# STATE OF HAWAI'I OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

# Testimony of the Office of the Public Defender, State of Hawai'i to the House Committee on Health, Human Services & Homelessness

February 11, 2021

H.B. No. 778: RELATING TO HEALTH

Chair Yamane, Vice Chair Tam, and Members of the Committee:

The Office of the Public Defender respectfully opposes H.B. No. 778, in particular the phrase, "*the court shall consider statements submitted by the parents of the person, regardless of the age of the person.*" The phrase is found under Section 2 (Involuntary hospitalization criteria),; and repeated in Section 3 (Criteria for assisted community treatment) and Section 5 (Criteria for issuance of court or administrative order for treatment over the patient's objection).

The above phrase suggests that the parents of the person need not appear at the hearing to testify on the issue of whether the person is imminently dangerous to self or others. Indeed, the phrase suggests that the parents' written statements in lieu of live testimony is acceptable and thus admissible.

First, the Hawai'i Rules of Evidence (HRE) applies to all courts of the State of Hawai'i and generally to all civil and criminal proceedings. *See* HRE Rule 1101. Out-of-court statements prepared by parents are hearsay and therefore not admissible unless a hearsay exception applies. *See* HRE Rules 801, 802. Therefore, the courts cannot consider written statements submitted by the parents.

Moreover, even if there is an exception to the hearsay rule to admit the statements submitted by the parents, any out-of-court statements relating to the dangerousness of the person will likely be deemed as testimonial. Hence, the statements will only be admissible if the parents are unavailable and the person had the prior opportunity to cross-examine the parents. The Hawai'i Supreme Court in <u>State v. Fields</u>, 115 Hawai'i 503, 565, 168 P.3d 955, 1017 (2007), clearly held,

Under Hawai'i's confrontation clause, if an out-of-court statement is testimonial, it is subject to the [Crawford v. Washington, 541 U.S. 36, 124 S.Ct. 1354, 158 L.Ed.2d 177 (2004)] analysis, which mandates that

(1) the witness be "unavailable," and (2) the accused had a prior opportunity for cross-examination.

Therefore, if the parents are not available to testify at the hearing, any attempt to introduce their statements on the issue of whether the person is imminently dangerous to self or others will be deemed inadmissible as a violation of the Hawai'i Constitution.

The proposed measure simply undermines the Hawai'i Rules of Evidence and the purpose of the Confrontation Clause. Consideration of the statement submitted by the parents will allow unfettered narrative statements to be received in evidence without the person having the opportunity to test the credibility and veracity of the parents. The trier of fact will not be able to assess the credibility of the parents by observing their behavior. For these reasons, we strongly opposed H.B. No. 778.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this measure.

•

LATE \*Testimony submitted late may not be considered by the Committee for decision making purposes.

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR OF HAWAII



ELIZABETH A. CHAR, M.D. DIRECTOR OF HEALTH

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH P. O. Box 3378 Honolulu, HI 96801-3378 doh.testimony@doh.hawaii.gov

# Testimony COMMENTING on H.B. 778 RELATING TO HEALTH

REPRESENATIVE RYAN I. YAMANE, CHAIR HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH, HUMAN SERVICES, & HOMELESSNESS

Hearing Date: 2/11/2021

Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.

Department Position: The Department of Health ("Department") supports the intent of this
 measure, offers comments and proposes amendments.

3 **Department Testimony:** The subject matter of this measure intersects with the scope of the 4 Department's Behavioral Health Administration (BHA) whose statutory mandate is to assure a 5 comprehensive statewide behavioral health care system by leveraging and coordinating public, 6 private and community resources. Through the BHA, the Department is committed to carrying 7 out this mandate by reducing silos, ensuring behavioral health care is readily accessible, and 8 person-centered.

9 The Department is committed to reducing the incidence of mental health disorders and 10 substance use, and to treating and rehabilitating individuals who have been diagnosed with and 11 are experiencing symptoms of mental illness in the least restrictive and most therapeutic 12 environment possible. There may be situations where an individual manifests behaviors that 13 make him or her a danger to self and/or others. During these times, mental health 14 professionals intervene and the court may subsequently need to make a determination 15 regarding disposition.

16 The Department recognizes the importance of considering all relevant information 17 when determining the level of risk a person may pose to him/herself and/or to others in civil matters. Information considered includes both historical information, as available, clinical
assessments and evaluations, as well as information provided by others who may have had
recent interactions with the individual.

The Department acknowledges that this bill expressly excludes, and the requirement to take into consideration input from parents does not apply, if the person is a defendant in a criminal trial, Hawaii Revised Statutes Chapter 704 proceeding. For Chapter 704 proceedings, forensic examiners employed by the state shall continue to assess risk by taking into consideration all relevant information that pertains to answering the court's question(s).

9 Additionally, the Department recognizes that, "statements submitted by parents" not
10 present, in-person, to offer testimony at a dangerousness hearing may violate present Hawaii
11 Rules of Evidence.

12

#### 13 **Offered Amendments:**

14 We suggest this bill and the definition of "family member" be expanded to include:

"A family member may include a spouse, child, grandparent, parent, or person with whom the
 person has close affiliation regardless if the person resides in the same household."

In line with comments shared in our testimony above, and with the expanded definitionof "family member", we propose the following amendments for consideration.

19

20 Page 3, Line 4

21 <u>shall [may] consider statements submitted by the parents [family members] of the person,</u>

22 <u>regardless of the age of the person.</u>

1	Page 3, Line 3
2	shall [may] consider statements submitted by the parents [family members] of
3	
4	Page 5, Line 9
5	shall [may] consider statements submitted by the parents [family members] of the
6	
7	Page 7, Lines 9-10
8	(a)(3)(B), the court shall [may] consider statements submitted by the parents [family members]
9	of the person, regardless of the age of the person;
10	
11	Page 9, Lines 3-4
12	(a)(2), the court or administrative panel shall [may] consider statements submitted by the
13	parents [family members] of the person, regardless of
14	
15	Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.

**Fiscal Implications:** Undetermined.

HB-778 Submitted on: 2/10/2021 8:55:17 AM Testimony for HHH on 2/11/2021 9:30:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Rosie F Davis	Maui County AHEC	Support	No

Comments:

Aloha, we are in support of HB 778

## <u>HB-778</u> Submitted on: 2/8/2021 8:17:41 PM Testimony for HHH on 2/11/2021 9:30:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Louis Erteschik	Hawaii Disability Rights Center	Comments	No

# Comments:

This seems like a reasonable measure. We know that many families are frustrated by their inability to obtain mental health treatment for their loved ones, including children. Often, those children are over the age of 18 and so whatever parental rights may otherwise exist are not appliable typically . So, allowing them to file the petitions as set forth herein is a good idea.

Additionally, if the Court is to make a finding as to the individual's "dangerousness" it makes sense to consider evidence that may be probative. Clearly, input from a parent falls into that category. While it will not be dispositive, it nonetheless will provide useful guidance to the Court as it makes its decision.



# HB778 Involuntary Commitment for Substance Abuse and Mental Illness

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH, HUMAN SERVICES & HOMELESSNESS: Rep Ryan Yamane, Chair; Rep. Adrian Tam, Vice Chair

## Thursday, Feb.11<sup>,</sup> 2021: 9:30 am: Videoconference

# Hina Mauka Supports HB778:

GOOD MORNING CHAIR, VICE CHAIR AND DISTINGUISHED COMMITTEE MEMBERS. My name is Alan Johnson. I am the CEO of Hina Mauka, providing services for substance use disorder and mental health including programs for prevention, adult addiction treatment, adolescent treatment, case management, and withdrawal management. Helping people on Oahu and Kauai..



For individuals with severe substance use disorder, several states are now implementing involuntary commitment laws for the first time or proposing changes to existing laws that would remove barriers to make commitment less difficult.

# The substance abuse treatment gap between the need and access stems from <mark>stigma</mark>, lack of available <u>effective</u> treatment and the <mark>inability of some individuals to seek</mark> treatment voluntarily.<sup>1</sup>

- Relatives and loved ones of an individual with a substance use disorder often feel helpless and disempowered when that individual is unable, due to an impaired brain, to make the rational decision to undergo and complete addiction treatment.
- Situations can escalate to the point where relatives and loved ones feel unsafe or are afraid that the individual with the substance use disorder is at great risk for overdose and/or death.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hazelden Betty Ford Foundation: Involuntary Commitment for Substance Use Disorders: https://www.hazeldenbettyford.org/education/bcr/addiction-research/involuntary-commitment-edt-717

• Involuntary commitment laws for substance use disorder can be be a way to initiate the treatment these individuals need to avoid death and ultimately re-establish productive and healthy lives.

**Involuntary Commitment to 90 days.** Several states have changed the commitment to 90 days because a criticism of some current civil commitment laws is that the length of stay for individuals with a substance use disorder is insufficient. Several assert that effective treatment for severe substance use disorder must last at least 90 days.<sup>2</sup>

#### What Does it Take for Civil Commitment?

- 1. Casey's Law in Kentucky allows family members to exercise civil commitment if the disorder and risk have clearly grown severe and grave. It's allowed if the family can demonstrate a desperate situation such as after multiple overdoses and the loss of home, job, children, car, insurance, self-esteem and hope," Family members report "The only thing left to lose is their loved one's life. That is the right the family is trying to protect—their loved one's right to live."
- 2. Almost all states now allow a family member to petition the court to get an individual involuntarily committed to drug and alcohol addiction treatment. Most states allow a spouse, guardian, relative, medical professional or administrator of the treatment facility to petition the court for involuntary commitment. However, some states will allow a friend or any responsible person to petition, and in at least one state, police officers are allowed to do so.

What Treatment is Best. People with severe substance use disorder are often recommended residential treatment that can ultimately transition, or step down, to outpatient treatment and other lower levels of care. Such determinations are made by professionals based on criteria established by the American Society of Addiction Medicine.<sup>3</sup> Addiction is like other chronic illnesses in that the sooner it is recognized and the longer it is treated, the better the chances of recovery.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide testimony and are available for questions.

<sup>2</sup> National Institute on Drug Abuse. (2012). Principles of drug addiction treatment: A research-based guide. Rockville, MD: National Institutes of Health.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mee-Lee, D. E. (2013). The ASAM criteria: Treatment criteria for addictive, substance-related, and co-occurring conditions. Rockville, MD: American Society of Addiction Medicine.



- To: The Honorable Ryan I. Yamane, Chair The Honorable Adrian K. Tam, Vice Chair Members, House Committee on Health, Human Services, & Homelessness
- From: Sondra Leiggi-Brandon, Director, Behavioral Health Services, The Queen's Medical Center

Colette Masunaga, Director, Government Relations & External Affairs, The Queen's Health Systems

Date: February 11, 2021

Re: Comments on HB778: Relating to Health

The Queen's Health Systems (Queen's) is a nonprofit corporation that provides expanded health care capabilities to the people of Hawai'i and the Pacific Basin. Since the founding of the first Queen's hospital in 1859 by Queen Emma and King Kamehameha IV, it has been our mission to provide quality health care services in perpetuity for Native Hawaiians and all of the people of Hawai'i. Over the years, the organization has grown to four hospitals, and more than 1,500 affiliated physicians and providers statewide. As the preeminent health care system in Hawai'i, Queen's strives to provide superior patient care that is constantly advancing through education and research.

Queen's appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on HB778, relating to health. This bill would require courts and administrative panels, in certain proceedings regarding whether a person is dangerous to self or others, to consider the statements of the person's parents. It also clarifies that a parent who does not reside with a respondent is still a "family member" who may petition for the respondent's entrance into an outpatient treatment program for substance abuse. Queen's appreciates the intent of this measure, however, it is our understanding and experience that the collection and vetting of collateral information is already conducted by the provider. As such, the proposed language could be redundant and/or lead to further delays in a patient's case and care.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on this measure.

The mission of The Queen's Health Systems is to fulfill the intent of Queen Emma and King Kamehameha IV to provide in perpetuity quality health care services to improve the well-being of Native Hawaiians and all of the people of Hawai'i.

HB-778 Submitted on: 2/9/2021 12:04:43 PM Testimony for HHH on 2/11/2021 9:30:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Faith Tuipulotu	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

I support HB778.