STAND. COM. REP. NO. 2245

Honolulu, Hawaii

FOD. 17

, 2006

RE: S.B. No. 2591

Honorable Robert Bunda President of the Senate Twenty-Third State Legislature Regular Session of 2006 State of Hawaii

Sir:

Your Committee on Judiciary and Hawaiian Affairs, to which was referred S.B. No. 2591 entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO APPEALS,"

begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose of this measure is to eliminate the requirement for a motion for reconsideration in the appellate process for child protective cases.

The Judiciary, Department of Human Services, and the Attorney General all testified in support of this measure.

Under the current law, a party must first file a motion for reconsideration with the family court judge who issues a child protective order before the party may appeal the order. This requirement means that the party must file the motion for reconsideration, give notice of the motion to the other parties, have a hearing, and obtain a decision from the same judge who issued the order. Often, parties may miss the deadline for filing the motion for reconsideration and are thereafter estopped from challenging the order on appeal.

Your Committee finds that this requirement builds unnecessary delay into the appellate review system. To speed the resolution of child protective services cases, this measure will remove the motion for reconsideration as a prerequisite to the appellate process.

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committee on Judiciary and Hawaiian Affairs that is attached to this report, your Committee is in accord with the intent and purpose of S.B. No. 2591 and recommends that it pass Second Reading and be placed on the calendar for Third Reading.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the members of the Committee on Judiciary and Hawaiian Affairs,

COLLEEN HANABUSA, Chair

## The Senate Twenty-Third Legislature State of Hawaii

## Record of Votes of the Committee on Judiciary and Hawaiian Affairs (Bills and Resolutions)

Measure:*	Committee Re	terral:	Date: 1 Q / 9 U		
SB 2591	JHW			491	~
The committee is reconsidering its previous decision on this measure.					
If sø, then the previous decision was to:					
The Recommendation is to:					
Pass, unamended Pass, with amendments Hold Recommit (2312) (2311) (2310) (2313)					
Members		Ay <b>y</b> s /	Ayes(WR)	Nays	Excused
HANABUSA, Colleen (C)					
HEE, Clayton (VC)			1 DE 1	-:: -:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	
CHUN OAKLAND, Suzanne					
ENGLISH, J. Kalani	·				
IHARA, Jr., Les					····
WHALEN, Paul					
					NAT
to the second se		7	**************************************		
	e de la companya de l				
			i kaj li kaj k		- *
	."				
					. · · · · ·
			· .		
TOTAL	i	5	0	0	1
Recommendation:					
Adopted Not Adopted					
Chair's or Designee's Signature:					
Distribution: Original Vellow Pink Goldenrod   File with Committee Report Clerk's Office Drafting Agency Committee File Copy					

<sup>\*</sup>Do <u>not</u> list more than one measure per Record of Votes.