

www.commoncause.org/hi

Hawaii Holding Power Accountable

Statement Before The SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:01 AM State Capitol, Conference Room 016

in consideration of SB 2006 RELATING TO RANKED CHOICE VOTING.

Chair RHOADS, Vice Chair KEOHOKALOLE, and Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee

Common Cause Hawaii supports SB 2006, which establishes ranked choice voting (RCV) for special federal elections and special elections of vacant county council seats.

Common Cause Hawaii is a nonprofit, nonpartisan, grassroots organization dedicated to reforming government and strengthening democracy through voting modernization efforts such as adopting RCV.

RCV is a simple electoral reform that ensures fair and efficient elections. In a traditional election, the candidate with the most votes wins, even if they do not receive a majority of the votes. This means voters often feel disengaged and are left to choose between the "lesser of two evils," or vote for the candidate they feel has the best chance of winning, rather than supporting their favorite candidates.

RCV promotes positive, inclusive and fair elections, which encourages a diversity of candidates.

With RCV, voters rank candidates from favorite to least favorite. On Election Night, first choice votes are counted to determine who voters like the best. If a candidate receives a majority of votes, they win. If no candidate receives a majority, the candidate with the fewest first-choice rankings is eliminated. If your favorite candidate is eliminated, your vote is instantly counted for your next choice. This repeats until one candidate reaches a majority and wins.

In RCV elections, you always get to vote for your favorite candidate, even if they do not have a good chance of winning. If your favorite candidate gets eliminated, then your vote immediately counts for your next choice. You can truly vote your conscience without worrying about wasting your vote. Ranking your 2nd, 3rd, and 4th choices will never hurt your favorite candidate. It simply amplifies your voice in the process.

Cities that have RCV elections have seen a steady increase in voter turnout. When voters feel their vote will matter, they turn out in greater numbers.

In RCV elections, candidates often need 2nd and 3rd choice votes to win a majority of the vote. As such, they will ask for your first choice vote, but if another candidate is your favorite, they will also ask for your second and third choices. Candidates are not likely to get your second or third choice vote if they have been engaging in negative "mudslinging" personal attacks against your favorite candidate.

RCV will require voter education to implement successfully. Common Cause Hawaii hopes that the Office of Elections and Clerks' Offices are provided with sufficient public education tools to implement RCV and will work cooperatively with the community to disseminate information about RCV.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of SB 2006, and Common Cause Hawaii respectfully urges the committee members to pass SB 2006 out of your Committee. If you have further questions of me, please contact me at sma@commoncause.org.

Very respectfully yours,

Sandy Ma Executive Director, Common Cause Hawaii

2



January 31 , 2020

TO: Chair Rhoads and Members of the Judiciary Committee

RE: SB 2006 Relating to Ranked Choice Voting

Support for hearing on February 4

Americans for Democratic Action is an organization founded in the 1950s by leading supporters of the New Deal and led by Patsy Mink in the 1970s. We are devoted to the promotion of progressive public policies.

We support SB 2006 as it would establish ranked choice voting for special federal elections and special elections of vacant county council seats. Without ranked choice voting, a candidate with a plurality of the vote may win even though the candidate is not the choice of the majority of voters. Ranked choice voting allows voters to be more effective and choosing their elected officials. This is especially true if there are three Democrats and one Republican in such a race. The Republican may win with a small percentage of the vote.

Support democracy; support this bill.

John Bickel President

<u>SB-2006</u> Submitted on: 2/2/2020 8:58:18 AM Testimony for JDC on 2/4/2020 10:01:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Tracy Ryan	Testifying for The Libertarian Party of Hawaii	Support	No

Comments:

This is a good step forward to allow voters greater ability to vote for candidates rather than against them. It is complicated though and implimentation and further reveiw should involve conversations with Hawaii's four political parties.





49 South Hotel Street, Room 314 | Honolulu, HI 96813 www.lwv-hawaii.com | 808.531.7448 | voters@lwv-hawaii.com | @LWVHawaii on Facebook

COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Tuesday, February 4, 2020, 10:01 a.m., Room 016 SB 2006 RELATING TO RANKED CHOICE VOTING

TESTIMONY

D. Piilani Kaopuiki, President, League of Women Voters of Hawaii

Chair Rhoads, Vice-Chair Keohokalole, and Committee Members:

Ranked Choice Voting is a topic of interest to the League. The League does not oppose Ranked Choice Voting, but we have declined to subject this topic to the rigorous program of study we undertake in order to form an official position. This year, we have instead focused our efforts on the urgent need to pass Automatic Voter Registration and provide voter education resources, particularly for Voting by Mail. These priorities are motivated by the League's goal of increasing voter turnout - for us, that means putting registration and education first in 2020.

The League is aware of the use of Ranked Choice Voting by the Hawaii Democratic Party in its upcoming Presidential Primary¹, the first election of a United States Representative using this process in Maine in 2018², and a rise in its use in municipal elections throughout the country³.

Ranked Choice Voting has the potential for increased turnout, election campaigns that are less polarized, and a representative result - one where the winner actually gets the majority of votes. The League shares an interest in these outcomes. However, our experience with voter education, including our recent efforts with Vote by Mail, informs us that adoption of any significant change to how a voter casts their ballot must be accompanied by a comprehensive, well-funded program of voter education. Adoption of Ranked Choice Voting at the state level without such educational efforts risks voter confusion which would far outweigh the previously mentioned benefits.

We welcome the efforts of groups to educate voters about Ranked Choice Voting, and welcome experimentation with it among civic groups and political parties. We are also willing to work with this body and other civic organizations to develop such an educational program to ease the adoption of Ranked Choice Voting, should that be the choice of this legislature.

The passage of Vote by Mail took six years and by necessity included a state-funded education and awareness program - one we were happy to help develop. The continued advocacy and experimentation with Ranked Choice Voting is its own education program - but nothing can replace a voter education program supported by the state government. Should Ranked Choice Voting be adopted for use in every election run by the state, we must apply our lessons from Vote by Mail



49 South Hotel Street, Room 314 | Honolulu, HI 96813

www.lwv-hawaii.com | 808.531.7448 | voters@lwv-hawaii.com | @LWVHawaii on Facebook

and educate, educate, educate. Our voters deserve to know how their vote will be counted should the legislature change the method of tabulation, and why such a change was made. Only through such efforts can those benefits be realized.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony.

^{1.} Risch, Emily. "In a win that ensures more votes will count, Hawaii and Kansas to use Ranked Choice Voting Ballots in 2020 Primaries". *FairVote*. <u>https://www.fairvote.org/hawaii_and_kansas_to_use_ranked_choice_voting_ballots_in_2020_primaries</u>, accessed 23 December 2019.

^{2.} Mistler, Steve. "Golden Wins Nation's First Ranked-Choice Voting Runoff for a Congressional Seat". *Maine Public*. <u>https://</u> www.mainepublic.org/post/golden-wins-nations-first-ranked-choice-voting-runoff-congressional-seat</u>, accessed 23 December 2019.

^{3. &}quot;Where is Ranked Choice Voting Being Used?". *FairVote*. <u>https://www.fairvote.org/rcv#where_is_ranked_choice_voting_used</u>, accessed 23 December 2019.

<u>SB-2006</u> Submitted on: 2/3/2020 8:08:16 AM Testimony for JDC on 2/4/2020 10:01:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Lauren Ampolos	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

<u>SB-2006</u>

Submitted on: 2/3/2020 7:19:36 AM Testimony for JDC on 2/4/2020 10:01:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Dr Marion Ceruti	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Ranked-choice voting is too complicated to implement. Already many people in Hawai'i who are legally eligible to vote do not do so. This bill will decrease voter participation and many people already registered will not bother to vote using this system. Vote NO on SB2006.

<u>SB-2006</u>

Submitted on: 2/2/2020 4:38:34 AM Testimony for JDC on 2/4/2020 10:01:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
David Imai	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I support the intent of the bill, which is to replace Plurality Voting with a better method. But I do not believe that Ranked Choice Voting is the best method to replace Plurality Voting, because it will introduce new problems.

Political scientists have studied many different voting methods. A good summary of research can be found at https://www.lesswrong.com/posts/D6trAzh6DApKPhbv4 . Whenever there are three or more candidates in a contest, the method we currently use, Plurality Voting or First Past the Post, is generally considered one of the worst methods. It can produce problems like vote splitting and the Spoiler Effect. It should be replaced. But what can replace it?

The method proposed in this bill is called Ranked Choice, aka Instant Runoff Voting. It will give better results in many situations, but at the cost of having a much more complicated voting and vote-counting system.

- It will require extensive and perhaps expensive reprogramming of the vote counting programs. Complexity increases the chance of errors. Programming errors could lead to incorrect election results. The more complicated system for determining the winner could lead to delays in determining the winner.
- It is very different from the current system and will require a training for the voters. A new type of ballot will have to be designed, and voters will have to be trained on how to vote on it. The more complex ballot design will lead to more spoiled ballots.
- It requires voters to rank every candidate separately even though they may prefer to rank them on only two or three tiers. A voter cannot express indifference between candidates.
- What if a voter only ranks some of the candidates, because he or she is indifferent to them or does not have enough information about them? Or what if a voter ranks two candidates the same because he or she has no preference between them? According to the bill, their ballots are "Inactive ballots" and will not be counted. This could result in a lot of people's votes not being counted.
- It lacks transparency: it is hard to briefly explain how the winner came out on top. For example, if there are five candidates, there are 120 possible permutations or

orderings of the candidates. These would have to be carefully analyzed to determine the winner, not an easy task for the average person. Lack of transparency could result in more challenges to the results.

- Ranked Choice voting can lead to a situation in which the candidate who is the second choice of nearly everyone is eliminated early because of not enough firstplace votes. Because of its emphasis on voters' first choice, it often will not improve on Plurality voting.
- Voters may vote strategically by giving a last-place ranking to the chief rival of their favored candidate

There are simpler and less disruptive system than Ranked Choice or Instant Runoff Voting. One is called Approval Voting. In Approval Voting, voters vote for all the candidates they approve, without being restricted to voting for only one. The votes are counted and the candidate with the most votes is the winner. That's it. It would require very little change from our current system.

Another system is Score Voting or Range voting. This is familiar because it is used on many surveys. It is also used for product ratings in catalogs, and movie and restaurant reviews. Each candidate is rated on a scale, such as from 1 to 10 or -1 to 1, and the scores are totaled for each candidate. It would require a different ballot design, but is still simpler than Ranked Choice.

Does Ranked Choice perform better than Approval voting and Score voting? One attempt to compare voting systems is Voter Satisfaction Efficiency. A very detailed series of computer simulations comparing various voting methods can be found at http://electionscience.github.io/vse-sim/VSE/. The results show that Approval Voting performing as well as or better than IRV (another name for Ranked Choice), and Score Voting was better than either one. Another measure is called Bayesian regret, which tries to measure how satisfied voters would be under a wide range of different circumstances. This page https://rangevoting.org/BayRegDum.html ranks Score Voting and Approval Voting higher than Ranked Choice Voting (IRV).

There is no reason to implement a complex method when a much simpler method will do the job.

<u>SB-2006</u> Submitted on: 2/1/2020 2:59:22 PM Testimony for JDC on 2/4/2020 10:01:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Gerard Silva	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments: