SYLVIA LUKE LT. GOVERNOR

KRISTEN M.R. SAKAMOTO

GARY S. SUGANUMA

DIRECTOR

DEPUTY DIRECTOR



STATE OF HAWAI'I **DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION**

Ka 'Oihana 'Auhau P.O. BOX 259 HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96809 PHONE NO: (808) 587-1540 FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

TESTIMONY OF GARY S. SUGANUMA, DIRECTOR OF TAXATION

TESTIMONY ON THE FOLLOWING MEASURE:

S.B. No. 924, S.D.2, H.D.1, Relating to Taxation

BEFORE THE:

House Committee on Economic Development

DATE: Wednesday, March 22, 2023

TIME: 9:30 a.m.

State Capitol, Room 423 LOCATION:

Chair Holt, Vice-Chair Lamosao, and Members of the Committee:

The Department of Taxation ("Department") offers the following comments regarding S.B. 924, S.D.2, H.D.1, for your consideration.

Section 2 of S.B. 924, S.D.2, H.D.1, seeks to eliminate the home mortgage deduction for second homes by amending section 235-2.4, Hawaii Revised Statutes, to exempt Hawaii from conforming to Internal Revenue Code (IRC) sections 163(h)(4)(A)(i)(II) and 163(h)(4)(A)(ii)(II). Section 3 of the bill requires the Department of Budget and Finance, in consultation with the Department of Taxation, to submit a report to the Legislature on the administration of the Act. The bill has a defective effective date of June 30, 3000, provided that section 2 of the bill applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2022.

The Department notes that it is able to implement section 2 of this bill by the current effective date.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on this measure.

JOSH GREEN, M.D. GOVERNOR

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

STATE OF HAWAI'I

LUIS P. SALAVERIA DIRECTOR

SABRINA NASIR

DEPUTY DIRECTOR

ADMINISTRATIVE AND RESEARCH OFFICE BUDGET, PROGRAM PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT DIVISION FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION DIVISION

OFFICE OF FEDERAL AWARDS MANAGEMENT

EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM HAWAI'I EMPLOYER-UNION HEALTH BENEFITS TRUST FUND

DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE Ka 'Oihana Mālama Mo'ohelu a Kālā P.O. BOX 150 HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96810-0150

WRITTEN ONLY

TESTIMONY BY LUIS P. SALAVERIA DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ON SENATE BILL NO. 924, S.D. 2, H.D. 1

> March 22, 2023 9:30 a.m. Room 423 and Videoconference

RELATING TO TAXATION

The Department of Budget and Finance (B&F) offers comments on this bill.

Senate Bill No. 924, S.D. 2, H.D. 1, proposes to eliminate the home mortgage interest deduction for second homes for individual income tax purposes. It also requires B&F, in consultation with the Department of Taxation, to submit a report on administration of the Act to the Legislature for the 2024 through 2028 regular sessions.

B&F notes that there appears to be no need for the report under Section 3 of the bill. S.D. 1 had a provision that required the amount of state revenue gained by eliminating the deduction be deposited into the Rental Housing Revolving Fund. However, that requirement is eliminated in S.D. 2. Consequently, this is a straight tax law change that does not appear to warrant a report on its implementation for five years.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments.







March 22, 2023

The Honorable Daniel Holt, Chair

House Committee on Economic Development State Capitol, Conference Room 423 & Videoconference

RE: Senate Bill 924, SD2, HD1, Relating to Taxation

HEARING: Wednesday, March 22, 2023, at 9:30 a.m.

Aloha Chair Holt, Vice Chair Lamosao, and Members of the Committee:

My name is Lyndsey Garcia, Director of Advocacy, testifying on behalf of the Hawai'i Association of REALTORS® ("HAR"), the voice of real estate in Hawai'i and its over 11,000 members. HAR **opposes** Senate Bill 924, SD2, HD1, which eliminates the home mortgage interest deduction for second homes under Hawaii income tax law. Effective 6/30/3000.

Introduced in 1913, the Mortgage Interest Deduction (MID) on state and federal income taxes allows homeowners who itemize deductions to deduct mortgage interest for primary and second homes, as well as interest paid on home equity debt. For home mortgage interest, up to \$750,000 of debt can be deducted (or \$1 million for mortgages taken out before December 16, 2017).

The MID promotes the American Dream of homeownership and provides financial security to homeowners. This deduction is vital for maintaining healthy and stable housing markets, as it helps to make mortgage payments more affordable.

Eliminating the MID would negatively impact local families as out-of-state residents do not file income tax in Hawai'i. In today's real estate market, more homeowners are purchasing second homes to support their families, such as elderly parents or adult children who cannot afford their own homes. For this reason, the MID for second homes is important for individuals to invest in their retirement or support their families with Hawaii's high cost of living and housing.

Furthermore, it is unclear whether there would be a significant revenue gain from eliminating the MID for second homes in Hawai'i. Decoupling this deduction from the Internal Revenue Code could lead to unnecessary complexity and uncertainty.

For the foregoing reasons, Hawai'i Association of REALTORS® opposes this measure. Mahalo for the opportunity to testify.



LEGISLATIVE TAX BILL SERVICE

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

126 Queen Street, Suite 305

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT: INCOME, Disallows Home Mortgage Interest Deduction for Second Homes

BILL NUMBER: SB 924 HD 1

INTRODUCED BY: House Committee on Housing

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: Eliminates the home mortgage interest deduction for second homes under Hawai'i income tax law.

SYNOPSIS: Amends section 235-2.4, HRS, to eliminate the home mortgage interest deduction for second homes for Hawaii income tax purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 30, 3000; applying to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2022.

STAFF COMMENTS: Section 235-3(a), HRS, explains that it is the intent of the Income Tax Law, "in addition to the essential purpose of raising revenue, to conform the income tax law of the State as closely as may be with the Internal Revenue Code in order to simplify the filing of returns and minimize the taxpayer's burdens in complying with the income tax law. The rules and regulations, forms and procedures adopted and established under this chapter shall conform as nearly as possible, and unless there is good reason to the contrary, to the rules and regulations, forms and procedures adopted and established under the Internal Revenue Code."

This bill proposes to decouple from the Internal Revenue Code in disallowing a deduction allowable for federal purposes. The issue before this body is whether the incremental revenue raised by doing so justifies the added compliance costs and complexity.

As an example of this complexity, consider that Hawaii requires certain deduction limits including the so-called Pease limitation in IRC section 68. (The section 68 limitations operate for federal purposes, but at different thresholds; the State thresholds are those that were used by the IRS in calendar year 2009.) IRC section 68 reduces itemized deductions by 3% of the excess of the taxpayer's AGI over the threshold, up to 80% of the amount of itemized deductions otherwise allowable. For Hawaii income tax purposes, HRS section 235-2.4(c) provides that the threshold is \$166,800 for all taxpayers except married taxpayers filing separately, for which it is \$83,400. For higher income taxpayers, against whom this provision is probably directed, the Pease limitation will be considerable. Such taxpayers will probably claiming other itemized deductions as well, so there will be issues arising as to which deductions were limited by the Pease provision and to what extent. Further, it is highly uncertain whether there would be significant revenue gain from this provision because the mortgage interest deduction may be reduced or denied because of the Pease limitation.

Digested: 3/20/2023

SB-924-HD-1

Submitted on: 3/20/2023 10:03:49 AM

Testimony for ECD on 3/22/2023 9:30:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Ellen Godbey Carson	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

While I write as an individual, I have served as President and director of Institute for Human Services, and advocate for Faith Action for Community Equity Housing Now.

We are in a dire crisis of affordable housing and homelessness. The existing second mortgage deduction creates a tax policy that exascerbates our existing problems rather than helping us. We need more incentives to help residents purchase their first home or have a longterm rental in Hawaii, rather than encouraging ownership of a second home. Each deduction provided by the state reduces revenues available to address community needs.

Removing the deduction of mortgage interest for a second home, will help reduce the number of vacant homes (vacation rentals, vacation homes, etc) in our state while generating revenues for the State to expand access to affordable housing.

Thank you for considering my testimony.

Ellen Godbey Carson

<u>SB-924-HD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/20/2023 2:49:01 PM

Testimony for ECD on 3/22/2023 9:30:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Will Caron	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Please support SB924 SD2 HD1.

TO: Members of the Committee on Economic Development

FROM: Natalie Iwasa, CPA, CFE

808-395-3233

HEARING: 9 a.m. Wednesday, March 22, 2023

SUBJECT: SB 924, SD2, HD1 - Eliminates Home Mortgage Interest Deduction for Second

Homes - **OPPOSED**

Aloha Chair Holt and Committee Members,

Thank you for allowing the opportunity to provide testimony on SB 924, SD2, HD1 which would remove the deduction for mortgage interest on second homes on individual returns.

There is no guarantee that Anyone fortunate enough to have a second home likely did so with the knowledge that the mortgage interest would be deductible and therefore relies on the deduction. Bills such as this often have unintended consequences.

Please vote "no" on SB 924, SD2, HD1.