Honolulu, Hawaii

Mork 2, 2006

RE: H.B. No. 1980 H.D. 1

Honorable Calvin K.Y. Say Speaker, House of Representatives Twenty-Third State Legislature Regular Session of 2006 State of Hawaii

Sir:

Your Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce, to which was referred H.B. No. 1980 entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO GIFT CERTIFICATES,"

begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose of this bill is to avoid unnecessary regulation and increase consumer choice and convenience by making clear that multi-use gift cards, i.e., cards with a banked dollar value usable with multiple sellers of goods or services, are not gift certificates subject to regulation under Hawaii's gift certificate law.

The Hawaii Bankers Association, Hawaii Credit Union League, First Data Corporation, and a concerned individual supported this bill. The Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs, Retail Merchants of Hawaii, and Hawaii Food Industry Association opposed this bill.

Your Committee finds that multi-use gift cards differ from gift certificates in that they carry banked dollars to use in place of cash. One type is a travel card that is used like traveler's checks. Multi-use gift cards are reportedly more expensive to operate than gift certificates. The cards may be sold and marketed nationally, in which case issuers incur significant design, marketing, and advertising costs. In addition, issuers usually replace these cards if they are lost or stolen and provide 24-hour customer service.

HB1980 HD1 HSCR CPC HMS 2006-2499

Your Committee heard testimony that the current law would prohibit issuers of gift cards from charging a service fee for these cards, making it impossible for issuers to make a profit in Hawaii. There was also testimony that because of the law, American Express already declines to sell its gift cards in the state.

Upon further consideration, your Committee has amended this bill by:

- (1) Removing the exemption of multi-use gift cards from the gift certificate law;
- (2) Providing that issuers of multi-use gift cards may charge:
  - (A) A transaction fee for initial issuance and each addition of value to the card;
  - (B) A replacement fee; and
  - (C) A service, dormancy, or inactivity fee no earlier than 12 months after the initial issuance or most recent addition of value;
- (3) Requiring multi-use gift card issuers to disclose:
  - (A) At the time of sale, on the certificate or on the electronic card sales receipt, fees for initial issuance;
  - (B) At the time of sale, the timing and amount of all dormancy or inactivity fees prominently on the face of the electronic card or certificate; and
  - (C) On the electronic card or certificate, the timing and amount of all replacement fees, services fees, and dormancy or inactivity fees;

and

(4) Changing the effective date to July 1, 2050, to allow further discussion of the measure.

HB1980 HD1 HSCR CPC HMS 2006-2499

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce that is attached to this report, your Committee is in accord with the intent and purpose of H.B. No. 1980, as amended herein, and recommends that it pass Second Reading in the form attached hereto as H.B. No. 1980, H.D. 1, and be placed on the calendar for Third Reading.

> Respectfully submitted on behalf of the members of the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce,

ROBERT N. HERKES, Chair

## State of Hawaii House of Representatives The Twenty-third Legislature

HSCR 669

## **Record of Votes of the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce**

Bill/Resolution No.:  HB 1980  Date: 3/1/06				
Committee Referral:	The committee is reconsidering its			
The recommendation is to:   Pass, unamended	Pass, with amendments			
□ Hold □ Recommit				
CPC Members	Ayes	Ayes (WR)	Nays	Excused
1. HERKES, Robert N. (C)	1			
2. SCHATZ, Brian (VC)	/			
3. CALDWELL, Kirk	1,			
4. ITO, Ken	<b>√</b>			
5. KANOHO, Ezra R.	Bet			
6. KARAMATSU, Jon Riki				
7. MORITA, Hermina M.				
8. SONSON, Alex M.				
9. SOUKI, Joseph M.				
10. MARUMOTO, Barbara C.				
11. STONEBRAKER, Bud	V			
			North Control of the	
			AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
·				
TOTAL	5 m	2		3
The recommendation is:				
☐ Not Adopted				
If joint referral, did not support recommendation.				
Vice Chair's or designee's signature:				
Distribution: Original (White) – Committee Duplicate (Yellow) – Clerk's Office				