<u>S</u>.B. NO. **Wol**

JAN 25 2006

A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO THE PATIENTS' BILL OF RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1	SECTION 1. Section 432E-6, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
2	amended to read as follows:
3	"§432E-6 External review procedure. (a) After exhausting
4	all internal complaint and appeal procedures available, an
5	enrollee, or the enrollee's treating provider or appointed
6	representative, may file a request for external review of a
7	managed care plan's final internal determination [to a three
8	member review panel appointed by the commissioner composed of a
9	representative from a managed care plan not involved in the
10	complaint, a provider licensed to practice and practicing
11	medicine in Hawaii not involved in the complaint, and the
12	commissioner or the commissioner's designee] in the following
13	manner:
14	(1) The enrollee shall submit a request for external review
15	to the commissioner [within] no later than sixty days
16	from the date of the final internal determination by
17	the managed care plan;

S.B. NO. 2201

The commissioner may [retain]: (2)

2	(A)	Without regard to chapter 76, <u>retain</u> an
3		independent medical expert trained in the field of
4		medicine most appropriately related to the matter
5 ,		under review[-] to conduct the external review.
6		The review by the independent medical expert
7		shall be limited to issues of medical necessity
8		and coverage exclusions for experimental and
9		investigational medical procedures. Presentation
10		of evidence for this purpose shall be exempt from
11		section 91-9(g)[; and]. The commissioner shall
12		transfer the request for review and the
13		submissions of the enrollee and the plan to the
14		independent medical expert. The managed care
15		plan that is the subject of the external review
16		shall be responsible for paying the reasonable
17		fee and costs of the independent medical expert
18		selected by the commissioner. The independent
19		medical expert shall submit an invoice for
20		services and costs to the commissioner together
21		with the expert's determination. The commissioner
22		shall review the invoice for reasonableness and

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

S.B. NO. 2201

1		shall transmit the invoice to the managed care
2		plan for payment by the managed care plan within
3		thirty days of receipt. The selection and hiring
4		of the independent medical expert by the
5		commissioner shall not be subject to chapter 103D;
6		<u>or</u>
7	(B)	[The services of] Select an independent review
8		organization from an approved list maintained by

the commissioner[+] to conduct the external review. The review by an independent review organization shall be limited to issues of medical necessity and coverage exclusions for experimental and investigational medical procedures. Presentation of evidence for this purpose shall be exempt from section 91-9(g). The commissioner shall transfer the request for review and the submissions of the enrollee and the plan to an independent review organization that has available to conduct the review a medical expert trained in the field of medicine most appropriately related to the matter under review. The managed care plan that is the

_SB. NO. 2201

1			subject of the external review shall be
2			responsible for paying the reasonable fee and
3			costs of the independent review organization
4			selected by the commissioner to conduct the
5			review. The independent review organization
6			shall submit its invoice for services and costs to
7			the commissioner together with its determination.
8			The commissioner shall review the invoice for
9		<i>:</i>	reasonableness and shall transmit the invoice to
10			the managed care plan for payment by the managed
11			care plan within thirty days of receipt. The
12			selection and hiring of the independent review
13			organization by the commissioner shall not be
14			subject to chapter 103D; or
15		(C)	Upon determination that an employee benefit plan
16			within title 29 of the United States Code section
17			1003(a) is not implicated, appoint the members of
18			a three-member external review panel composed of a
19			representative from a managed care plan not
20			involved in the complaint, a provider licensed to
21			practice and practicing medicine in Hawaii not
22	•		involved in the complaint, and the commissioner or

1	the commissioner's designee, to conduct the
2	external review. The review panel shall conduct a
3	hearing pursuant to chapter 91. If the amount in
4	controversy is less than \$1,000, the commissioner
5	or the commissioner's designee may conduct a
6	review hearing pursuant to chapter 91, without
7	appointing a review panel. The commissioner shall
8	make the determination whether title 29 United
9	States Code section 1003(a) applies to the
10	enrollee's plan within twenty days after receipt
11	of the managed care plan's position, if any, on
12	whether title 29 United States Code section
13	1003(a) applies to the enrollee's plan, and any
14	other documents, information, or affidavits the
15	commissioner shall require of the requestor or the
16	managed care plan, and shall notify the managed
17	care plan, the requestor, and the enrollee of the
18	commissioner's determination. The notice to the
19	enrollee shall provide a statement that the
20	enrollee's request for external review shall be
21	without prejudice to the enrollee's right to file
22	a civil action in state or federal court for a

1		determination of the enrollee's entitlement to
2		benefits, and that the employee may have other
3		rights, including the right to an award of
4		reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;
5	(3)	Within seven days after receipt of the request for
6		external review, a managed care plan or its designee
7		utilization review organization shall provide to the
8		commissioner [or the assigned independent review
9		organization]:
10		(A) Any documents or information related to or used in
11		making the final internal determination including
12		the enrollee's medical records;
13		(B) Any documentation or written information submitted
14		to the managed care plan in support of the
15		enrollee's initial complaint; [and]
16		(C) A list of the names, addresses, and telephone
17		numbers of each licensed health care provider who
18		cared for the enrollee and who may have medical
19		records relevant to the external review;
20		(D) An estimate of the amount in controversy; and

S.B. NO. **2261**

(E)	The managed care plan's position, if any, on
	whether title 29 United States Code section
	1003(a) applies to the enrollee's plan;
	provided that where an expedited appeal is
	involved, the managed care plan or its designee
	utilization review organization shall provide the
	documents and information within forty-eight hours
	of receipt of the request for external review.

Failure by the managed care plan or its designee utilization review organization to provide the documents and information within the prescribed time periods shall not delay the conduct of the external review. Where the plan or its designee utilization review organization fails to provide the documents and information within the prescribed time periods, the commissioner may issue a decision to reverse the final internal determination, in whole or part, and shall promptly notify the independent review organization, the enrollee, the enrollee's appointed representative, if applicable, the

<u>s</u>.B. NO. **2201**

1		enrollee's treating provider, and the managed care
2		plan of the decision;
3	(4)	[Upon receipt of the request for external review and
4		upon a showing of good cause, the commissioner shall
5		appoint the members of the external review panel and
6		shall conduct a review hearing pursuant to chapter 91.
7		If the amount in controversy is less than \$500, the
8		commissioner may conduct a review hearing without
9		appointing a review panel; The commissioner shall
10		determine whether the disputed service, supply, or
11		treatment is specifically excluded under the terms of
12		the enrollee's insurance policy, evidence of coverage,
13		or similar document;
14	(5)	[The review hearing shall be conducted] If the
15		commissioner finds that the disputed service, supply,
16		or treatment is not specifically excluded, the
17		commissioner, the independent medical expert selected
18		by the commissioner pursuant to subsection (a)(2)(A),
19		the independent review organization selected by the
20		commissioner pursuant to subsection (a)(2)(B), or the
21		external review panel appointed by the commissioner
22		pursuant to subsection (a)(2)(C) shall review the fina

<u>S</u>.B. NO. <u>*W*</u>

1		internal determination as soon as practicable, taking
2		into consideration the medical exigencies of the
3		<pre>case[+], provided that:</pre>
4		(A) [The] In the case of a review by an independent
5		medical expert pursuant to subsection (a)(2)(A) or
6		by an independent review organization pursuant to
7		subsection(a)(2)(B), the decision shall be made no
8		later than sixty days after the date of the
9		request for external review. In the case of a
10		hearing by an external review panel or by the
11		commissioner without a panel when the amount in
12		controversy is less than \$1,000, the hearing shall
13		be held no later than sixty days from the date of
14		the request for the hearing; and
15		(B) [An] Provided, that an external review conducted as
16		an expedited appeal shall be determined no later
17		than seventy-two hours after receipt of the
18		request for external review;
19	(6)	In the case of a review by an independent review
20		organization, the independent review organization shall
21		use a physician with expertise in the relevant medical
22		field to make the determination;

1	$\left[\frac{(6)}{(7)}\right]$ After considering the enrollee's complaint, the
2	managed care plan's response, and any affidavits filed
3	by the parties, the commissioner may dismiss the
4	request for external review if it is determined that
5	the request is frivolous or without merit; [and]
6	[(7)] (8) The [review panel] external reviewer shall review
7	every final internal determination to determine whether
8	the managed care plan involved acted reasonably. The
9	plan has the burden of proving reasonableness and no
10	deference shall be accorded to the decision by the
11	plan, nor shall there be any presumption of objectivity
12	by the medical director or other plan administrator
13	making the benefit determination. The commissioner or
14	the commissioner's designee, the independent medical
15	expert, the independent review organization, or the
16	external review panel [and the commissioner or the
17	commissioner's designee] shall consider:
18	(A) The terms of the agreement of the enrollee's
19	insurance policy, evidence of coverage, or similar
20	document;

1		(B)	Whether the medical director properly applied the
2			medical necessity criteria in section 432E-1.4 in
3			making the final internal determination;
4		(C)	All relevant medical records;
5		(D)	The clinical standards of the plan;
6		(E)	The information provided;
7		(F)	The attending physician's recommendations; and
8		(G)	Generally accepted practice guidelines.
9	(9)	[Th	e] When the review is conducted by an external
10		rev	riew panel, the commissioner, upon a majority vote
11		of	the panel, shall issue an order affirming,
12		mod	lifying, or reversing the [decision] final internal
13		det	ermination within thirty days of the hearing.
14	(10)	Whe	n the amount in controversy is less than \$1,000 and
15		the	review is conducted by the commissioner or the
16		COM	missioner's designee, the commissioner shall issue
17		an	order affirming, modifying, or reversing the
18		fir	nal internal determination no later than sixty days
19		fro	om the date of the request for review; and
20	(11)	The	independent medical expert or independent review
21		org	ganization shall issue a written decision stating
22		whe	ether the managed care plan acted reasonably in

I	denying coverage for the bervies of steasmens on
2	grounds of medical necessity or experimental and
3	investigational procedures. If the independent
4	medical expert or independent review organization
5	decides that the final internal determination was not
6	reasonable, the external review decision shall be
7	final and shall not be subject to appeal by the plan
8	and the plan shall forthwith provide the service,
9	supply, or treatment.
10	(b) The procedure set forth in this section shall not
11	apply to claims or allegations of health provider malpractice,
12	professional negligence, or other professional fault against
13	participating providers $[\div]$; and the procedure set forth in this
14	section shall not apply to QUEST medical plans under the
15	department of human services.
16	(c) No person shall serve on [the] an external review
17	panel, as a medical expert, as an independent medical expert or
18	in the independent review organization who, through a familial
19	relationship within the second degree of consanguinity or
20	affinity, or for other reasons, has a direct and substantial
21	professional, financial, or personal interest in [+] or conflict
22	of interest with any of the following:

<u>s</u>.B. NO. **2291**

1	(1)	The plan involved in the complaine, including
2		officer, director, or employee of the plan; [ex]
3	(2)	The treatment of the enrollee, including but not
4		limited to the developer or manufacturer of the
5		principal drug, device, procedure, or other therapy at
6		issue[-] <u>;</u>
7	(3)	The treating physician who proposes to render or
8	•	provide the service, supply, or treatment that is the
9		subject of the external review;
10	(4)	The healthcare facility at which the service or
11		treatment was provided or will be provided; or
12	(5)	The enrollee.
13	(d)	Members of the review panel shall be granted immunity
14	from lial	oility and damages relating to their duties under this
15	section.	Independent medical experts and independent review
16	organiza	tions and their expert reviewers shall not be liable for
17	injuries	or damages arising from decisions made pursuant to this
18	section;	provided that this subsection shall not apply to any
19	act or o	mission by an independent medical expert, independent
20	review o	rganization, or expert reviewer that is made in bad
21	faith or	that involves gross negligence.

<u>S</u>.B. NO. **W**

1	(e) An enrollee may be allowed, at the commissioner's		
2	discretion, an award of a reasonable sum for attorney's fees and		
3	reasonable costs incurred in connection with the external review		
4	under this section, unless the commissioner in an administrative		
5	proceeding determines that the appeal was unreasonable,		
6	fraudulent, excessive, or frivolous.		
7	(f) Disclosure of an enrollee's protected health		
8	information shall be limited to disclosure for purposes relating		
9	to the external review.		
10	(g) Future contractual or employment action by the managed		
11	care plan regarding the treating health care provider shall not		
12	be based on participation in the external review process."		
13	SECTION 2. This Act does not affect rights and duties tha		
14	matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that were		
15	begun, before its effective date.		
16	SECTION 3. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed		
17	and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.		
18	SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.		
19	Proph		
20	INTRODUCED BY:		
21	BY REQUEST		

JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DEPARTMENT:

Commerce and Consumer Affairs

TITLE:

A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO THE PATIENTS' BILL OF RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES.

PURPOSE:

To provide for an independent review process that would not be pre-empted by the federal Employees Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"). This bill provides for review of medical necessity decisions for ERISA plans by an independent medical expert or by an independent review organization, following screening of those cases by the insurance commissioner. Non-ERISA plan members will still be entitled to external review by the three member panel as in the present statute.

MEANS:

Amend section 432E-6, Hawaii Revised Statutes.

JUSTIFICATION:

Hawaii Revised Statutes chapter 432E provides for an independent review of health insurance coverage decisions made by a The Hawaii Supreme Court managed care plan. ruled in the case of Hawaii Management Alliance Association v. The Insurance Commissioner, et al., 106 Hawaii 21 (2004), that the external review process is preempted by ERISA as to employee benefit plans that fall under ERISA. The vast majority of health plans in Hawaii fall under ERISA. There are numerous disputes between managed care plans and their enrollees regarding These disputes (for both medical necessity. ERISA and non-ERISA employee benefit plans) were formerly handled through the external review process involving a three member panel and an administrative hearing. However, since that process has been deemed pre-empted by ERISA as to ERISA employee benefit plans, there should be a quick and inexpensive process for the resolution of these disputes arising in the State.

bill creates such a process by sending the ERISA plan disputes to an independent medical expert or independent review organization selected by the insurance commissioner. Presently, this process is followed in about 40 states for ERISA plans. For non-ERISA plans, the existing external review process shall be maintained.

Impact on the public: There should be a positive impact on the public as they are provided with an independent review process for their ERISA plan health insurance coverage disputes that does not require the cost and time associated with arbitration or litigation.

Impact on the department and other agencies:
The cost of using an independent review organization is estimated at an average of \$700 per review. Fewer than twenty external reviews per year, on average, advance further than review for good cause. This bill provides that these costs are to be paid by the managed care plan, so that there is no impact on the Compliance Resolution Fund. At the request of the Department of Human Services this bill clarifies that the external review procedure does not apply to the QUEST program.

GENERAL FUND:

None.

OTHER FUNDS:

None.

PPBS PROGRAM

DESIGNATION:

CCA-106.

OTHER AFFECTED

AGENCIES:

None.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

Upon approval.