

## NINTH DAY

Thursday, January 31, 2019

The House of Representatives of the Thirtieth Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session of 2019, convened at 12:12 o'clock p.m., with Vice Speaker Nakashima presiding.

The invocation was delivered by Ms. Keali'i Lopez, after which the Roll was called showing all members present with the exception of Representatives Thielen and Tokioka, who were excused.

On motion by Representative Morikawa, seconded by Representative Matsumoto and carried, reading of the Journal was dispensed with and the Journals of the First, Second and Third Days were approved. (Representatives Thielen and Tokioka were excused.)

## INTRODUCTIONS

The following introductions were made to the members of the House:

Representative Mizuno introduced advocates for the disability community: Kiana Rodrigues, Melodee Haole and Joelle Branch; and his staff, Christine Fehn.

Representative Kong introduced his friend, Cheryl Toyofuku.

At 12:24 o'clock p.m., the Chair declared a recess subject to the call of the Chair.

The House of Representatives reconvened at 12:35 o'clock p.m.

## ORDER OF THE DAY

## REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

Representative Lowen, for the Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection, presented a report (Stand. Com. Rep. No. 6) recommending that H.B. No. 560, as amended in HD 1, pass Second Reading and be referred to the Committee on Lower & Higher Education.

On motion by Representative Belatti, seconded by Representative Morikawa and carried, the report of the Committee was adopted and H.B. No. 560, HD 1, entitled: "A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO ENERGY TRAINING," passed Second Reading and was referred to the Committee on Lower & Higher Education, with Representatives Thielen and Tokioka being excused.

Representative Lowen, for the Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection, presented a report (Stand. Com. Rep. No. 7) recommending that H.B. No. 562, as amended in HD 1, pass Second Reading and be referred to the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce.

On motion by Representative Belatti, seconded by Representative Morikawa and carried, the report of the Committee was adopted and H.B. No. 562, HD 1, entitled: "A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO CONSTRUCTION WASTE," passed Second Reading and was referred to the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce, with Representatives Thielen and Tokioka being excused.

Representative Lowen, for the Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection, presented a report (Stand. Com. Rep. No. 8) recommending that H.B. No. 563, as amended in HD 1, pass Second Reading and be referred to the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce.

Representative Belatti moved that the report of the Committee be adopted, and that H.B. No. 563, HD 1 pass Second Reading and be referred to the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce, seconded by Representative Morikawa.

Representative McDermott rose to speak in support of the measure with reservations, stating:

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have reservations on this. I certainly support the intent of clean energy. Mr. Speaker, out there, there is a, literally, a mountain of coal ash out at Campbell Industrial Park. It's huge. And my concern is, moving forward, if there is a technology developed that can get some of the residual energy still in that coal ash out of that coal ash, where we can reuse it, if you will, recycle the coal ash, technology that I may not be aware of or one that already exists, it just may be prohibitively expensive at this time, that the only alternative they're left with is to bury that. So, that is why my reservation, sir. Thank you."

Representative Har rose to speak in support of the measure with reservations, stating:

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Please have the Clerk note my reservations. Brief comments, please. Just very briefly, my concerns really just are raised from the testimony that was submitted by AES as well as Hawaiian Electric. I want to be very carefully in support of the intent of this measure, but the fact of the matter is, is that there has to be some flexibility with respect to the power purchase agreement, and that's all that the parties were asking for. And for those reasons, I stand with reservations. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Representative Okimoto rose and asked that the Clerk record an aye vote with reservations for her, and the Chair "so ordered."

Representative Kong rose and asked that the Clerk record an aye vote with reservations for him, and the Chair "so ordered."

Representative Ward rose to speak in support of the measure with reservations, stating:

"Mr. Speaker, reservations, brief comment. Mr. Speaker, your minority is very concerned about the rising cost of living and how we seem to be having a very difficult time checking it at all. This is a cost of living increase. If we take coal out, we take away the cheapest source of electricity in the State of Hawaii.

"I don't think electric bills should increase, which this bill will cause. And plus, we should be tolerating the ambiguity of, we don't know what's going to take place technologically between now and the next two to three to five years. 5G is coming, other, *et cetera, et cetera*. The point is, I think we should be flexible about the future and not predestinate to be something that says, you will not have the cheapest electricity available for the people of Hawaii. I think that's unfair and the cost of living is choking and we're exporting people already. This complicates that. Therefore, with reservations, borderline no. Thank you."

Representative Lowen rose to speak in support of the measure, stating:

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to point out that our one remaining coal plant in Hawaii is already due to be phased out by the end of 2022. The measure that we're discussing is, in certain ways, a feel-good measure just to affirm our clean energy goals and certainly doesn't affect anyone's cost of living in any way or jobs. Thank you. In support."

Representative Ward rose to respond, stating:

"Mr. Speaker, brief rebuttal. Mr. Speaker, there is such a thing as renewal of contracts. When contracts expire, they can be renewed and therefore we can keep the cost of electricity stable as it is."

Representative Wildberger rose to speak in support of the measure, stating:

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to show my support for this bill. Maui had a coal plant and it stopped producing two years ago and there were no changes in our electricity costs as a result of that changing. We were able to replace that power plant with renewables. Thank you."

Representative Cabanilla Arakawa rose and asked that the Clerk record an aye vote with reservations for her, and the Chair "so ordered."

Representative DeCoite rose to speak in support of the measure with reservations, stating:

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Reservations, brief comment. Molokai has the highest electric in the nation. The phasing out of those coal companies has actually skyrocketed ours even higher on that island. Not to mention, with the lockdown of the grid and renewables, it has made it worse for those neighbors that cannot afford to put in renewables. So, with that, reservations, please."

Representative Say rose and asked that the Clerk record an aye vote with reservations for him, and the Chair "so ordered."

The motion was put to vote by the Chair and carried, and the report of the Committee was adopted and H.B. No. 563, HD 1, entitled: "A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO A COAL-FREE HAWAII," passed Second Reading and was referred to the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce, with Representatives Cabanilla Arakawa, DeCoite, Har, Kong, McDermott, Okimoto, Say and Ward voting aye with reservations, and with Representatives Thielen and Tokioka being excused.

### SUSPENSION OF RULES

On motion by Representative Morikawa, seconded by Representative Matsumoto and carried, the rules were suspended for the purpose of considering a certain House Bill for Third Reading by consent calendar. (Representatives Thielen and Tokioka were excused.)

### THIRD READING

#### H.B. No. 2, HD 1:

Representative Belatti moved that H.B. No. 2, HD 1 pass Third Reading, seconded by Representative Morikawa.

Representative Luke rose to speak in support of the measure, stating:

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of House Bill 2, House Draft 1. Mr. Speaker, if you'll allow me some time to introduce my hard-working staff at this point. So, the reason why I am introducing both the budget and research section today is because the Finance Committee, with your permission, have taken up a new budget approach and it will take a lot of coordination between budget and research.

"So, with that, with the budget section, if we could just hold our applause until everyone is introduced. Budget, we have Alyssa Hayamoto, when I call your name if you can stand, and Alyssa is a returnee, so we are happy to have her, Andrew Howard, Michael Smith, Tabrina Webb-Hayes. And our new addition to our permanent staff, Aldric Ulep, who was a former Finance staff, Riley Fujisaki, Julie Yang, and leading this group is Albert Vargas, head of the budget section.

"For our research section we have Lily Zheng, Harrison Oshiro, Candace Okouchi, Alexis Van Pernis and Stacey Tagala. And leading the research section is Randall Hiyoto.

"And we also have the front office, Gayzeljoy Alonzo, Natassja Arakaki, and my OM is Suhyeon Burns.

"And we also have the hard-working bill section, they compile all the testimony for all the members, and that is Sean Cummings, Joy Nakashima and Jo Hamasaki, who is hiding somewhere, I can't see. And then of course, we have Nandana Kalupahana, who is also hiding somewhere, oh there you are. If we could give a round of applause to these individuals. Thank you, you can be seated. And these are the individuals you will see in your committee hearings, and these are individuals that committee chairs and vice chairs will interface with throughout session.

"So, Mr. Speaker, the 1970 Legislature had a vision. They wanted a periodic revision of state programs and an evaluation of alternatives for a more efficient and effective use of state resources. This was the basis for performance-based budgeting. They felt so strongly about this approach, that it was codified into law. Today, 50 years later, we are fulfilling that vision.

"The budget approach challenges the departments to reexamine state programs and address the needs of its clients, the taxpayers. Vice Chair correctly describes this approach as aligning the programs with the funding. I want to thank the subject matter chairs for taking on this difficult role to bring accountability for our taxpayers.

"I'd like to highlight some of the work which the chairs will undertake this year. All of the programs within DCCA are fully funded by fees, as you know, and so with that, we always look at DCCA as something that it's run on its own. But because of this new budget approach, our Intrastate Commerce Committee Chair plans to align the various fees assessed to the services offered at DCCA, and this is going to be done for the first time.

"The Office of Energy has been heavily criticized by the Auditor's Office for its lack of vision in energy policies. As you know, the Legislature has taken a very aggressive and progressive approach to energy policies. So, the Energy & Environmental Protection Committee Chair will reassess the role of the office in light of the Legislature's role."

Representative Cullen rose to yield his time, and the Chair "so ordered."

Representative Luke continued, stating:

"The Agriculture Committee will examine the accountability of ADC, and that is why the budget of ADC is not in House Bill 2, and it will be in another bill.

"Health and Human Services Chairs will evaluate whether the Office of Aging is delivering the services effectively to our *kūpuna*. So that's another section that is not in House Bill 2, House Draft 1, and will be in another bill.

"In addition to all the ones that we have mentioned, we have numerous number of program IDs that have been eliminated from House Bill 2 and will be floated in different bill forms through the subject matter committees for further vetting.

"With these examples, I want to thank the members of Finance, because this is a new concept, and this is something that we can all undertake together. And I want to thank the subject matter chairs and the committee members, and especially you, Mr. Speaker, and the other Speaker who is sitting down, for allowing the House to fulfill the 1970 vision for performance-based budgeting. Thank you for taking this journey with us. Thank you."

Representative Ward rose to speak in support of the measure, stating:

"In support with semi-brief comments, Mr. Speaker. In my opening speech, I commended the Chair of Finance for adopting this process, performance-based budgeting, zero-based budgeting. It promotes efficiency, it promotes accountability, and I think we're going to get accomplishments through this, Mr. Speaker.

"It's revolutionary, quite frankly. I compare it to the revolution that American Professor Deming did to the Japanese auto industry. The American version of it was, workers are lazy. Deming went to Japan and he said, no, it's the structure that's incorrect in the American auto industry. And I would think that what the Chair is promoting is that the structure of the way that we've kept the executive accountable has been faulty, Mr. Speaker. So, this should overcome that, the processes should be much smoother. The difficulty is going to be the enforcement and really getting the numbers of how much people accomplish with the amount of money that we've given the departments.

"I know, having been on Finance, and I do dearly miss it, I know there was a cat-and-mouse always played between the departments and the Finance Committee. Fortunately, there is a lot of intelligentsia in the staff

that were introduced. But it's that cat-and-mouse game that probably should be diminished, if not totally put aside and we should be making better use of our funds.

"I had forgotten, however, that it was 50 years, Mr. Speaker, in trying to do this. So, it's about time, we've come a long way, baby. And I hope that we do this and the Senate will agree. Because if the Senate doesn't agree with it, that's going to be the demise of what otherwise is probably one of the most innovative things that this body has taken since statehood. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Representative Cullen rose in support of the measure and asked that his written remarks be inserted in the Journal, and the Chair "so ordered."

Representative Cullen's written remarks are as follows:

"Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support on House Bill 2, House Draft 1, Relating to the State Budget.

"I would first like to thank the Chair of Finance for having the courage and the trust in the subject matter chairs to work on zero-based/performance-based budgeting. The House Chair of Finance mentioned earlier the history about this budget process. This process allows the subject matter committee chairs the opportunity to provide more insight and transparency, through the state budget, to the public as it is vetted through its own subject matter. We understand that this will take time and consistency, but we need to start somewhere.

"I would like to thank the Finance staff for their patience and all their hard work in getting the budget done in a timely manner. As this becomes common practice within the House of Representatives, it creates an environment that will be more accountable to our taxpayers.

"For these reasons, Mr. Speaker, I stand in full support of House Bill 2, House Draft 1, Relating to the State Budget."

Representative Say rose to speak in support of the measure with reservations, stating:

"Mr. Speaker, with strong reservations. The budget that is before this House is a budget that 51 members may not know what it is. Is this the House position that we are taking? Realizing this is Third Reading, it is going to go over to the Senate. This is the first time in my career that within 10 days the House budget has been adopted without a thorough analysis by the subject committee chairs and their respective committee members.

"I've asked some of our chairs that I sit on if I could have a copy of the budget worksheets that was presented by Finance Committee and the BJ tables. The exercise would be in futility because a lot of the subject committee chairs may not know what the process is in addressing the respective departments, the ASOs, administrative services officers, or their budget analysts. Your committee clerks, Mr. Speaker, will be those individuals that will be shredding those respective programs within the respective committees.

"Department of Agriculture, nine programs. Nine programs which is 0.2% of our state budget. That's very simple. I think the Chair of Finance is absolutely correct, the two largest departments that are specially funded is the Department of Transportation, highways, airports and harbors, and the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs, where we address it through fees.

"Mr. Speaker, I don't know why we have a rush, where we can vet it publicly and openly what is the House Draft 1. If the intent is to go zero-based budgeting, I can respect that. But have any of the members of this House reviewed the variance reports that are tied to the budget? What are variance reports? What is PPB, for the freshmen and sophomores? Planning, programing, budgeting. All I'm saying, I don't know why I should be supporting this measure not knowing what the position of the House of Representatives is on a \$14.4 billion budget.

"I put my faith and trust in the leadership, in the Finance Chair, but when will we see the results of what it will take to balance our budget, also? Those

members who have eight to ten years or more can understand that. I'm very sorry for those members who were not here when we had the great recession. The exercise we had to go through in cutting the budget in order to not increase the general excise tax or the pension tax. Let's be honest with ourselves. Subject committee chairs, it's your responsibility to share that particular knowledge and information and financing and dollar amounts that are used by your committee structure that you folks have.

"And in closing, I'd be happy to see what the Judiciary budget will be, if it's zero-based, and what the Judiciary's request is for the members of the House who do not sit on the Judiciary Committee. This is the kind of concerns I have about how this process is being done. How many of you know what you are adopting today?"

Representative Belatti rose to a point of order, stating:

"Point of order, Mr. Speaker. The speaker should be addressing the Speaker, thank you."

Representative Say continued, stating:

"Well, Mr. Speaker, I'll close at this point in time. But I just forewarn the members of this House to be educated on your particular programs that your subject committees and understand that, always understand that you are elected by the people that you represent and the district that you represent. So, thank you very much for just giving me the opportunity."

At 12:54 o'clock p.m., Representative Say requested a recess and the Chair declared a recess subject to the call of the Chair.

The House of Representatives reconvened at 12:56 o'clock p.m.

Representative Luke rose to respond, stating:

"Mr. Speaker, again in support. And my apologies to the Speaker Emeritus because, clearly, he did not understand what we were trying to do. And I think what we want to make sure is that everybody understand and that's why we explained time and time again what we are trying to do and, clearly, it seems like the Speaker Emeritus did not completely understand what the objective was.

"So what we're passing today, so let me just take my time to explain this. So what we're passing today in House Bill 2 is the base budget from last year. And that's why we need a framework where we can start. So it is the base budget from last year which everyone voted on and supported. It has no new additions, and that's why it is a zero-based, performance-based budget. And any new addition, and if the chairs want to look at the base budget, as the Ag Chair wants to look at ADC and look at not just the additions but the whole budget and how the function of ADC is doing, the Ag Chair has graciously taken ADC out of this base budget and put it into another bill so that he and the Ag Committee can have a thorough vetting of ADC.

"Similar to EEP Chair, the EEP Chair has taken the Office of Energy, which is not in this House Bill 2, so that the Energy Chair can take a look at what the Energy Office has done in the past and why are they not aligning the goals of the State with the Legislature's policy. And the Health Chair has taken a lot of their subject matter out of this bill, so that they can do zero-base and performance-base. And in addition to that, maybe I should elaborate a little bit more. I was going to summarize, but okay, too bad for you guys, because I'm going to now take my time.

"Education Chair is taking the entire education budget and the University of Hawaii budget out of House Bill 2 so that he can thoroughly vet the education budget. Because, as you know, the big issue during this past election was the accountability of DOE."

Representative Ichiyama rose to yield her time, and the Chair "so ordered."

Representative Luke continued, stating:

"And through this zero-based, performance-based budgeting process, he will not only be looking at the additional requests submitted by the executive or by the advocacy groups, he will be looking at how that relates to all the budget items in the base. And the Vice Chair is looking at, under DAGS you have a surplus properties section, you have lease division section, what are all these sections?

"So it's time that we as the Legislature understand what these different agencies do and how they relate to how we function as government. Water Land Chair is taking a look at various services within DLNR to look at if they are providing the services and programs that effectively serve our taxpayers.

"I actually didn't mention DBEDT, and I wanted to mention DBEDT. DBEDT is our working engine, where they have to bring economic development. And it's very important that we need to figure out if every agency in DBEDT is creating the type of economic opportunities that we have. So, thank you to Economic Development Chair, who has taken out from this House Bill 2 various agencies that serve the public and could look at what is aerospace and what is technology development corporation and what is international student. What are they doing and how much activity are they producing?

"That's why I am very thankful, and I have a lot of trust in the subject matter chairs that they are willing to vet this. And as I said before, this is a difficult process, and it's not going to be something that we can just do this year. I'm assuming there is going to be a lot of negotiations with the executive, there's going to be a lot of work between now and the end of session with the Senate and the committee members, but this is our job.

"We cannot just continue to look at the various add-ons by the executive and vet those things. We have not done our job for many, many years, which is to be accountable for the entire \$14 billion budget. And that's why I am super thankful for all the subject matter chairs who are willing to look at the base budget. And that is what we're doing today. Thank you."

Representative Ward rose to respond, stating:

"Second time, in support. I want to thank the good Chair of Finance for elucidating and educating the process because it is such new territory. That is very helpful. I think, maybe, and assumption is the lost form of information. I think the assumption was by the previous speaker, Speaker Emeritus, is that everything is locked in stone and therefore whatever the chairs is going to be doing is non-substantive. But in effect, there's going to be a lot of amendments. And my assumption is, if the Finance Chair would take a question, there's going to be amendments and there's going to be a give and take, and the budget is not going to be locked in as it was last year."

At 1:02 o'clock p.m., Representative Belatti requested a recess and the Chair declared a recess subject to the call of the Chair.

The House of Representatives reconvened at 1:03 o'clock p.m.

Representative Say rose to respond, stating:

"Mr. Speaker, my second time, please. With strong reservations, once more. I can appreciate what the Chair of Finance is stating for the record on the members of the House, but how will the members of the House realize what is the Governor's proposal, if it's based on a zero-base budget? Mr. Speaker, how will the members know, do all of you know what the Governor's proposals are in the State of the State? In what program ID? But is it recorded in the base budget? No, it's not recorded in the base budget, it's on top of the base budget. It is what the Governor wishes to propose to the Legislature to decide.

"Are there vehicles for those particular measures on behalf of the Governor of the State of Hawaii in working collaboratively, Mr. Speaker? I don't know. I just don't know. But I hope all of you take the responsibility seriously about what the budget is all about. And how many of you have looked at the two-year biennium budget and all the programs that he has

proposed to do, that we are supposed to be working together? And now we have a budget that does not even address that. That is the concern I have. On behalf of the people of the State of Hawaii, it's not just one particular district or two, it's all.

"So, all I'm saying to you, I truly hope as we move through the session, that for the first cross, this particular measure has already crossed. And second cross when it comes back from the Senate as a SD 1, where will the discussion take place? On the individual bills, Mr. Speaker? Members of the House, you have sole responsibility to really understand what the budget is, the process we are going through. And I just wish that we can work together, collaboratively, openly and honestly with the executive branch. Thank you."

Representative Lee rose to speak in support of the measure, stating:

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support. My thanks to the Finance Chair, the Finance Committee, and all the other chairs of the various committees for their work in making this process that, while new, is going to open up transparency in state government.

"And I especially want to add that, as the Chair of the Judiciary Committee, I look forward to working on the Judiciary budget itself. And I think I would invite my good colleague from Palolo, at the appropriate time, to be a part of that process. Because we're going to be hearing multiple bills that not only span the Judiciary budget, but also, we've pulled out of the base budget the Attorney General's Office, the Sheriff's Department, the Campaign Spending Commission, the Office of Elections, and many other places for a deep dive. To look in for the first time into what those functions are, how money is being spent, and ultimately how we can create more efficient services while saving taxpayers money.

"I'm going to look at the base as well as the adds from the Governor in separate budget bills, which is something I think that, especially for new members here, I'm really excited about. Because I think back to my days back in 2009 when I was first elected in my very first year, and we spent hours and hours and hours in the Finance Committee till 4 a.m., 4:30 a.m., depending on the day, while important decisions on the State's finances and its budget were being made in the dead of the night. And that doesn't serve transparency.

"And I really want to give, I think, credit to all the members here, including those that have come before, for stepping forward to try and improve that process. To improve public transparency and do it in the light of day, where each of us not only can see what's in the budget, but also, we invite the public to comment and testify on these measures, just as we do with any bill. I think it's something that is, while a great experiment, is necessary, and I look forward to working with everyone on it. Thank you very much."

Representative San Buenaventura rose to speak in support of the measure, stating:

"I stand also in strong support. I have never served in the Finance Committee, and I strongly support the transparency that this new approach is going to have, that the subject matter witnesses are going to be there and forefront to question the add-ons that the Governor may want on the bills, as well as the department heads. And for those reasons, because it will allow for a more robust discussion, I strongly support HB 2. Thank you."

The motion was put to vote by the Chair and carried, and H.B. No. 2, HD 1, entitled: "A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO THE STATE BUDGET," passed Third Reading by a vote of 49 ayes, with Representative Say voting aye with reservations, and with Representatives Thielen and Tokioka being excused.

At 1:09 o'clock p.m., the Chair noted that the following bill passed Third Reading:

H.B. No. 2, HD 1

**INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS**

By unanimous consent, the following resolutions (H.R. Nos. 9 and 10) and concurrent resolutions (H.C.R. Nos. 11 and 12) were referred to Printing and further action was deferred:

H.R. No. 9, entitled: "HOUSE RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE STATE AUDITOR TO PERFORM AN AUDIT ON THE USE OF GEOGRAPHIC EXCEPTIONS WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, INCLUDING REPORTING ON EQUITY IMPLICATIONS BASED ON THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE AUDIT," was jointly offered by Representatives Woodson, Brower, B. Kobayashi, Mizuno, Morikawa, Nakashima, Saiki and Yamashita.

H.R. No. 10, entitled: "HOUSE RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE STATE AUDITOR TO PERFORM AN AUDIT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION'S PROGRESS TOWARD AN ALTERNATIVE CERTIFICATION PROGRAM FOR SCHOOL LEADERS," was jointly offered by Representatives Woodson, Brower, Har, B. Kobayashi, Mizuno, Morikawa, Nakashima, Saiki and Yamashita.

H.C.R. No. 11, entitled: "HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE STATE AUDITOR TO PERFORM AN AUDIT ON THE USE OF GEOGRAPHIC EXCEPTIONS WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, INCLUDING REPORTING ON EQUITY IMPLICATIONS BASED ON THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE AUDIT," was jointly offered by Representatives Woodson, Har, B. Kobayashi, Mizuno, Morikawa, Nakashima, Saiki and Yamashita.

H.C.R. No. 12, entitled: "HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE STATE AUDITOR TO PERFORM AN AUDIT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION'S PROGRESS TOWARD AN ALTERNATIVE CERTIFICATION PROGRAM FOR SCHOOL LEADERS," was jointly offered by Representatives Woodson, B. Kobayashi, Mizuno, Morikawa, Nakashima, Saiki and Yamashita.

- 1298 Committee on Judiciary, then to the Committee on Finance
- 1379 Committee on Judiciary, then to the Committee on Finance
- 1403 Committee on Housing, then to the Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection, then to the Committee on Finance
- 1409 Committee on Economic Development & Business, then to the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce, then to the Committee on Finance
- 1449 Jointly to the Committee on Human Services & Homelessness and the Committee on Health, then to the Committee on Finance
- 1462 Committee on Labor & Public Employment, then to the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce, then to the Committee on Finance
- 1485 Committee on Judiciary, then to the Committee on Finance
- 1583 Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection, then to the Committee on Lower & Higher Education, then to the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce

**ADJOURNMENT**

At 1:10 o'clock p.m., on motion by Representative Morikawa, seconded by Representative Matsumoto and carried, the House of Representatives adjourned until 12:00 o'clock noon tomorrow, Friday, February 1, 2019. (Representatives Thielen and Tokioka were excused.)

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

**COMMITTEE REASSIGNMENTS**

The following measures were re-referred to committee by the Speaker:

<u>H.B. Nos.</u>	<u>Re-referred to:</u>
64	Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce, then to the Committee on Finance
402	Committee on Water, Land, & Hawaiian Affairs, then to the Committee on Finance
449	Jointly to the Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection and the Committee on Economic Development & Business, then to the Committee on Finance
527	Committee on Health, then to the Committee on Finance
712	Committee on Judiciary
846	Jointly to the Committee on Tourism & International Affairs and the Committee on Economic Development & Business, then to the Committee on Finance
857	Committee on Transportation, then to the Committee on Judiciary
952	Committee on Judiciary, then to the Committee on Finance
1154	Committee on Intrastate Commerce, then to the Committee on Labor & Public Employment, then to the Committee on Finance