, Ken (C) 2. CHONG, Pono (VC) 3. CARROLL, Mele 4. MAGAOAY, Michael Y. 5. MORITA, Hermina M. 6. SAGUM, Roland D., III 7. SAIKI, Scott K. 8. THIELEN, Cynthia  TOTAL  TOTAL  The recommendation is:  WAdopted  If joint referral,	The recommendation is to:  Pass, unamended	ed Pass, with amendments				
1. ITO, Ken (C) 2. CHONG, Pono (VC) 3. CARROLL, Mele 4. MAGAOAY, Michael Y. 5. MORITA, Hermina M. 6. SAGUM, Roland D., III 7. SAIKI, Scott K. 8. THIELEN, Cynthia  TOTAL  TOTAL  The recommendation is:  Adopted  If joint referral,						
2. CHONG, Pono (VC) 3. CARROLL, Mele 4. MAGAOAY, Michael Y. 5. MORITA, Hermina M. 6. SAGUM, Roland D., III 7. SAIKI, Scott K. 8. THIELEN, Cynthia  TOTAL  TOTAL  Total  Total  If joint referral,	WLH Members	Ayes	Ayes (WR)	Nays	Excused	
3. CARROLL, Mele 4. MAGAOAY, Michael Y. 5. MORITA, Hermina M. 6. SAGUM, Roland D., III 7. SAIKI, Scott K. 8. THIELEN, Cynthia  TOTAL  Total  Total  Total  Not Adopted  If joint referral,						
4. MAGAOAY, Michael Y.  5. MORITA, Hermina M.  6. SAGUM, Roland D., III  7. SAIKI, Scott K.  8. THIELEN, Cynthia  TOTAL						
5. MORITA, Hermina M. 6. SAGUM, Roland D., III 7. SAIKI, Scott K. 8. THIELEN, Cynthia  TOTAL  TOTAL  Total  I joint referral,						
6. SAGUM, Roland D., III 7. SAIKI, Scott K. 8. THIELEN, Cynthia  TOTAL  TOTAL  Total  If joint referral,		<u> </u>		***************************************		
7. SAIKI, Scott K.  8. THIELEN, Cynthia  TOTAL  TOTAL  Total  If joint referral,					· /	
8. THIELEN, Cynthia  TOTAL  TOTAL  Total  Adopted  Not Adopted  If joint referral,					NESCOLLEGICATION - CONTROL	
TOTAL  Total  If joint referral, did not support recommendation.  committee acronym(s)						
The recommendation is:  Adopted  Not Adopted  If joint referral,	8. THIELEN, Cynthia		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
The recommendation is:  Adopted  Not Adopted  If joint referral,		******				
The recommendation is:  Adopted  Not Adopted  If joint referral,						
The recommendation is:  Adopted  Not Adopted  If joint referral,					7	
The recommendation is:  Adopted  Not Adopted  If joint referral,						
The recommendation is:  Adopted  Not Adopted  If joint referral,						
The recommendation is:  Adopted  Not Adopted  If joint referral,						
The recommendation is:  Adopted  Not Adopted  If joint referral,						
The recommendation is:  Adopted  Not Adopted  If joint referral,		Walt Inc.		***************************************	***************************************	
The recommendation is:  Adopted  Not Adopted  If joint referral,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
The recommendation is:  Adopted  Not Adopted  If joint referral,						
If joint referral, did not support recommendation.	TOTAL	5			3	
If joint referral, did not support recommendation.	The recommendation is: Adopted					
If joint referral, did not support recommendation.	•					
committee acronym(s)						
Vice Chair's or designee's signature:	committee acronym(s)					
Distribution: Original (White) – Committee Duplicate (Yellow) – Clerk's Office						