Harry Kim Mayor



**Roy Takemoto** *Managing Director* 

Barbara J. Kossow
Deputy Managing Director

# County of Hawai'i Office of the Mayor

25 Aupuni Street, Suite 2603 • Hilo, Hawai'i 96720 • (808) 961-8211 • Fax (808) 961-6553 KONA: 74-5044 Ane Keohokālole Hwy., Bldg C • Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i 96740 (808) 323-4444 • Fax (808) 323-4440

February 4, 2020

Senator Clarence K. Nishihara, Chair Senator Glenn Wakai, Vice Chair Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental, & Military Affairs Hawai'i State Legislature

Dear Chair Nishihara, Vice-Chair Wakai, and Committee members:

RE: SB 2002 Relating to Firearms

SB 2437 Relating to Electric Guns

SB 2518 Relating to Firearms

SB 2519 Relating to Firearms

SB 2635 Relating to Firearms Ammunition

SB 2943 Relating to Firearms

SB 3053 Relating to Firearms

SB 3054 Relating to Firearm Registration

The First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States provides for freedom of religion, speech, and press; and the right to peaceably assemble and to petition the government. Yet, as fundamental and sacrosanct as those values are to America, few if any would argue that those freedoms are not subject to reasonable restrictions (take the cliché of "yelling 'Fire' in a crowded theater").

Similarly, the Second Amendment guarantees the right to keep and bear arms. This right, too, must be subject to reasonable restrictions, or else we would each be able to have our own machine gun mounted on our mantelpiece or strapped over our shoulders.

So the question comes down to what is reasonable. That should be determined by a free people acting through their elected representatives, in this case our State Legislature. And given the times in which we live, and the carnage that we have witnessed both in our own state and across the country, I thank you for taking on the divisive but crucial task of deciding what reasonable restrictions might be.

You have seven bills on today's agenda that would impinge on the right to keep and bear arms: SB 2002 would prohibit possession of a loaded firearm while intoxicated. One would hope that this is not a difficult issue on which to find common ground, though I expect some will disagree.

SB 2518 implies that its adoption would make Hawaii's license-to-carry-firearms law stronger against attack in court. If this Committee finds that to be true, I support it. I have not been able to independently verify that assertion, and hope you will hear from the administration and other law enforcement.

SB 2002 Relating to Firearms
SB 2437 Relating to Electric Guns
SB 2518 Relating to Firearms
SB 2519 Relating to Firearms
SB 2635 Relating to Firearms Ammunition
SB 2943 Relating to Firearms
SB 3053 Relating to Firearms
SB 3054 Relating to Firearm Registration
February 4, 2020
Page 2

SB 2519 would prohibit large capacity magazines for all firearms. Such magazines are already restricted for pistols, and SB2519 might be the most important bill before you today, given the data on mass shootings that is set forth in the preamble of this bill.

SB 2635 would regulate ammunition the same way firearms are regulated, and require the licensing of sellers of ammunition. It would seem that this proposal would be particularly valuable in the case of a firearm that is lost or stolen, but also in tracing ammunition that is used in a crime.

SB 2943 would prohibit the assembly of a gun that would not have a serial number (a "ghost gun") and would mandate a serial number for firearms brought into Hawaii that do not already have one.

SB 3053 would prohibit 50-caliber guns. I have seen these guns on YouTube and they are awesome-looking weapons. I cannot imagine a legitimate civilian use for them.

SB 3054 would require notification when a firearm is moved out-of-state. This would be of some value, though perhaps limited. On the other hand, the burden on a gun owner seems minimal.

Can any of the restrictions contained in the seven bills be considered unreasonable? I have no doubt that some will say yes, but except for my questions about SB 2518, I would argue that all of these bills would make Hawaii a safer place without unduly restricting the right to keep and bear arms.

There also is an eighth bill on the agenda, SB 2437, relating to electric guns. I confess total ignorance on that subject, and therefore do not offer testimony on it.

Again, thank you for taking on these issues.

Respectfully Submitted,

Harry Kim MAYOR NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

#### Institute for Legislative Action

11250 WAPLES MILL ROAD FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA 22030



February 5, 2020

The Honorable Clarence Nishihara Hawaii State Capitol, Room 214 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Chairman Nishihara:

On behalf of the members of the National Rifle Association (NRA) in Hawaii, I would like to communicate our opposition to Senate Bill 2002 (SB 2002).

As the nation's oldest firearm safety training organization, the NRA believes that the safe handling of firearms is critical to firearm ownership, and have developed many programs to promote gun safety. The NRA does not support the use of firearms while intoxicated.

However, we must oppose SB 2002 because the language is overly broad and vague without providing the exemption(s) needed for self-defense and the defense of others. The bill makes it a crime to possess a loaded firearm while on private property after the consumption of <u>any</u> amount of alcohol because the subjective standard of when an individual is "impaired" will be applied in an arbitrary way. Without reasonable exemptions to this strict liability crime, lawabiding citizens will be subject to criminal prosecution for exercising their right to defend themselves and others against violent attack.

Sincerely,

Daniel Reid

Hawaii State Director

NRA-ILA



# The Honorable Clarence Nishihara, Chair The Honorable Glenn Wakai, Vice Chair Senate Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental, and Military Affairs

State Capitol, Room 229 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

HEARING: Thursday, February 06, 2020, at 1:15pm

RE: SB2002 Relating to Firearms; Possession Prohibited While Intoxicated

Aloha Members of the Senate Committee,

The Hawaii Firearms Coalition OPPOSES SB2002.

The Hawaii Firearms Coalition opposes this bill due to the following reasons:

1. The current bill only provides an exclusion to the gun owner in their dwelling. This exclusion should extend beyond the four walls of their home and extend to all their property, place of business, and place of sojourn.

Please vote no or amend this proposed legislation.

For these reasons the Hawaii Firearms Coalition Opposes SB2002. Thank you for your consideration.

Mahalo

Jon Webster Abbott Director, Hawaii Firearms Coalition PH. (808) 292-5180

Email: jonwebsterabbott@yahoo.com

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 11:56:04 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing	
Devin Sasai	Individual	Oppose	Yes	

Comments:	:
-----------	---

Aloha,

SB2002 is a noble idea on it's face. We all would like to think that responsible gun owners can discern for themselves when and how to handle their firearm. And while this bill is well meaning, there are parts in it that woefully lacks clarity.

Item (1) states that the person is in violation if they are outside their "dwelling". Even under the statute, dwelling is a vague term at best. I would charge the committee to use uniform language and adopt the same standard as in HRS134 with regards to PLACES TO KEEP.

Also, section (2)(a) states "Is under the influence of alcohol in an amount sufficient to impair the person's normal mental faculties or ability to operate a firearm in a careful and prudent manner;..." This is a unusually vague standard as everyone person has a different reaction to alcohol. This section alone leaves a person's functional abilities open to subjectiveness. As such, I urge you to remove this item and stick with DUI standards.

Without amendments, I urge the committee to defer this measure. Thank you.

Devin Sasai

Owner Bushido Arms & Ammunition LLC

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 10:15:11 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Laetitia Thibault Santoro	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Aloha,

My name is Laetitia Thibault Santoro and I am a voter concerned by her safety.

I am writing today to support SB2002. This bill just makes sense and need to be passed ASAP.

If you can't drive your car while intoxicated because you could harm yourself or others, why should it be different with a firearm? it's equaly dangerous!

This bill could save lives.

So, dear legislators, please support bill SB2002.

Thank you very much in advance for making Hawaii safer.

Best regards,

Laetitia Thibault Santoro

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/4/2020 2:57:48 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Vivian Chang	Individual	Support	No

#### Comments:

If you're intoxicated, in public, you should not have possession of a firearm. I did not know this was not already a law.

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 7:20:59 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Betsey Strauss	Individual	Support	No

#### Comments:

Aloha,

I am writing in strong support of SB 2002, which would prohibit a person from possessing a firearm while intoxicated, unless they are in their own dwelling.

The combination of alcohol and firearms could lead to a potentially deadly outcome, endangering many.

As a mother of three young children, safety is my upmost concern in any setting and SB 2002 could limit the likelihood of a terrible event.

Please support SB 2002.

Mahalo,

**Betsey Strauss** 

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/5/2020 9:17:32 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Terence Lee	Individual	Support	Yes

#### Comments:

Senator Clarence Nishihara, Chair

Senator Glenn Wakai, Vice Chair

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY **AFFAIRS** 

February5,2020

My name is Terence Lee. Born and raised here on Oahu. I support this bill. Mahalo for this opportunity to testify.

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 7:59:59 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Carolyn Pearl	Individual	Support	Yes

#### Comments:

Hawaii leads the nation in the fight against gun violence with our foundation of strong, common sense gun safety laws. As a long time resident, I'm proud of and appreciate the efforts of our legislators to continue to find ways to preserve our safety.

In prohibiting the possession of a loaded firearm in public while an individual is intoxicated, SB 2002 takes a common sense step to defuse a potentially deadly situation.

Please pass this bill.

Mahalo,

Carolyn Pearl

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/5/2020 8:47:40 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
David Soon	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

sounds reasonable

Submitted on: 2/5/2020 12:23:15 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Gena Whitten	Individual	Support	No

#### Comments:

Obvious danger to self and others. No intoxicated person should have a loaded gun, just as intoxicated peson should not be driving. Should not even have loaded gun in own home to prevent accidents and domestic viouldence. Vote to support. Mahalo

Submitted on: 2/5/2020 10:40:58 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Barbara Gomes	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Testimony re: SB 2002

I am VERY supportive of passing this important bill to make our beloved state of Hawaii safer. We need to do everything in our power to prevent more gun violence and save lives. Thank you very much for your efforts to close some of these potentially catastrophic loopholes in our state laws.

As a concerned citizen and an active volunteer in the Moms Demand Action for Gun Sense Hawaii chapter, I appreciate your work to prevent gun violence in Hawaii.

### <u>SB-2002</u>

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 7:42:54 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Michelle Scully Hobus	Individual	Support	No

#### Comments:

I am submitting testimony in support of SB 2002 as a concerned wife and mother. I strongly believe an individual under the influence of drugs or alcohol should not be in the possession of a loaded firearm. An intoxicated individual's judgment and motor skills would cleary be impaired. Domestic violence is a serious problem in our island state. Guns and alochol are a deadly combination.

Please vote in favor of SB 2002.

Thank you so much for your efforts to keep our island state safer.

Michelle Scully Hobus

Submitted on: 2/5/2020 9:20:49 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing	
Allegra Giacchino	Individual	Support	Yes	

#### Comments:

Hello,

I'm a Hawaii resident writing in strong support of SB2002 which prohibits the possession of a loaded firearm while intoxicated (outside of the person's dwelling).

It is illegal to drive a motor vehicle while intoxicated as the consequences can be deadly. Similarly, possessing a loaded gun while intoxicated can have deadly consequences.

Please support SB 2002 in the interest of public safety.

Respectfully,

Allegra Giacchino

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 12:00:06 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing	
Jennifer Azuma Chrupalyk	Individual	Support	No	

#### Comments:

24-35 months mandatory. It is the perfect amount of time for them to realize that they don't want to make this mistake again. Build a local cultural program - call it the Pu'uhonua Project. Mandate the offender to master Hawaiian culture to get released. I'm willing to bet that they come out a different person. George Kahumoku has been wanting to donate 3 acres of his property in Kahakuloa, Maui to do this.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/3/2020 9:36:32 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Brian Isaacson	Individual	Support	No

#### Comments:

Drunks and guns don't mix, however, if an intoxicated person acts justifiably in defense of themselves or others, they should not be prosecuted under this law, and it should be so amended.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/5/2020 6:36:40 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Markos Cabaong	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

I support this bill.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/4/2020 8:30:40 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Ellen Godbey Carson	Individual	Support	No

#### Comments:

I support this bill as common sense gun reform to assist in making our community safer.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/3/2020 12:52:20 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Alan Urasaki	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/4/2020 8:07:28 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Kevin Kacatin	Individual	Oppose	Yes

#### Comments:

REQUESTING AMENDMENT to remove "within dwelling" and to INCLUDE "property, place of sojourn, and employment" to be in consistency with current HRS that allows for a place to keep and carry ammunition.

Submitted on: 2/3/2020 10:46:22 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing	
Brandon Allen Kainoa Leong	Individual	Oppose	No	

#### Comments:

I oppose SB2002. This bill is useless as there is no provision in this state where a law abiding citizen can legally be allowed to carry a firearm on their person outside of their home without a CCW permit that is never given out. The State Of Hawaii is a "May Issue State" and not a "Shall Issue State" and currently the Chief of Police from either of the counties have not issued any carry permits to citizens. There have been less than 10 permits issued since the state enacted CCW permit law. No one other than law enforcement is allowed to carry a firearm on their person legally. So my question to the legislature is this directed at HPD and other law enforcement officers? If so then state that in the law because as I have stated above, citizens are not allowed to carry outside of their home due to the Chief of Police rejecting all permit applications automatically.

Brandon Leong

Hawaii Rifle Association Board Member

Lessons In Firearms Education Board Member

NRA Certified Firearms Instructor

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 6:15:25 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Judy Goo	Individual	Oppose	Yes

#### Comments:

We oppose SB2002. We do not want intoxiated persons to handle firearms, but this bill needs to be changed in order for us to support this bill. As a retired police officer, I only know of cases where Police Officers at bars have been drunk and have either took out their firearms and or have discharged their firearms.

Thank you, Dan Goo Retired HPD, Judy Goo, Sean Goo, Elisha Goo, Katherine Goo

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 6:34:22 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Dan Goo	Individual	Oppose	Yes

#### Comments:

We oppose SB2002. We do not want intoxiated persons to handle firearms, but this bill needs to be changed in order for us to support this bill. As a retired police officer, I only know of cases where Police Officers at bars have been drunk and have either took out their firearms and or have discharged their firearms.

Thank you, Dan Goo Retired HPD, Judy Goo, Sean Goo, Elisha Goo, Katherine Goo

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Traci Powers</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:02:14 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Traci Powers

I oppose this bill on the basis it is too vague. Dwelling needs further defining and intoxication is different for every individual so a standard, clearly defined blood alcohol level measurement needs to be established for equality in applying the law.

If you have any questions i can be reached at traci@powersfitness247.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Traci Powers

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Lloyd Manamtam</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 8:58:48 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Lloyd Manamtam

"Dwelling" is to restrictive!

If you have any questions i can be reached at ucanfindlloyd@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submitted by Lloyd Manamtam

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Cory Yuh

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 8:25:44 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Cory Yuh

I STRONGLY OPPOSES this bill.

The dwelling is too restrictive. Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different. It could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.

If you have any questions i can be reached at cyuh2@hotmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Cory Yuh

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Josiah Karnuth

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 7:37:27 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Josiah Karnuth

Any law restricting an American citizen's second amendment right is unconstitutional. Lawmakers should be working to promote and sustain our freedoms, not diminish and remove them. Do not make the many law abiding citizens who choose to practice their second amendment right into criminals. It is a proven fact that restrictive firearm laws do not improve public safety, in fact they help to further endanger the public. Please reject any and all bills that will infringe on our right as Americans to keep and bear arms. Mahalo.

If you have any questions i can be reached at thekarnuths@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Josiah Karnuth

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>steven kumasaka</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 7:19:41 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From steven kumasaka

#### **OPPOSE**

Whilst we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure. A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder. If you have any questions i can be reached at macsak@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by steven kumasaka

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Bobby Smith

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 8:47:08 AM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Bobby Smith

Strongly oppose this bill. It is up the individual gun owner to be responsible enough to avoid situations in which they are intoxicated. I'm sure the most common example is, driving a vehicle while intoxicated is also illegal, so how is that law working for us? What is a business owner or homeowner supposed to do if they are in a situation within their own home and are forced to defend themselves? And would this include law enforcement officers?

If you have any questions i can be reached at smithtkd1@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Bobby Smith Terms • Privacy • Support

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/3/2020 12:11:15 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Bradd Haitsuka	Individual	Oppose	No

#### Comments:

This is a vaguely worded and crafted bill that is flawed and should not be passed.

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Christopher Tanouye</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 7:11:47 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Christopher Tanouye

I oppose this bill because every person reacts to alcohol differently. I believe it is difficult to accurately measure and determine how intoxicated any one person is. If someone who is defined as intoxicated by the state within their dwelling legally uses their firearm for self defense that person could face criminal charges up to murder.

If you have any questions i can be reached at chris.tanouye@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Christopher Tanouye Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Nathan Roldan</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 7:03:03 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Nathan Roldan

I oppose this bill. It is too restrictive in its writing.

A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure.

A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

If you have any questions i can be reached at nr24769@hotmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Nathan Roldan Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Joshua Sykes</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 5:41:57 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Joshua Sykes

I strongly oppose SB2002. This bill could prevent or criminalize a self defense situation. I have had to call the police twice during violent emergencies and both times it took Law Enforcement over 12 minuets to arrive. This bill could prevent me from defending my family. If you have any questions i can be reached at sykesjoshua@aol.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Joshua Sykes Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jay Adams</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 12:53:52 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Jay Adams

Strongly Oppose sb2002 bill. To protect myself and my family from the United States of America belligerent occupation laws.

If you have any questions i can be reached at anykunestuff@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Jay Adams

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Royce Luna

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Monday, February 3, 2020 11:06:07 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Royce Luna

This bill is too vague. It needs to define "intoxicated" more clearly.

If you have any questions i can be reached at roluna2@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Royce Luna

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Ryan Matsumoto</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Monday, February 3, 2020 10:10:25 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Ryan Matsumoto

Hi,

This bill does not make sense period! We cannot posses our firearm in public anyways! You're just adding a law that clearly would not apply since no one open carries. I can only take my firearm to the range and back or when I register a new firearm.

Thanks,

Ryan

If you have any questions i can be reached at ryanm.matsumoto@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Ryan Matsumoto

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>ALEXANDER IBI</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Monday, February 3, 2020 9:33:00 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

### From ALEXANDER IBI

Whilst we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure. A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

If you have any questions i can be reached at honkykatonky@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by ALEXANDER IBI

Terms • Privacy • Support

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/3/2020 10:31:18 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
brian wong	Testifying for HRA	Oppose	No

Submitted on: 2/3/2020 12:29:25 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Marcus Tanaka	Individual	Oppose	No

#### Comments:

I oppose this bill because it has the word "dwelling". Currently it is legal to consume alcohol anywhere on ones property. The word should if anything be changed to property.

Also it does not include "place of business" or "place of sojourn" which are also both 2 other places that firearms are leglally allowed to be at. So what if someone is staying at a family members home or at a hotel and is drinking? They are violating the law if only "dwelling" is used. Or if someone is drinking at their place of business. Same thing.

I would like this bill to be thrown out all together because criminals do not commit crimes by telling their victims when they're coming to commit the crime. So how is 1 person supposed to know when they will be the vicitm of a crime and drink less that night/day to be under 0.08 BAC?

Furthermore, no carry permits concelaed or open are issued anyways. So no citizen is allowed to even carry a firearm from a bar and on their way back home.

Example: A woman is at home drinking a lot and someone breaks in trying to rape/kidnap her. Her trying to fight off her attacker ends up outside. Maybe they went through the glass lanai door. Or maybe he's dragging her out the door. If she were to use her firearm, she would be guilty of breaking the law because she is now in her garden and not inside the dwelling anymore.

We do not need this law because Hawaii doesn't have a problem with this issue. I say problem as in situation of intoxicated people shooting up the place happens a few times a week.

Submitted on: 2/3/2020 12:44:11 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Shaun Woods	Individual	Oppose	No

### Comments:

### **OPPOSE SB 2002.**

- 1. **Vague**. "Dwelling" is not defined. Does this mean inside? Does it mean one's backyard?
- 2. **The definintion of "intoxicated" is too subjective**. Police officers could very arbitrarily decide that a person "is under the influence of alcohol in an amount sufficient to impair the person's normal mental faculties or ability to operate a firearm ina careful and prudent manner."
- 3. **No victim, no crime.** I know the idea of an intoxicated person with a firearm is scary, but it is immoral to criminalize victimless behavior. This law will definitely be abused.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/3/2020 12:58:54 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Layne Hazama	Testifying for Hawaii Rifle Association	Oppose	No

### Comments:

Oppose SB 2002

Measure is too restrictive as it includes the dwelling. Measure also does not include consideration that tolerance to alcohol can vary greatly to the individual.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/3/2020 2:32:23 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Linda Castro	Individual	Oppose	No

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/3/2020 2:50:06 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing	
Carl Matthew Jellings	Individual	Oppose	No	

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/3/2020 3:12:13 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Shyla Moon	Individual	Oppose	No

Submitted on: 2/3/2020 3:51:46 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Adam Lipka	Individual	Oppose	No

#### Comments:

This proposed law, SB2002, as written places an undue burden upon firearms owners to have to choose between being able defend themselves if needed or being allowed to drink responsibly. I would be interested to know what the actual statistics of firearms discharges or crimes were that have occured in Hawaii that were fueled by intoxicated individuals that we require this level of micromangement from the legislature upon the public through legislation is. I suspect that the number would be zero or otherwise so negligable that legislating this is unnecesary. Also the law would be unenforcable as the fourth amendment would not allow for blood or breath testing of a suspect without a search warrant and by the time a warrant is obtained the suspect would likely be sober. This law if passed would serve no other purpose other than to harass law abiding firearm owners with the threat of criminal prosecution. As a resident of Hawaii and a registered voter I oppose this legislation.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/3/2020 5:58:35 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Peter J Long Jr	Individual	Oppose	No

### Comments:

The term "dwelling" is imprecise. "While intoxicated" is imprecise. Does not anticipate a defensive situation.

Submitted on: 2/3/2020 6:02:19 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
keoni de la cruz	Individual	Oppose	No

#### Comments:

I oppose this bill unless amended to include a specific Blood Alcohol Level. Driving an automobile is acceptable after drinking alcohol up to a Blood Alcohol level of .08. We do not charge anyone driving afte having drinks for dinner or with family/in their house while they been drinking alcohol below a .08 average and take away their vehicles so there should be a limit set as to the acceptable amount needed to protect yourself and others. As demonstrated in the California Bar Shooting, there were several police officers present but they did not have their weapons on them because the bar was a weapons free zone and laws and department policy prevented them from having a firearm on them. Please review and make amendments to this law.

Mahalo,

Keoni De La Cruz

Submitted on: 2/3/2020 8:31:33 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing	
Gavin Lohmeier	Individual	Oppose	No	

### Comments:

This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure.

A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 12:07:47 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Eric Imada	Individual	Oppose	No

#### Comments:

This testimony is in opposition to SB2002 which prohibits a person from possessing a loaded firearm while intoxicated, unless the person is in their own dwelling. This written testimony in in lieu of an in-person testimony as my occupation dictates my physical presence at my job at 1:15 PM on a Thursday. SB2002 is too restrictive by using "dwelling", it does not cover any business; so a small business owner who may have a drink while closing up shop for the day is not allowed to defend themselves. SB2002 does not address the gross inaccuracies in a breathalyzer test; a college science experiment on computer aided detection of alcohol found breathalyzers to be fundamentally flawed. All test instances followed the protocol of: swish your mouth with beer, spit out all beer, rinse mouth with water, blow into breathalyzer. Following this protocol, all participants were able to regularly achieve a 1.0 BAC reading or greater. SB2002 does not address any other type of sojourn for visitors or off-island family. SB 2002 opens the door for additional charges brought against the gun owner, including murder, in a defensive situation. SB2002 has the potential for criminal defense lawyers to gain legal victories through loopholes within this legislation as written. As such, criminals would be back on the prowl and law-abiding gun owners will be facing even greater charges for trying to protect their family. The benefit to the wicked should not outweigh the benefit to the good and this law is full of holes for the wicked to abuse.

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 8:54:58 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing	
Brendon Heal	Individual	Oppose	No	l

#### Comments:

I am writing this testimony in opposition of ALL THESE ANTI GUN BILLS.

Did any of YOU politicians come up with any bills to stop criminals, or at least curb their criminal behavior? Or are YOU just going to concentrate on making LAW ABIDING CITIZENS, exercising their RIGHTS, into criminals?

Is lying, stealing, and cheating a way of life for these career politicians? YOU rob and steal from us 'legally', and whatever is left YOU leave to the other criminals to steal and kill us. Furthermore, with these bills, you do not care that we will not be able to defend ourselves from either.

Do you all have any integrity? I took an oath, YoU ALL need to follow that oath.

"I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States, and the Constitution of the State of Hawaii, and that I will faithfully discharge my duties as...... to the best of my ability."

I take my promise and oath VERY SERIOUSLY, if you have any integrity left, you will OPPOSE this legislation.

Very serious VOTER Brendon Heal

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Shelton Yamashiro</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 8:42:15 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Shelton Yamashiro Please OPPOSE this bill.

Whilst we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure. A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

If you have any questions i can be reached at shelton.yamashiro@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Shelton Yamashiro Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>James Palicte</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:11:36 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From James Palicte

Aloha,

I am writing too provide testimony in opposition to SB2002. As a law-abiding firearm owners, we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm while intoxicated could be dangerous, this bill is very restrictive in its wording. An individual may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure an individual's tolerance level. Theoretically, an individual who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is, "under the influence of alcohol" could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder. If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

Mahalo,
-James P.

If you have any questions i can be reached at dewd019@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by James Palicte Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Gary Fuchikami</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:14:31 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Gary Fuchikami

This bill, like so many others that are firearms-related, is simply too vague and can be stretched out to encompass almost anything to charge someone with this "crime". One question is "intoxicated". Would a person who is smoking marijuana be considered "intoxicated"? If so, why not just have a bill such that anyone with a "medical marijuana" card be restricted from even owning firearms? At the same time, that same card-carrying person should not be allowed to have a driver's license. There's no "field test" that I'm aware of that can show how "intoxicated" a person is from smoking marijuana. Since this state has "legalized" it, shouldn't you do something about that as it's more likely to result in people getting hurt or killed on our highways by someone under the influence of marijuana? Please reject this bill until you can get clarification and specifics on what's considered "their own dwelling" and "intoxicated". Note that some people can be affected by as little as .04 AL and some can handle .10 AL easily.

If you have any questions i can be reached at wh6c@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Gary Fuchikami Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>william mcgrath</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:15:38 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From william mcgrath

Dwelling is too restrictive. "Property" would be better. What is the definition of intoxicated. Possess is too restrictive and should be changed to "use." Of course, it is a very bad idea to drive, use power tools or shoot while drunk.

Thank you.

William "Pili" McGrath

If you have any questions i can be reached at mrpili23@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by william mcgrath Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Cyril Moniz</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:19:16 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Cyril Moniz

This bill is a clear over reach of power and is intended to restrict my 2A rights.

If you have any questions i can be reached at cyril.moniz@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Cyril Moniz

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Peter DIROCCO</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:25:01 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Peter DIROCCO

Since this law indicates "any person" it would include law enforcement officers in undercover operations who must consume alcohol in the course of their duties. The consequences of this legislation will seriously jeopardize criminal cases because of allegations of "Intoxicated" officers.

If you have any questions i can be reached at diroccozp@aol.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Peter DIROCCO Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>James Rankin</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:32:11 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From James Rankin

Why should this bill limit a person to their dwelling? Being on your property/land is part of being able defend your home, regardless of the state a violent person finds you when they seek to do you or your family bodily harm.

If you have any questions i can be reached at heart4pahoa@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by James Rankin Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Barry Aoki</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:40:56 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Barry Aoki I OPPOSE SB2002

Sounds like this bill should be aimed at law enforcement. The department's should have some policy on possession while intoxicated.

I believe if this bill is passed, law enforcement may hesitate to assist if they had been drinking.

### OPPOSE SB2002

Sincerely, Barry Aoki

If you have any questions i can be reached at barry.aoki@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Barry Aoki

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Austin White</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:57:42 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Austin White

#### **OPPOSE**

SB 2002 - RELATING TO FIREARMS. Prohibits any person from possessing a loaded firearm while intoxicated, unless the person is in their own dwelling. Violation is a petty misdemeanor.

This is a Bill which is frankly unenforceable and is wasteful of our tax dollars and the time of Law Enforcement. As it is already statutorily illegal to carry a loaded or unloaded firearm except as noted in HRS 134-23, HRS 134-24 & HRS 134-25, law abiding citizens would not be possessing/carrying firearms outside the locations noted in the above statutes and as defined in section 703-300. Further on, this would make a person guilty of a misdemeanor if they step outside of the confines of their dwelling (home) into their yard or if hunting outside of their tent as noted in section §134-X (c)(1) to use the example of cooking at a grill or open air fire. Do we really want to criminalize someone for cooking?

Regarding section 2(A), who is determining the level of impairment and the persons normal mental faculties? As every person is different, this is highly subjective and open to vast interpretation. Will there be additional funding made available to place law enforcement at hunting camps or at peoples home to ensure that they do not step outside?

As such, this proposed legislation does nothing but place more restrictions on law abiding citizens and waste taxpayer dollars on an unenforceable statute.

If you have any questions i can be reached at austinowhite@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Austin White Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>kenny kwan</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:19:45 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From kenny kwan

i strongly oppose this bill. being "intoxicated" is totally subjective since everyone has different tollerances. dwelling restriction is too restrictive.

If you have any questions i can be reached at kennyk@hawaii.edu or

The above testinony was written and submited by kenny kwan

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Noah Drazkowski</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:27:59 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Noah Drazkowski

State definition of Dwelling is too restrictive.

If you have any questions i can be reached at noahdrazkowski@hotmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Noah Drazkowski

<u>Terms</u> • <u>Privacy</u> • <u>Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>George Carvalho</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 12:03:40 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From George Carvalho

No sense at all. How to enforce? other laws cover problems already you done have room in prison where will you put if convicted? Enough

If you have any questions i can be reached at puhionui@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by George Carvalho Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Willow Aureala</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 12:17:02 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Willow Aureala

I oppose this bill because it is further evidence of your gun-grabbing efforts in Hawaii! There is no problem to be fixed with this bill, as with almost all other bills you submit to the legislature about guns! The word 'dwelling' is too restrictive. Because everyone's tolerance to alcohol is different. And, it could lead to other charges in a defensive shooting situation. WHY do you want to criminalize law-abiding citizens who want to legally try to protect themselves and their abodes or work places?? Just too much!!

If you have any questions i can be reached at willow@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Willow Aureala Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Kyle Gusman</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 12:33:44 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Kyle Gusman I OPPOSE this bill.

- -Dwelling is too restrictive.
- -Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different.
- -Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.

Whilst we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure. A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

Kyle K Gusman Senate District 5 Maui Hawaii

If you have any questions i can be reached at kimokg3@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Kyle Gusman Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Chirsty Gusman</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 12:34:28 PM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Chirsty Gusman I OPPOSE this bill.

- -Dwelling is too restrictive.
- -Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different.
- -Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.

Whilst we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure. A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

Christy K Gusman
Democratic Party of Hawaii
District Chair
Senate District 5

If you have any questions i can be reached at ckgusman@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Chirsty Gusman Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Sterling Luna</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 12:34:50 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Sterling Luna

Oppose. The wording is too restricted.

If you have any questions i can be reached at onfire.co@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submitted by Sterling Luna

<u>Terms</u> • <u>Privacy</u> • <u>Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Derrick Recaido</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 1:22:10 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Derrick Recaido

Sir/ma'am, i opposed this bill.

If you have any questions i can be reached at derr\_22@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Derrick Recaido

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Joshua Stueber</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 1:40:29 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Joshua Stueber

I do not support this bill. It only further infringes on our 2nd amendment rights as American citizens.

If you have any questions i can be reached at jstuebs@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Joshua Stueber Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Michael Moylan</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 1:57:25 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Michael Moylan

I, Michael Moylan, OPPOSE SB2002. "The right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."

Whilst I believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure. A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

If you have any questions i can be reached at xstretch101@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Michael Moylan Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Daniel Yoro Sr.

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 2:15:04 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Daniel Yoro Sr.

Dear Mr. / Mrs. Official.

I am writing you asking that you oppose any and new gun restriction proposals. Support, stand and protect the 2nd amendment rights of the people, not infringe and restrict. Rights that weren't given by you and should not be restricted by you. Hawai'i has and already is one of the most strictest states in the nation regarding gun laws and ownership. Passing any more will not make any change, but turn many law abiding citizens into criminals. You'll have thousands overnight. Laws will not stop the acts of a madman. Assault is an action, it could be done with bats, sticks, knives, hammers, vehicles and even empty handed, I don't see you go after those. Inanimate objects are harmless without the intentions behind it. On the flip side they can be used for good. Tools to build, fix and repair, sport, family time and protection. Please oppose ANY gun control measures, they will not stop shootings, they will only harm law abiding citizens as myself.

Sincerely, Daniel Yoro Sr.

If you have any questions i can be reached at bibinkarules@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Daniel Yoro Sr.

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of wyatt lee

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 3:10:33 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From wyatt lee

I Oppose this measure!

If you have any questions i can be reached at aliinui10@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by wyatt lee

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Marion Ceruti</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 3:21:38 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Marion Ceruti

I oppose this bill because the term, "dwelling" is too restrictive. It could lead to charges of innocent people when involved in a defensive shooting situation. It is another awful idea that needs to be stopped. Vote NO on SB2002.

If you have any questions i can be reached at ceruti@earthlink.net or The above testinony was written and submitted by Marion Ceruti Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of David Barbieto

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 3:23:43 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From David Barbieto

I strongly opposed this bill a person must be able to protect themselves in a self defense this bill is another law abiding citizens into criminals

If you have any questions i can be reached at dbarbietoiii@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by David Barbieto Terms • Privacy • Support From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Rudolph Pangelinan</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 3:33:48 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Rudolph Pangelinan

I oppose this bill.

Seems like limiting to dwelling is too restrictive??

How do you test for a level of intoxication before you break the law? Everyone's tolerance to alcohol is different. And what if you are protecting yourself/family in a defensive position?

You break the law for protecting family and yourself??

If you have any questions i can be reached at rpangelinan@rlp-hi.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Rudolph Pangelinan

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Michael Regan

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 3:39:08 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Michael Regan

This bill is restrictive in its wording. If this law were to pass, it needs to be clear that law enforcement is not exempt.

If you have any questions i can be reached at mregan001@hotmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Michael Regan Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jodi Yokoyama</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 3:47:33 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Jodi Yokoyama

**OPPOSE** 

SB 2002 - RELATING TO FIREARMS. Prohibits any person from possessing a loaded firearm while intoxicated, unless the person is in their own dwelling. Violation is a petty misdemeanor.

This is a Bill which is frankly unenforceable and is wasteful of our tax dollars and the time of Law Enforcement. As it is already statutorily illegal to carry a loaded or unloaded firearm except as noted in HRS 134-23, HRS 134-24 & HRS 134-25, law abiding citizens would not be possessing/carrying firearms outside the locations noted in the above statutes and as defined in section 703-300. Further on, this would make a person guilty of a misdemeanor if they step outside of the confines of their dwelling (home) into their yard or if hunting outside of their tent as noted in section §134-X (c)(1) to use the example of cooking at a grill or open air fire. Do we really want to criminalize someone for cooking?

Regarding section 2(A), who is determining the level of impairment and the persons normal mental faculties? As every person is different, this is highly subjective and open to vast interpretation. Will there be additional funding made available to place law enforcement at hunting camps or at peoples home to ensure that they do not step outside?

As such, this proposed legislation does nothing but place more restrictions on law abiding citizens and waste taxpayer dollars on an unenforceable statute.

If you have any questions i can be reached at jfong808@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Jodi Yokoyama Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>WILLIAM RACOMA</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 3:50:32 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From WILLIAM RACOMA

WHAT ABOUT MY PLACE OF SOJURN?

DEFINE IS INTOXICATED?

WHEN OR WHERE IN THIS STATE HAS THIS EVER OCCURRED?

If you have any questions i can be reached at HALELAIE@AOL.COM or The above testinony was written and submited by WILLIAM RACOMA

<u>Terms</u> • <u>Privacy</u> • <u>Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Isaiah K-Aloha</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 3:53:06 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Isaiah K-Aloha

What will we have to defend ourselves with when the corrupt government and deep state decides its time to take over the general population.

If you have any questions i can be reached at isaiahkaloha52@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Isaiah K-Aloha Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Phillip Paranada</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 4:30:55 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Phillip Paranada

Dwelling is too restrictive.

Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different.

Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.

If you have any questions i can be reached at phillipmrp@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Phillip Paranada

<u>Terms</u> • <u>Privacy</u> • <u>Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Walter Philbrook</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 4:34:52 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Walter Philbrook

If you pass this bill it is for everyone. This means law enforcement, military etc.

If you have any questions i can be reached at philbrookwalter@juno.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Walter Philbrook

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Mitchell Weber

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 4:33:25 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Mitchell Weber

I STRONGLY OPPOSE SB2002,

By merely entertaining the notion that this ludacris proposed bill would have any effect on crime or safety is proof that you either do not read the states revised statutes or are incapable of interpreting them. HRS 134-23, HRS 134-24 & HRS 134-25 clearly deny any law abiding citizen from carrying a loaded or unloaded firearm outside of their home/ shooting range(which is a state park where alcohol consumption is already illegal), who will this proposed bill save or deter? Will criminals who carry firearms illegally decide not too because they have consumed alcohol or drugs? How will it account for people's differing ability try to metabolize alcohol? The only citizens I see carrying firearms while possibly intoxicated are off duty police while out on the town, will they be held accountable?

If you have any questions i can be reached at mdotweber@icloud.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Mitchell Weber Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Rawlins Lanoza</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 4:36:17 PM

#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Rawlins Lanoza

Whilst we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure. A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

If you have any questions i can be reached at rcrsvc8182@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Rawlins Lanoza Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Shirley Lanoza</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 4:54:08 PM

#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Shirley Lanoza

Whilst we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure. A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

If you have any questions i can be reached at lanoza808@hawaiiantel.net or The above testinony was written and submited by Shirley Lanoza Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Reuben Waters</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 5:46:22 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Reuben Waters

I'm strongly against this bill. Who's going to say who's drunk or under the influence.

If you have any questions i can be reached at wsmaga@msn.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Reuben Waters

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Joseph Rodrigues II.

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 5:59:37 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Joseph Rodrigues II.

#### **OPPOSE**

If you have any questions i can be reached at ar15teckarmalite@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Joseph Rodrigues II.

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jacob Lunz</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 6:00:29 PM

#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Jacob Lunz

This bill is restrictive in his definition of dwelling and discriminatory against those who decide to drink. Although an individual could be intoxicated they should be able to fight for their life in a dangerous situation instead of having no defense at all. If a person is truly intoxicated then may not be able to get to a phone in time to call for help or to another weapon to defend themselves. A firearm might be the only option if it is the closest thing at hand. By passing this bill people are potentially left unprotected everywhere they go.

If you have any questions i can be reached at jacoblunz@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Jacob Lunz Terms • Privacy • Support From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Richy Chang</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 6:02:41 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Richy Chang

Definition of dwelling is too restrictive. A persons alcohol tolerance is different from person to person and therefore not the same. Possession of a loaded firearm should not be a violation because the owner is not using the firearm and will pose additional charges during a defensive shooting.

I strongly oppose this bill.

If you have any questions i can be reached at inchang.1391@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Richy Chang Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>James Rzonca</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 6:29:37 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From James Rzonca

I am against this bill and any other bill that is eroding our rights as clearly stated in the United States constitution. Hawaii gun laws already severely infringe on our right to bear arms. The Constitution very clearly states that our right to bear arms "shall not be infringed".

Registrations, permits, etc are obvious infringements already. Adding more to this is just a slap in our face and the faces of our founding fathers. If Hawaii law makers can't comprehend something so simple as "shall not be infringed" then we need new ones! After all the 2nd amendment was included in the constitution so the people (us) can fight back against a tyrannical government. How many of our rights must this government take away before we consider it tyrannical?

If you have any questions i can be reached at jamesrzonca@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by James Rzonca

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Samuel Webb</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 6:49:22 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Samuel Webb

I oppose this Bill, because it does not include Law enforcement.

If you have any questions i can be reached at sebb67@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submitted by Samuel Webb

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>anthony kaauwai</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 6:52:45 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From anthony kaauwai

I am opposed to this bill. even though I rarely ever drink alcohol, if I am at one of my friends homes or friends business who allow me to carry my firearm and I do have a drink. it will turn self defense into a crime, even if I am not drunk and fully functional.

If you have any questions i can be reached at tktrailer@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by anthony kaauwai Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Marc Bertram</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 7:53:20 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Marc Bertram

I strongly oppose this bill due to the vagueness of the law trying to be passed.

Everyone has a different tolerance to any intoxicant..i need the right to defend myself at all times.

If you have any questions i can be reached at marcb44@hotmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Marc Bertram

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Will Yokoyama</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 7:55:53 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Will Yokoyama

This is a Bill which is frankly unenforceable and is wasteful of our tax dollars and the time of Law Enforcement. As it is already statutorily illegal to carry a loaded or unloaded firearm except as noted in HRS 134-23, HRS 134-24 & HRS 134-25, law abiding citizens would not be possessing/carrying firearms outside the locations noted in the above statutes and as defined in section 703-300. Further on, this would make a person guilty of a misdemeanor if they step outside of the confines of their dwelling (home) into their yard or if hunting outside of their tent as noted in section §134-X (c)(1) to use the example of cooking at a grill or open air fire. Do we really want to criminalize someone for cooking?

Regarding section 2(A), who is determining the level of impairment and the persons normal mental faculties? As every person is different, this is highly subjective and open to vast interpretation. Will there be additional funding made available to place law enforcement at hunting camps or at peoples home to ensure that they do not step outside?

As such, this proposed legislation does nothing but place more restrictions on law abiding citizens and waste taxpayer dollars on an unenforceable statute.

If you have any questions i can be reached at beats2and4@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Will Yokoyama

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Lindy Lau</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 7:58:24 PM

#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Lindy Lau

Whilst we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure. A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

If you have any questions i can be reached at kanakanui65@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Lindy Lau Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>William Carreira</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 7:58:44 PM

#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From William Carreira

I am writing to voice my opposition to bill SB2002. On the surface the bill seems reasonable but it is disregarding some key facts. Whilst we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure method for a person to accurately to measure.

A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

Thank you for your time, William K. Carreira Waipahu, HI

If you have any questions i can be reached at will.carreira@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by William Carreira

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jacob Bruhn</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 8:12:58 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Jacob Bruhn

Aloha, I oppose SB2002. Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different. This could lead to additional charges in a defensive situation. Dwelling is to restrictive.

If you have any questions i can be reached at rockpounda@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Jacob Bruhn

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Jeffrey Fujimoto

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 8:43:58 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Jeffrey Fujimoto

I strongly oppose this bill, SB2002.

Although I do not partake in the joys of alcohol I still oppose this bill as intrusive on personal freedom.

Life is lived on a continuum, we do not get to choose when something bad may happen to us. If an individual is unlucky enough to be attacked after having ingested alcohol and uses their firearm to defend themself, this law would turn the victim into a criminal.

If you have any questions i can be reached at jefffujimoto@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Jeffrey Fujimoto

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Henrik Lyons

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 8:47:46 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Henrik Lyons

Using a firearm for criminal activity should be a crime. Possession of such even if intoxicated should not be. Example: I go hunting with my partner. After the hunt I drink three beers and he has none. He drives me Home. I am legally impaired In possession of my Firearm.

If you have any questions i can be reached at henriklyons@hotmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Henrik Lyons

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jonagustine Lim</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:07:30 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Jonagustine Lim

I write in strong opposition to SB2002.

This bill puts further restriction on the rights of gun owners.

#### SHALL NOT BE INFRINGED.

If you have any questions i can be reached at jonagustine\_lim@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Jonagustine Lim

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Paul Tauchas</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:26:26 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Paul Tauchas

This bill is too broad in scope, ie, "What if while hunting and camping overnight a hunter were to consume alcohol?"

"A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."

If you have any questions i can be reached at tauchashawaii@hawaii.rr.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Paul Tauchas

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Chris Redeker</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:47:26 PM

#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Chris Redeker

I have to be honest I am not really against this bill per say but I have to ask, did you guys really spend the time to come up with a bill like this. Seriously how much of your time did you waste to make a bill that literally is pointless. If someone is intoxicated outside of their dwelling with a firearm under Hawai'i law, they have already most likely violated the place to keep law and are most certainly breaking some other laws anyway. You were elected to work for the people please spend our time and money coming up with laws that actually are beneficial.

If you have any questions i can be reached at credeker@hawaiiedu.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Chris Redeker Terms • Privacy • Support From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Gregory Michael Shiwota</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:58:31 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Gregory Michael Shiwota

Oppose!

If you have any questions i can be reached at orca@orcaindustries.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Gregory Michael Shiwota Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Kevin Louis</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:01:30 PM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Kevin Louis

I oppose this bill! Not because I drink or anything, but this bill is not clear. Also why do we have to be restricted to our dwelling only? Our constitutional rights extend outside the home. Not limited to the home.

If you have any questions i can be reached at kawailehia25@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Kevin Louis Terms • Privacy • Support From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Cheryl Tanaka</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:05:55 PM

#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Cheryl Tanaka

**Strongly Oppose** 

As a female lone traveler, I stay at many hotels in unfamiliar areas for work. I like to relax and drink a little and watch TV. What if someone breaks into my hotel room and tries to rape me while I am relaxing. What if while trying to protect myself with a gun, I get thrown out of my room through the door or window, and end up outside the room. What if I am at my girlfriends house sleeping and the same situation happens. This only applies to dwelling and place of sojourn

If you have any questions i can be reached at localaznchick05@aol.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Cheryl Tanaka

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Kimo Galon</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:12:38 PM

#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Kimo Galon

I oppose this bill because it has the word "dwelling". Currently it is legal to consume alcohol anywhere on one's property. The word should if anything be changed to property.

Bill does not include "place of business" or "place of sojourn" which are also both 2 other places that firearms are legally allowed. If someone is staying at a family member's, friends home or at a hotel and is drinking? They are violating the law if "dwelling" is used. Another example could be someone is drinking at their place of business. Ultimately being the same meaning

I would like this bill to be completely thrown out. Crime does not wait for you to sober up. Nor do criminals have a work schedule as we the law abiding, hard working citizens of Hawaii. BAC of 0.08 is such an subjective marker with too many variables that could sway either way for someone.

Furthermore, with Hawaii being so stringent with open/conceal carry permits firearms owners are not granted permits to carry outside of "sojourn" or our four walls.

Hawaii has no current issues with alcohol abuse and firearms. This bill is far too vague and does nothing to curb or prevent violent crime in Hawaii.

If you have any questions i can be reached at kimo.galon@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Kimo Galon Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Jacob Holcomb

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:12:45 PM

#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Jacob Holcomb

I oppose this bill

I am not sure what problem this bill is trying to address. The last two times I heard local stories about intoxicated people injuring or killing others with firearms, both of the suspects were Law Enforcement Officers.

That said it could be an issue if this state ever decides to follow the constitution when it comes to concealed carry, but the bill should us the language as the current law that allows a person to be armed at their place of sojourn.

That way someone could house sit for a friend and not have to worry about facing prosecution on a technicality in a situation where their life was clearly in danger.

If you have any questions i can be reached at jake@mailbox.org or The above testinony was written and submitted by Jacob Holcomb Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of HANK SILVA

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:17:10 PM

To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From HANK SILVA

EXPERIENCE IS 38 YEARS IN COUNTY AND FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT. THIS LAW SHOULD INCLUDE ALL INTOXICANTS. IF A PERSON IS CAUGHT WITH COCAINE AS AN EXAMPLE, ON THE STREET HE SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO OWN A FIREARM PERIOD. NEITHER SHOULD A CHRONIC ALCOHOLIC.

If you have any questions i can be reached at silvahjrm@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by HANK SILVA Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Elijah Kim</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:50:06 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Elijah Kim

Gun control does not stop gun violence. It only disarms law abiding citizens. We need to spend less resources on gun control and more on education, familiarization, and training. Also, mental health and drug addition prevention. Stop being unconstitutional and stop unnecessary gun control laws.

If you have any questions i can be reached at ejkim173@hotmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Elijah Kim Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of dustin kimura

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:52:20 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From dustin kimura

i strongly oppose this bill because regardless of my blood alcohol level, I am able to operate a firearm in a careful and prudent manner. do not infringe on my freedoms, especially within my dwelling. furthermore, if I am forced to defend myself while at home enjoying a couple drinks, i'll now be charged with a petty misdemeanor. please do not pass this bill.

If you have any questions i can be reached at dustinkimura@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by dustin kimura

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>steve schramm</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 11:03:32 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From steve schramm more people control

If you have any questions i can be reached at schramm\_steve@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by steve schramm

<u>Terms</u> • <u>Privacy</u> • <u>Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Matthew Dasalla</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 11:38:31 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Matthew Dasalla

I oppose SB2002

The wording is vague. Dwelling needs to be defined. Also intoxicated needs to be defined.

With such vague wording, a person could come from work, have a beer, then uses his firearm to defined himself and family from armed home invaders, but would be guilty of a crime.

If you have any questions i can be reached at dasa3055@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Matthew Dasalla Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Nelson Colburn</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 11:42:01 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Nelson Colburn

Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different which

Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.

If you have any questions i can be reached at win734440@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Nelson Colburn

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jon Lagon</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 4:10:09 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Jon Lagon

Strongly Oppose: the term "Dwelling" is too restrictive

If you have any questions i can be reached at jon@visionari.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Jon Lagon

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Harold Pang</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 6:08:21 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Harold Pang

My name is Harold Pang, lifetime resident of the state of Hawai'i. I am a responsible gun owner and have been for many years. I adamantly oppose SB 2002. I believe this bill infringes on my constitutional right to bare arms in the protection of my life, the life of my family, the protection of my property and further violates my civil rights and liberties. If you have any questions i can be reached at poiboy87@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submitted by Harold Pang

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Aaron Call</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 6:30:43 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Aaron Call

I strongly oppose SB2002. This bill will only affect law abiding citizens. This bill fixes no actual issue. It's already against the law to carry firearms in public.

If you have any questions i can be reached at aaronandrewcall@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Aaron Call Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Robert Nago</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 6:47:44 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Robert Nago

I strongly oppose this bill

This is a ridiculous bill because alot of us law abiding citizens dont do such things. And are responsible adults with our firearms

If you have any questions i can be reached at rnago@live.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Robert Nago

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Dextin Apostadiro</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:00:05 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Dextin Apostadiro

I understand that a gun owner should be responsible and carry themselves in a safe manor, but the wording in this bill leaves to much room for someone to be wrongfully arrested or ticketed.

If you have any questions i can be reached at dextin1527@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Dextin Apostadiro Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Don Hine

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:13:15 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Don Hine

Enforce the other anti-gun laws Hawaii politicians have passed before passing more. Punish criminals, not law abiding citizens.

If you have any questions i can be reached at eodrgr@hotmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Don Hine

<u>Terms</u> • <u>Privacy</u> • <u>Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Travis Koki</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:21:45 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Travis Koki

I strongly oppose this bill.

If you have any questions i can be reached at desandtrav@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Travis Koki

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Justin Muneoka-Nagy</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:24:17 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Justin Muneoka-Nagy

I am opposed to this bill because it infringes on my second amendment right. Taking away or limiting the ability for a law abiding citizen to defend oneself or put food on their table doesn't stop bad people from doing bad things. You don't blame the car in drunk diving accidents, you blame the driver. You don't blame the knife when a person is attacked with one, you blame the person wielding that knife. Guns don't kill people, people kill people.

If you have any questions i can be reached at munenagy@aol.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Justin Muneoka-Nagy Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>cruz call</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:25:51 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From cruz call

I oppose SB2002 because could lead to additional charges in a defense shooting situation.

If you have any questions i can be reached at cruzcall@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by cruz call

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Carl Wenke</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:50:28 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Carl Wenke

This bill is unconstitutional and is restrictive.

If you have any questions i can be reached at hilifemz3@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Carl Wenke

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Lou Collazo</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:54:48 AM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Lou Collazo

3 February 2020

The Honorable Senators and Representatives of Hawaii 415 S Beretania St, Honolulu, HI 96813

### Dear Senators and Representatives,

My name is Lou Collazo. I am a Navy JROTC instructor at James Campbell High School in Ewa Beach. For over 10 years, I have educated and mentored our youth, inspiring them to becoming better citizens to better represent our great state of Hawaii. Prior to NJROTC, I proudly served 32 years in the United States Navy as Cryptologist Warfare Officer with tours ashore, afloat, and my last tour in Afghanistan. I have spent a career that required me to utilize firearms as a tool to protect lives and property in support of our great nation. I have earned an expert shooter designation in both rifle and pistol while on active duty. I am currently qualified in both pistol and rifle through the NRA and Front Sight Firearms Academy. I am qualified and licensed for three Conceal Carry Weapons (CCW) permits for Florida, Arizona, and Washington state.

As a pistol CCW permit holder, a retired Naval Officer, and a resident of Hawaii, I speak from my experience. The current proposed bills to impose more gun control on the law-abiding citizens of Hawaii will not impact on the big picture of keeping our Ohana safe. We already have enough restrictive gun laws in our state, which have not stopped bad people from doing harm. New laws are not the answer, you cannot legislate evil from people's hearts. Since the opening of the current legislative session, there have been dozens of overlapping bills that call for the ban of a particular make of firearms, checks for ammunition and round limits for firearm magazines. These bills, while well intentioned, are all reactionary in nature and would not further the goal of preventing violence and instead would increase harm to innocent people and our state. The passing of these potential gun control bills would 1) Not prevent the crime from occurring, 2) Not affect the operation of a firearm, 3) Have widespread negative economic impacts to our state, 4) Have significant costs to implement, and 5) Have low compliance / be difficult to enforce.

Further restrictions on responsible gun owners will make instant felons out of law-abiding citizens. It will further jeopardize people's safety, their Constitutional Rights to bear arms, defend themselves, and empowers the criminal. Gun control penalizes law abiding citizens. Gun Control cannot prevent bad people from doing bad things. Gun control is costly to the state and harms those it is designed to protect. Please OPPOSE any new gun control laws. It is our right to bear arms. An inalienable right given to us by the US Constitution, 2nd Amendment and Hawaii State Constitution, Article I, section 17. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Lou Collazo

If you have any questions i can be reached at MustangCWO@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Lou Collazo Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jordan Van Barriger</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:59:33 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Jordan Van Barriger

I believe this prohibition go's against the 2nd amendment and I oppose it. If you have any questions i can be reached at vbjordan1990@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Jordan Van Barriger Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Gary Robinson</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 8:35:16 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Gary Robinson

I STRONGLY OPPOSE this bill as unnecessary and infringing on law-abiding citizen's Second Amendment rights.

Issues with this Bill:

Dwelling is too restrictive.

Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different.

Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.

A person should be a responsible gun owner and handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous. But this bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure.

A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

If you have any questions i can be reached at mr\_edge@hawaii.rr.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Gary Robinson Terms • Privacy • Support

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 9:53:49 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Edward Gutteling, M.D.	Individual	Oppose	No

### Comments:

RE: SB2002 Prohibits any person from possessing a loaded firearm while intoxicated, unless the person is in their own dwelling.

As a practicing orthopedic surgeon in Hawaii for 28 years, and before that for 5 years in inner city Newark NJ, I am well experienced with caring for tragic gun trauma.

I urge you NOT to pass these new additional restrictions.

The real harm outweighs the good intent.

In this case, it would criminalize a legal gun owner who acted in self-defense or felt the need to be legally armed for self-defense, just because their blood alcohol level was over a specified limit for drunk driving. Meaningful self-defense is a human right.

Obviously, any criminal is not going to care about a misdemeanor for using a gun while intoxicated.

Such a definite restriction would have marginal to no effect on criminal gun violence, but definitely hurts legal gun owner's rights, including the easy access to the human right to meaningful self-defense.

New laws restricting the legal arming of our responsible citizens must be heavily weighed as to all the consequences, as well as the desired benefits. Judge Benitez emphasizes that the Second Amendment requires that the state *has to meet a high burden before it can pass a law that infringes on the right to keep or bear arms* 

San Diego-based U.S. District Judge Roger Benitez, echoing the Supreme Court latest 2ndAmendment rulings, recently emphasized that the Second Amendment requires that the state *has to meet a high burden before it can pass a law that infringes on the right to keep or bear arms.* 

Hawaii laws need to be similarly based.

This proposed law clearly does not.

Yours sincerely

Edward Gutteling, M.D.

Hilo

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 10:19:12 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Kenny Kwan	Individual	Oppose	No

### Comments:

i strongly oppose this bill. being "intoxicated" is totally subjective since everyone has different tollerances. dwelling restrction is too restrictive. how about at a friend's or family's place? How can you enforce if this becomes law? not sure what this law is trying to prevent/ solve.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/4/2020 12:43:33 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Lyle Hlromoto	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 12:47:53 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Peter J Long III	Individual	Oppose	No

### Comments:

i»¿I wish to express my OPPOSITION to this bill primarily along the basis that alcohol affects individual adults very differently. I'm also concerned that it would lead to extra charges for a person who was put into a situation where they needed to defend themselves and had recently consumed alcohol. This law effectively removes the right to protect one's self after they've consumed alcohol. It further provides the precedence to lower the standard required for conviction at a later date.

Firearms laws in Hawaii are already among the strictest in the nation. Owners of firearms are registered with our local police departments and watched under microscopes. We are among the most law-abiding citizens in Hawaii, yet we're the ones who have to pay for actions of criminals by the constant chipping away of our Constitutional right. Why does the Hawaii Legislature value the rights of criminals over the rights of its law-abiding citizens?

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/4/2020 3:27:55 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
MORGAN CUIZON	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 3:53:26 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Tom Lodge	Individual	Oppose	No

### Comments:

I don't oppose the perceived "intent" of this legislation. Safe Gun handling practice addresses this, as does rules for driving while intoxicated. We keep making laws upon laws for stuff that doesn't happen very often if at all. I oppose this legislation because it isn't necessary, for one, and what if someone has an acre of property, or acres of property, and just say, like here on the big island, where I dispatched two pigs recently for a neighbor, that you have these porcine rototillers preparing your garden for paving. You've had a couple of beers, the nearest neighbor is out of range, and in order to defend your agricultural or horticultural offspring you head outside. I've no problem with this legislation where you refer to the property rather than the dwelling.

(I) A person is in possession of a loaded firearm when the person has immediate physical control over a loaded firearm and the person is outside of the person's dwelling [residence, business or agricultural property]. as defined in section 703—300; and

Thank you... Tom Lodge

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/4/2020 3:59:14 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing	
Vern Hiromoto	Individual	Oppose	No	

Comments:

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 5:21:27 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Fred Delosantos	Individual	Oppose	No

### Comments:

Please oppose SB2002. This is a Bill which is frankly unenforceable and is wasteful of our tax dollars and the time of Law Enforcement. As it is already statutorily illegal to carry a loaded or unloaded firearm except as noted in HRS 134-23, HRS 134-24 & HRS 134-25, law abiding citizens would not be possessing/carrying firearms outside the locations noted in the above statutes and as defined in section 703-300. Further on, this would make a person guilty of a misdemeanor if they step outside of the confines of their dwelling (home) into their yard or if hunting outside of their tent, to use the example of cooking at a grill or open air fire. Do we really want to criminalize someone for cooking?

Regarding section 2(A), who is determining the level of impairment and the persons normal mental faculties? As every person is different, this is highly subjective and open to vast interpretation. Will there be additional funding made available to place law enforcement at hunting camps or at peoples home to ensure that they do not step outside?

As such, this proposed legislation does nothing but place more restrictions on law abiding citizens and waste taxpayer dollars on an unenforceable statute. Consequently I urge you to please oppose SB2002.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/4/2020 8:31:41 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Gerard Silva	Individual	Oppose	No

### Comments:

Violation of the 2nd Amendment!!

Any one that Violates the 2nd Amendments right to Bear Arms should be remove from Office for Violation of the Oat of Office!!!

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 9:12:14 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Benel Piros	Individual	Oppose	No

### Comments:

I oppose this bill due to how its written. The word dwelling should to be changed to property. But more importantly this would violate a law abiding citizen that legally owns a firearm to travel with that firearm say at hotel or friend's or family's home for an event or to hunt. Due to that fact it is not the person's "dwelling" would caused them to break the law if this bill passed. An example is if a person is visiting or traveling to another island and has their firearm with he/she but is drinking at on that property he or she will be violating the law if passed. Because I am from another island traveling with my firearms while I visit my family will cause me to break the law if this bill is passed.

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 10:15:52 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing	
Joseph P. Picon	Individual	Oppose	No	

### Comments:

My name is Joseph Paez Picon, a registered voter and citizen of Hawaii.

I oppose SB2002. It infringes on my right to keep and bear arms. It limits, undermines and encroaches on my Second Amendment rights, which is illegal for this state's legislature to do.

A person may find themselves outside "their own dwelling" in a place they are legally able to handle a firearm.

A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges.

While I believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm while intoxicated is dangerous; there may arise a need to defend one's self during a time inconvenient and unaccommodated for, by this law.

Please vote down SB2002.

Submitted on: 2/4/2020 10:24:26 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Andrew Namiki Roberts	Individual	Oppose	No

### Comments:

- Dwelling is too restrictive.
- Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different.
- Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.

Whilst we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure.

A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/4/2020 11:14:43 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Kelly Lim	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Strongly oppose SB2002.

Submitted on: 2/5/2020 6:03:00 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Michael Higa	Individual	Oppose	No

### Comments:

I oppose this bill on the grounds that wording is vague and leaves for subjective interpretation of intoxication other than blood alcohol content. This wording of "in an amount sufficient to impair a person's normal mental faculties and abilities" could be argued as a single drink however not legally intoxicated with blood alcohol content above .08%. This wording is too vague and does not make clear and explicit, reasonable conditions to be met for justification and interpretation of this law by law enforcement and/or judgment. This is undefendable and automatically criminalizes law abiding citizens who by the mere notion of having a firearm and not even having committed a crime is reprehensible. This bill denies due process and is unconstitutional. It does not give procedural outlines as to how blood will be taken from the individual and allows for nonconsensual seizure of blood without having committed a crime.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/5/2020 7:20:19 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Kainoa Kaku	Testifying for Hawaii Rifle Association	Oppose	Yes

## Comments:

Language is vague and puts additional restrictions on a constitutionally protected right.

Submitted on: 2/5/2020 8:47:37 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Joel Berg	Individual	Oppose	No

### Comments:

### Oppose SB 2002

While a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure.

A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/5/2020 9:33:23 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Thomas Moriyasu	Individual	Oppose	No

#### SB-2002

Submitted on: 2/5/2020 9:22:25 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing	
Austin White	Individual	Oppose	No	

#### Comments:

SB2002/HB1615 - RELATING TO FIREARMS. Prohibits any person from possessing a loaded firearm while intoxicated, unless the person is in their own dwelling. Violation is a petty misdemeanor.

This is a Bill which is frankly unenforceable and is wasteful of our tax dollars and the time of Law Enforcement. As it is already statutorily illegal to carry a loaded or unloaded firearm except as noted in HRS 134-23, HRS 134-24 & HRS 134-25, law abiding citizens would not be possessing/carrying firearms outside the locations noted in the above statutes and as defined in section 703-300. Further on, this would make a person guilty of a misdemeanor if they step outside of the confines of their dwelling (home) into their yard or if hunting outside of their tent as noted in section §134-X (c)(1) to use the example of cooking at a grill or open air fire. Do we really want to criminalize someone for cooking?

Regarding section 2(A), who is determining the level of impairment and the persons normal mental faculties? As every person is different, this is highly subjective and open to vast interpretation. Will there be additional funding made available to place law enforcement at hunting camps or at peoples home to ensure that they do not step outside?

As such, this proposed legislation does nothing but place more restrictions on law abiding citizens and waste taxpayer dollars on an unenforceable statute.

I urge you to **OPPOSE** this measure

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jacob Holcomb</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:25:34 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Jacob Holcomb

I oppose this bill

While having electric guns would be welcome especially for small business owners who are regularly accosted by the multiplying mentally ill that wander our streets, the regulatory structure in this bill is much too onerous.

If the reason for all this red tape is that someone is worried about being robbed by an assailant using an electric gun, consider that being robbed with a knife is far more potentially lethal, yet one can purchase, find, or make edge weapons anywhere without any sort of regulation.

Electric guns are intimidating, but knives take lives on a sadly regular basis.

If you have any questions I can be reached at jake@mailbox.org or The above testinony was written and submited by Jacob Holcomb Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Elijah Kim</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:50:31 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Elijah Kim

Gun control does not stop gun violence. It only disarms law abiding citizens. We need to spend less resources on gun control and more on education, familiarization, and training. Also, mental health and drug addition prevention. Stop being unconstitutional and stop unnecessary gun control laws.

If you have any questions I can be reached at ejkim173@hotmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Elijah Kim Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of dustin kimura

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 11:30:49 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From dustin kimura

I oppose this restrictive bill. I would love to protect myself with less lethal means such as an electric gun, especially if laws continue to prohibit me from carrying a proper firearm and convicting me for ending a life in self defense or defense of another.

If you have any questions I can be reached at dustinkimura@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by dustin kimura Terms • Privacy • Support From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Matthew Dasalla</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 11:42:09 PM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Matthew Dasalla

I oppose SB2437

No other states have such requirements.

If you have any questions I can be reached at dasa3055@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Matthew Dasalla Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Alexander Ham-deponte</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 12:35:04 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Alexander Ham-deponte

This law does not make sense at all. You would require someone to take training to obtain an electric gun. When currently there are no training requirements to obtain a long rifle or shotgun?

If you have any questions I can be reached at mauiboi9@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Alexander Ham-deponte Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Harold Pang</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 6:18:35 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Harold Pang

My name is Harold Pang, lifetime resident of the state of Hawai'i. I am a responsible gun owner and have been for many years. I adamantly oppose SB 2437. I believe this bill infringes on my constitutional right to bare arms in the protection of my life, the life of my family, the protection of my property and further violates my civil rights and liberties. This would also place further restrictions in considering non-lethal options.

If you have any questions I can be reached at poiboy87@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Harold Pang Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Aaron Call</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 6:41:48 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Aaron Call

I strongly opposeSB2437. Other states in the United states don't have these restrictions on electric guns. It's a great alternative for those that wanting a less lethat option for self defense. We should be capable of buying them as we wish. We are currenlty not allowed to protect ourselves in public with a firearm and should be given this non-lethal option.

If you have any questions I can be reached at aaronandrewcall@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submitted by Aaron Call

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Robert Nago</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 6:52:00 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Robert Nago

I oppose this bill

The "electric gun" should be another means of protecting ones self in the case of self defense.

And an indivudual should not have to register it.

If you have any questions I can be reached at rnago@live.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Robert Nago

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Dextin Apostadiro</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:04:14 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Dextin Apostadiro

We live in a time where crimes against women and elderly are prevalent. Instead of regulating and forcing people to get training that doesn't exist, we should hand out electric guns so women and the elderly will have a sense of safety they don't have at the moment If you have any questions I can be reached at dextin1527@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Dextin Apostadiro Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Don Hine

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:13:55 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Don Hine

Enforce the other anti-gun laws Hawaii politicians have passed before passing more. Punish criminals, not law abiding citizens.

If you have any questions I can be reached at eodrgr@hotmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Don Hine Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Justin Muneoka-Nagy</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:25:11 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Justin Muneoka-Nagy

I am opposed to this bill because it infringes on my second amendment right. Taking away or limiting the ability for a law abiding citizen to defend oneself or put food on their table doesn't stop bad people from doing bad things. You don't blame the car in drunk diving accidents, you blame the driver. You don't blame the knife when a person is attacked with one, you blame the person wielding that knife. Guns don't kill people, people kill people. If you have any questions I can be reached at munenagy@aol.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Justin Muneoka-Nagy

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of cruz call

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:28:59 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From cruz call

I oppose SB2437 because no other state has these requirements.

If you have any questions I can be reached at cruzcall@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by cruz call

<u>Terms</u> • <u>Privacy</u> • <u>Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Carl Wenke</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:53:26 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Carl Wenke

Stop making it harder and more costly for me to defend myself.

If you have any questions I can be reached at hilifemz3@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Carl Wenke

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Lou Collazo</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:55:17 AM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Lou Collazo

3 February 2020

The Honorable Senators and Representatives of Hawaii 415 S Beretania St, Honolulu, HI 96813

#### Dear Senators and Representatives,

My name is Lou Collazo. I am a Navy JROTC instructor at James Campbell High School in Ewa Beach. For over 10 years, I have educated and mentored our youth, inspiring them to becoming better citizens to better represent our great state of Hawaii. Prior to NJROTC, I proudly served 32 years in the United States Navy as Cryptologist Warfare Officer with tours ashore, afloat, and my last tour in Afghanistan. I have spent a career that required me to utilize firearms as a tool to protect lives and property in support of our great nation. I have earned an expert shooter designation in both rifle and pistol while on active duty. I am currently qualified in both pistol and rifle through the NRA and Front Sight Firearms Academy. I am qualified and licensed for three Conceal Carry Weapons (CCW) permits for Florida, Arizona, and Washington state.

As a pistol CCW permit holder, a retired Naval Officer, and a resident of Hawaii, I speak from my experience. The current proposed bills to impose more gun control on the law-abiding citizens of Hawaii will not impact on the big picture of keeping our Ohana safe. We already have enough restrictive gun laws in our state, which have not stopped bad people from doing harm. New laws are not the answer, you cannot legislate evil from people's hearts. Since the opening of the current legislative session, there have been dozens of overlapping bills that call for the ban of a particular make of firearms, checks for ammunition and round limits for firearm magazines. These bills, while well intentioned, are all reactionary in nature and would not further the goal of preventing violence and instead would increase harm to innocent people and our state. The passing of these potential gun control bills would 1) Not prevent the crime from occurring, 2) Not affect the operation of a firearm, 3) Have widespread negative economic impacts to our state, 4) Have significant costs to implement, and 5) Have low compliance / be difficult to enforce.

Further restrictions on responsible gun owners will make instant felons out of law-abiding citizens. It will further jeopardize people's safety, their Constitutional Rights to bear arms, defend themselves, and empowers the criminal. Gun control penalizes law abiding citizens. Gun Control cannot prevent bad people from doing bad things. Gun control is costly to the state and harms those it is designed to protect. Please OPPOSE any new gun control laws. It is our right to bear arms. An inalienable right given to us by the US Constitution, 2nd Amendment and Hawaii State Constitution, Article I, section 17. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Lou Collazo

If you have any questions I can be reached at MustangCWO@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Lou Collazo Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jordan Van Barriger</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 8:00:10 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Jordan Van Barriger

I believe this prohibition go's against the 2nd amendment and I oppose it. If you have any questions I can be reached at vbjordan1990@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Jordan Van Barriger

<u>Terms</u> • <u>Privacy</u> • <u>Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Brad Pocock</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 8:27:42 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Brad Pocock

I oppose this bill. I you love my girlfriend to be able to carry a taser for self defense this is nonlethal way to keep her safe. Again another waste of time in office.

If you have any questions I can be reached at mauka873@msn.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Brad Pocock

<u>Terms</u> • <u>Privacy</u> • <u>Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Shelton Yamashiro</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 8:40:32 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Shelton Yamashiro

Please OPPOSE this bill for the following reasons:

- -This bill would severely hamper he public ability to own and use a taser for self defense.
- -The training required does not exist. Who would be approved to teach and certify it?
- -Training would be costly and thus prohibitive and time consuming to obtain.
- -It prevents gifting of tasers to a loved one or friend.
- -Registration system would be burdensome to stores.
- -Bill prevents online purchases.
- -No other state has these requirements.

Aloha.

Shelton Yamashiro

Cheaper and/or easier to purchase a firearm,

Items are not serialized.

Impossible to enforce

If you have any questions I can be reached at shelton.yamashiro@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Shelton Yamashiro

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Gary Robinson

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 8:41:32 AM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Gary Robinson

I OPPOSE this bill as unnecessary.

My issues with the bill:

This bill would severely hamper he public ability to own and use a taser for self defense.

The training required does not exist.

Training would be costly.

It prevents gifting of tasers to a loved one or friend.

Registration system would be burdensome to stores.

Bill prevents online purchases.

No other state has these requirements.

Cheaper and/or easier to purchase a firearm,

Items are not serialized.

Impossible to enforce.

If you have any questions I can be reached at mr\_edge@hawaii.rr.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Gary Robinson Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jon Abbott</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:01:25 AM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Jon Abbott

I OPPOSE SB2437 for the following reasons:

- 1. This bill would severely hamper he public ability to own and use a taser for self defense.
- 2. The training required does not exist.
- 3. Training would be costly.
- 4. It prevents gifting of tasers to a loved one or friend.
- 5. Registration system would be burdensome to stores.
- 6. Bill prevents online purchases.
- 7. No other state has these requirements.
- 8. Cheaper and/or easier to purchase a firearm,
- 9. Items are not serialized.
- 10. Impossible to enforce.

If you have any questions I can be reached at ja7352385@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Jon Abbott Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Gita Anindita</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:01:55 AM

#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Gita Anindita

I OPPOSE SB2437 for the following reasons:

- 1. This bill would severely hamper he public ability to own and use a taser for self defense.
- 2. The training required does not exist.
- 3. Training would be costly.
- 4. It prevents gifting of tasers to a loved one or friend.
- 5. Registration system would be burdensome to stores.
- 6. Bill prevents online purchases.
- 7. No other state has these requirements.
- 8. Cheaper and/or easier to purchase a firearm,
- 9. Items are not serialized.
- 10. Impossible to enforce.

If you have any questions I can be reached at gita.anindita@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Gita Anindita

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>John Hansen</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:33:38 AM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From John Hansen

I strongly oppose this legislation.

This bill would severely hamper he public ability to own and use a taser for self defense.

The training required does not exist.

Training would be costly.

It prevents gifting of tasers to a loved one or friend.

Registration system would be burdensome to stores.

Bill prevents online purchases.

No other state has these requirements.

Cheaper and/or easier to purchase a firearm,

Items are not serialized.

Impossible to enforce.

If you have any questions I can be reached at rydeaway@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by John Hansen Terms • Privacy • Support From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Ron Klapperich</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:33:51 AM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Ron Klapperich

I oppose this bill because:

This bill would severely hamper he public ability to own and use a taser for self defense.

The training required does not exist.

Training would be costly.

It prevents gifting of tasers to a loved one or friend.

Registration system would be burdensome to stores.

Bill prevents online purchases.

No other state has these requirements.

Cheaper and/or easier to purchase a firearm,

Items are not serialized.

Impossible to enforce.

If you have any questions I can be reached at rgklapp@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Ron Klapperich

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Cody Haddin</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:37:52 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Cody Haddin

Tasers are a great non lethal defense option and to make it so hard for citizens to own and use seems counterproductive.

If you have any questions I can be reached at Mygmalesack@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Cody Haddin Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Brandon Santiago</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:54:17 AM

## To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Brandon Santiago

I, Brandon Santiago, oppose this bill. Hawaii state's current law in regards to stun guns, are already unconstitutional. People, under law of the constitution, should already have the right to be able to defend themselves, by any means they see fit. All this bill does is make laws for a self protection platform, that does not need to be governed. Training and registration of stun guns, are a waste of time and resources. No other state in the republic, implement laws and regulations in regards to stun guns or other similar self defense devices.

If you have any questions I can be reached at brandosantiago1980@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Brandon Santiago

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jason Pierce</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:55:48 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Jason Pierce

Law-abiding citizens should have access to non-lethal means of self defense. The training and costs required in this bill are excessive and restrictive, making it cheaper to purchase a firearm. The language is ambiguous are impossible to enforce.

If you have any questions I can be reached at jasonpierce612@hotmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Jason Pierce

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Ross Mukai</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:57:59 AM

#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Ross Mukai

I oppose this bill. This bill seeks to impose a regulatory structure that does not exist anywhere else on less-than-lethal self defense tools such as tasers. Tasers and stun guns are not deadly weapons and taser law should match our pepper spray laws. Tasers are legal in 48 states and commonly sold over the internet, even through amazon. There is no reason to craft lengthy, complicated laws blocking the possession of less-than-lethal defense tools that are more restrictive than actual gun laws.

If you have any questions I can be reached at rossmukai@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Ross Mukai Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Edward Call</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2437

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 10:18:04 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2437.

From Edward Call

I strongly oppose SB2437. Living in a state with such strict gun laws, law abiding citizens need a another means to protect themselves. Although a firearm is the most effective tool of defense, electric guns are a great non-lethal alternative. Some people don't wan't all the hassle of owning a firearm and should be able to obtain an electric gun easily. This bill is imposible to enforce. I have seen electric guns being sold in various markets. These regulations make it just as hard and expessive to obtain this non-lethal means of defence as a firearm. This bill does not help law abiding citizens.

If you have any questions I can be reached at edwardskater@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Edward Call Terms • Privacy • Support

#### SB-2002

Submitted on: 2/5/2020 11:01:58 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
christy gusman	Individual	Oppose	No

#### Comments:

#### I OPPOSE THIS BILL

- Dwelling is too restrictive.
- Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different.
- Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.

Whilst we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure.

A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

CHRISTY KAJIWARA GUSMAN DEMOCRATIC PARTY OF HAWAII SENATE DISTRICT 5 - DISTRICT CHAIRPERSON

#### SB-2002

Submitted on: 2/5/2020 11:06:30 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Harvey Gerwig	Individual	Oppose	Yes

#### Comments:

**SB 2002: GUNS AND ALCOHOL: I STRONGLY OPPOSE** this measure because it is poorly written, vauge, and appears to allow police action without DUE PROCESS or JUST CAUSE.

The firearms community does **NOT** condone the use of alcohol while in possession of firearms, but this bill goes way over the top without any reasonable criteria for an officer to charge a person with an offense.

Please defer this bill.

Thank you,

Harvey Gerwig

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/5/2020 11:18:51 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
brooks	Testifying for Hawaii Rifle Association Member	Oppose	No

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/5/2020 11:19:32 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Craig Kashiwai	Individual	Oppose	No

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/5/2020 11:57:04 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Carol Thomas	Individual	Oppose	No

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/5/2020 12:32:22 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing	
Tom Galli	Individual	Oppose	No	

#### Comments:

This is simply unenforceable.

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Isaiah Daquioag

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 12:56:31 PM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Isaiah Daquioag

I oppose SB2002. This bill would not prevent criminals from committing crimes.

If you have any questions i can be reached at isaiahdaquioag@ymail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Isaiah Daquioag

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jon Abbott</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 8:58:40 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Jon Abbott

I OPPOSE SB2002 for the following reasons:

- 1. Dwelling is too restrictive. It needs to include all owners property, business and place of sojourn
- 2. Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different.
- 3. Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.
- 4. Does not account for the larger problem of drug related violence.

Vote NO on this Bill.

If you have any questions i can be reached at ja7352385@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Jon Abbott Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Gita Anindita</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 8:59:07 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Gita Anindita

I OPPOSE SB2002 for the following reasons:

- 1. Dwelling is too restrictive. It needs to include all owners property, business and place of sojourn
- 2. Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different.
- 3. Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.
- 4. Does not account for the larger problem of drug related violence.

Vote NO on this Bill.

If you have any questions i can be reached at gita.anindita@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Gita Anindita

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Brandon Blaisdell</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:02:03 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Brandon Blaisdell

Dwelling is too restrictive, alcohol tolerances vary from person to person, and this could used against a person who is a victim in a self defense situation.

If you have any questions i can be reached at brandonblaisdell17@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Brandon Blaisdell

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Mark Woodward</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:24:39 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Mark Woodward

The term "own dwelling" is too restrictive and eliminates other places where a person is legally able to be armed. A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but under the influence of alcohol, could face additional criminal charges under this law. If you have any questions i can be reached at markawoodwardmd@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Mark Woodward Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>John Hansen</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:32:05 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From John Hansen

I STRONGLY OPPOSE this bill. The dwelling is too restrictive, every persons tolerance to alcohol is different and it could lead to additional charges even in the case of a defensive shooting situation where someone saves their own life.

If you have any questions i can be reached at rydeaway@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by John Hansen Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Cody Haddin</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:34:52 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Cody Haddin

This will open up people who use their firearms in what would be a legal defensive manner to additional charges depending on the situation.

With the term dwelling being so restrictive it could also open up citizens to charges while defending their place of buisness.

If you have any questions i can be reached at Mygmalesack@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Cody Haddin

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Ron Klapperich</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:33:20 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Ron Klapperich

I oppose this bill because:

Dwelling is too restrictive.

Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different.

Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.

While we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure.

A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

If you have any questions i can be reached at rgklapp@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Ron Klapperich Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Roland Advincula</u>

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:35:21 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Roland Advincula

I'm opposed for a simple thought process...why would you restrict those who are following the already archaic laws put in place...

I work a great job, and thus feel like my off hours should be the least of the government's worries regarding IMPOSING PROVEN INEFFECTIVE LAWS...allow true freedoms...

Unless proven...we shouldn't be subjected to laws which will place a false veil of protection on its effected...

PLUS...imposing such proposed would literally be impossible...Unless you want to waste more taxpayer money door knocking and "firearm accessory grabbing"...let us not bring to recent light the current firework ban...and realize all the effectiveness it has on keeping Hawai'i "safer and healthier"

If you have any questions i can be reached at rolandda01@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Roland Advincula Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Ross Mukai

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:41:59 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Ross Mukai

I seek amendments to this bill,

- 1. The distinction between inside and outside of a person's dwelling does not seem sensible. This means a person could have immediate physical control of a firearm within their house, but not within a detached garage or shed within their own property. Why make this distinction? Why present a person who has had a beer with a legal dilemma of inspecting and making safe, personal firearms encountered while stored in a garage or shed?
- 2. It should be made explicitly clear in the text of the bill that this bill applies to state, county, out of state, and federal law enforcement, licensed guards, and other carry permit holders.

If you have any questions i can be reached at rossmukai@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Ross Mukai Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Brandon Santiago</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:43:59 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Brandon Santiago

I, Brandon Santiago, oppose this bill. While any person under the influence of any intoxicants, should not handle any firearm, I find this bill too vague and misleading. People charged with driving under the influence of intoxicants, are facing much lesser penalties than what this bill is proposing.

If you have any questions i can be reached at brandosantiago1980@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Brandon Santiago

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Edward Call</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 10:02:33 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Edward Call

I strongly oppose SB2002. I don't condone persons under the influence to posses loaded firearms but feel that there can be many instances where it may be justified. This wouldn't prevent crime or persuade criminals to not commit crimes. Criminals already would have broken a number of gun laws that are already in place. This would affect law abiding citizens. Everyones tollerance for alcohol is different. This bill doesn't specify a set alcohol level of a person to where it will be against the law. If they are still under the DUI level a can operate a vehicle, then I feel they are capable of handling a firearm as-well

If you have any questions i can be reached at edwardskater@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Edward Call Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Davin Asato</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 10:18:00 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Davin Asato

I oppose. Pistol certification classes already make it clear to not mix alcohol and firearms. Police departments also already have it in their guidelines that the officers should not be carrying their firearms while intoxicated. We are beating a dead horse on this one. If you have any questions i can be reached at davin.asato@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Davin Asato Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of jaelynn call

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

**Subject:** Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 10:49:11 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From jaelynn call

I strongly oppose this bill

If you have any questions i can be reached at jaekdccall@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by jaelynn call

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Raphael Luis</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 10:51:05 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Raphael Luis

i oppose

If you have any questions i can be reached at raphael.luis2000@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Raphael Luis

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Gerald Kraesig

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 11:38:37 AM

#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Gerald Kraesig

This law is too broad and could be abused.

I don't get why you insist on forcing law biding citizens to defend our constitutional rights every year. You force us to take time from our jobs or use our jobs against those who cannot be present.

I've been to the hearings you've had in the past and have seen more than 97% of the people against the anti-gun laws and yet you still persist in pushing these kind of laws through against overwhelming testimony against them.

How about suggesting laws that will actually take a firearm out of the hands of criminals and actually punish those who use firearms while committing criminal acts with very stiff sentences and stop trying to turn law-biding citizens into criminals.

Please stop.

If you have any questions i can be reached at kraesigj001@hawaii.rr.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Gerald Kraesig

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Justus Ibana</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

**Subject:** Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 11:39:54 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Justus Ibana

I oppose

If you have any questions i can be reached at justus.ibana4@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submitted by Justus Ibana

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Sebastian Simon-ganti</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 12:34:30 PM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Sebastian Simon-ganti

I oppose SB2002. I don't feel this is an actual issue when no one can legally carry firearms in public.

If you have any questions i can be reached at ssimonganti@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Sebastian Simon-ganti Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Marc Shimatsu

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 12:35:08 PM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Marc Shimatsu

I oppose this bill because it removes the right to self protection in your own home if alcohol is consumed. A person could face more charges if a firearm is used defensively to protect yourself and/or family members from harm if alcohol is consumed.

If you have any questions i can be reached at tazman\_269@hotmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Marc Shimatsu

Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Todd Yukutake</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 12:45:14 PM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Todd Yukutake

Please amend this bill to cover a person's property instead of just the dwelling.

Though I believe a person should not be intoxicated while possessing a firearm, I believe in personal property rights. This should cover areas like a garage, lawn, driveway, etc as you have a right to protect your property.

#### Mahalo

Todd Yukutake Resident of Aiea, Senate district 16

If you have any questions i can be reached at toddyukutake@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Todd Yukutake

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>David Sumikawa</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 12:52:35 PM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From David Sumikawa

I oppose the bill. It is a violation of the 2nd amendment.

If you have any questions i can be reached at davesumi@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by David Sumikawa

<u>Terms</u> • <u>Privacy</u> • <u>Support</u>

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Leif Akaji

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 12:55:20 PM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Leif Akaji I oppose this bill.

- 1) Law abiding citizens are exposed to potential litigation should firearms and alcohol be present at a place where both are individually legal.
- 2) Prevents a person to defend themselves in certain situations

Thanks, Leif J. Akaji

If you have any questions i can be reached at leifjakaji@hotmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Leif Akaji

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Trevor Bowman</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 11:54:22 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Trevor Bowman

I oppose.

If you have any questions i can be reached at trevor.bowman@securitasinc.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Trevor Bowman

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jeffrey Babas</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:14:13 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Jeffrey Babas

Being a gun owner myself, I believe we should all be responsible for our actions involving the use of any firearm. However, I strongly oppose this Bill because of the every persons tolerance to alcohol is different, and if there is a life or death situation the person with the firearm could face additional charges even if it is a defensive shooting situation. If I were having a beer in my home, a criminal breaks in the house, threatens my family, but I hesitate using a firearm because I just had a beer, and a family member is badly hurt or killed by the assailant because of this law. Or I use my weapon, stop the assailant, but now I am arrested for protecting my family.

If you have any questions i can be reached at jerubabas@hawaii.rr.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Jeffrey Babas <u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u> From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>BYON NAKASONE</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 9:06:20 AM

# To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From BYON NAKASONE

#### OPPOSE

If you have any questions i can be reached at info@defenseassets.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by BYON NAKASONE Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>William Chase</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 4:43:03 PM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From William Chase

I am opposed. If you plan to attempt to regulate the citizens, then I would like the police departments on all Islands in every County before every shift, officers need to be breathalyze. And charged with misdemeanor and suspened, even if they went drinking the night before, if they fail.

If you have any questions i can be reached at wmc@hawaii.edu or The above testinony was written and submited by William Chase Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Julian Tongpalan Jr.</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2020 10:12:50 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Julian Tongpalan Jr.

Dwelling is too restrictive.

Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different.

Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation

If you have any questions i can be reached at ridgerunner1@hawaii.rr.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Julian Tongpalan Jr.

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Woody Child

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

**Subject:** Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 11:13:38 AM

### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Woody Child

Dwelling is too restrictive

If you have any questions i can be reached at wcinkona@usa.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Woody Child

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/5/2020 2:22:02 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing	
Philip yee	Individual	Oppose	No	

Comments:

Aloha



1. you for taking the time to read my testimony. I oppose this bill due to the vagueness of the language.

#### SB-2002

Submitted on: 2/5/2020 2:53:08 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Calvin Kajiwara	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

#### I OPPOSE THIS BILL BECAUSE



- Dwelling is too restrictive.
- Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different.
- Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.

Whilst we believe that a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure.

A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder.

If this law was to pass it would need to be made clear that law enforcement are not exempt.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/5/2020 3:51:08 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Jeanne Schultz Afuvai	Individual	Support	No

Comments:



#### SB-2002

Submitted on: 2/5/2020 7:20:59 PM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Bill Richter	Testifying for Lessons in Firearms Education	Oppose	No

Comments:

Re: SB2002

To whom it may concern:

This bill is poorly worded and could subject an otherwise law-abiding citizen from exercising his right to defend himself for simply drinking alcohol after a hunting trip or day camping.

Please oppose this bill.

Thank you.

Bill Richter, President

Lessons in Firearms Education

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

#### CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

ALII PLACE
1060 RICHARDS STREET • HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813
PHONE: (808) 768-7400 • FAX: (808) 768-7515

DWIGHT K. NADAMOTO
ACTING PROSECUTING ATTORNEY



LYNN B.K. COSTALES ACTING FIRST DEPUTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

# THE HONORABLE CLARENCE K. NISHIHARA, CHAIR SENATE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, & MILITARY AFFAIRS

Thirtieth State Legislature Regular Session of 2020 State of Hawai'i

February 7, 2020



#### RE: S.B. 2002; RELATING TO FIREARMS.

Chair Nishihara, Vice Chair Wakai, and members of the Senate Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental, & Military Affairs, the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney of the City and County of Honolulu ("Department") submits the following testimony expressing concerns regarding S.B. 2002.

The purpose of S.B. 2002 is to create a new offense of possession of a loaded firearm while intoxicated. Although this bill has good intentions, the Department believes that this bill may be unnecessary as our current "place to keep" statutes (Sections 134-23, 134-24 and 134-25, Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS")), appear to cover the proposed unlawful conduct.

In addition, the current language incorporated in S.B. 2002 would create significant difficulties in successfully prosecuting such offenses. Specifically, the Department believes that interchangeably using language from HRS §291E-61 (operating a vehicle under the influence of an intoxicant, ("OVUII")) to effectuate this new offense could cause significant evidentiary problems. This bill borrows language from HRS §291E-61(a)(2), (a)(3) and (a)(4), to define when a person is intoxicated. For OVUII cases, however, the Department currently uses observations of a defendant's bad driving and a standardized field sobriety test ("SFST") to determine if the defendant is under the influence in an amount sufficient to "impair the person's ability to operate the vehicle in a careful and prudent manner." This is problematic as there may be limited testing methods to prove the proposed subsection (c)(2)(a) (see page 1, line 15, through page 2, line 2), as the SFST is scientifically validated by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration only to assess **driving**, not the ability to operate a firearm.

Further, although the Department does not object to limiting proposed protections for the defendant to his or her dwelling (meaning "any building or structure, though movable or temporary, or a portion thereof, which is for the time being a home or a place of lodging"), we would note that

under HRS §134-23 (Place to Keep Loaded Firearms other than Pistols and Revolvers; Penalty), defendants are allowed to have firearms confined to the "place of business, residence, or sojourn" The Department is also concerned that the term "immediate physical control" is not defined or clearly articulated, which may leave a myriad of situations in which it would be unclear whether a loaded firearm is in the immediate physical control of the defendant (e.g. inside a vehicle, out of arm's length of the defendant).

Keeping all of these things in mind, the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney of the City and County of Honolulu <u>expresses concerns</u> regarding the passage of S.B. 2002. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this matter.

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

#### CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

ALII PLACE
1060 RICHARDS STREET • HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813
PHONE: (808) 768-7400 • FAX: (808) 768-7515

DWIGHT K. NADAMOTO
ACTING PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

LYNN B.K. COSTALES ACTING FIRST DEPUTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY



# THE HONORABLE CLARENCE K. NISHIHARA, CHAIR SENATE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS

Thirtieth State Legislature Regular Session of 2020 State of Hawai'i



February 6, 2020

#### RE: S.B. 2002; RELATING TO FIREARMS.

Chair Nishihara, Vice Chair Wakai, and members of the Senate Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental, and Military Affairs, the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney of the City and County of Honolulu ("Department") submits the following testimony expressing concerns for S.B. 2002.

The purpose of S.B. 2002 is to create a new offense of possession of a loaded firearm while intoxicated. Although this bill has good intentions, the Department believes that this bill may be unnecessary as our current "place to keep" statutes (Sections 134-23, 134-24 and 134-25, Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS")), appear to cover the proposed unlawful conduct and are currently classified as class B and C felonies.

In addition, the current language incorporated in S.B. 2002 would create significant difficulties in successfully prosecuting such offenses. Specifically, the Department believes that interchangeably using language from HRS §291E-61 (operating a vehicle under the influence of an intoxicant, ("OVUII")) to effectuate this new offense could cause significant evidentiary problems. This bill borrows language from HRS §291E-61(a)(2), (a)(3) and (a)(4), to define when a person is intoxicated. For OVUII cases, however, the Department currently uses observations of a defendant's bad driving and a standardized field sobriety test ("SFST") to determine if the defendant is under the influence in an amount sufficient to "impair the person's ability to operate the vehicle in a careful and prudent manner." This is problematic as there may be limited testing methods to prove the proposed subsection (c)(2)(a) (*see* page 1, line 15, through page 2, line 2), as the SFST is scientifically validated by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration only to assess **driving**, not the ability to operate a firearm.

Further, although the Department does not object to limiting proposed protections for the defendant to his or her dwelling (meaning "any building or structure, though movable or temporary, or a portion thereof, which is for the time being a home or a place of lodging"), we would note that under HRS §134-23 (Place to Keep Loaded Firearms other than Pistols and Revolvers; Penalty), defendants are allowed to have firearms confined to the "place of business, residence, or sojourn" The Department is also concerned that the term "immediate physical control" is not defined or clearly articulated, which may leave a myriad of situations in which it would be unclear whether a loaded firearm is in the immediate physical control of the defendant (e.g. inside a vehicle, out of arm's length of the defendant).

Keeping all of these things in mind, the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney of the City and County of Honolulu <u>expresses concerns</u> with the passage of S.B. 2002. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this matter.

<u>SB-2002</u> Submitted on: 2/6/2020 10:41:32 AM

Testimony for PSM on 2/6/2020 1:15:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Constance Perry	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please support this bill.



From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Soleil Roache

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Thursday, February 6, 2020 1:12:10 PM



### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Soleil Roache

I strongly oppose this bill.

If you have any questions i can be reached at soleilroache@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Soleil Roache

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>travis montgomery</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 2:10:41 PM



### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From travis montgomery

THIS STUPID BILL CAN LEAD TO OTHER SIYUATIONS WHICH IS RETARDED. DWELLING IS TO RESTRICTIVE

If you have any questions i can be reached at tjmontgomery85@GMAIL.COM or The above testinony was written and submitted by travis montgomery

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Jeremy Orendorff</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 2:25:54 PM



### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Jeremy Orendorff

Violates the Second Amendment.

If you have any questions i can be reached at jermo133@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Jeremy Orendorff Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Calvin Kajiwara

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 2:52:49 PM



### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Calvin Kajiwara

I OPPOSE THIS BECAUSE

Dwelling is too restrictive.

Every persons tolerance to alcohol is different.

Could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.

If you have any questions i can be reached at kajiwaract@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Calvin Kajiwara

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Benjamin Holbrook

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 3:40:58 PM



### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Benjamin Holbrook

I oppose this bill a person should be a responsible gun owner and that handling a firearm whilst intoxicated could be dangerous, This bill is very restrictive in its wording. A person may find themselves in other places they are legally able to be armed, such as their place of business or place of sojourn where alcohol may be served. Every person is different in how they process alcohol and there is no sure-fire method for a person to accurately to measure. A person who uses a firearm for otherwise lawful self-defense, but who is under the influence of alcohol could find themselves facing criminal charges up to and including murder. If you have any questions i can be reached at benkholbrook@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submited by Benjamin Holbrook Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Rikki Rutt</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:59:09 PM



### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Rikki Rutt

I am strongly opposed to this bill it will only effect law abiding citizens like me and not discourage criminals who are most likely to use firearms in a negative manner.

If you have any questions i can be reached at rikkirutt@yahoo.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by Rikki Rutt

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Raymund Bragado

To: PSMTestimony

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 7:17:39 PM



### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS.

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Raymund Bragado

I'm a retired service member with 2 combat tours in Iraq 2003 and 2008. I took an oath to support and defend the Constitution and my oath will never expire. The 2nd Amendment of the Constitution is being violated by this state law. Please stand by our Constitution, I ask you to support and defend it.

This bill needs to be re written as it is too restrictive and it is open for a lot of problem. Example, the smell of alcohol doesn't constitute being intoxicated. Alcohol affects every person differently. How about place of business, place of sojourn where alcohol might be served this bill would violate our 2nd Amendment.

If you have any questions i can be reached at ray729man@yahoo.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Raymund Bragado Terms • Privacy • Support

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>Miki Jones</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 4:21:03 PM



### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Miki Jones

I oppose this bill is restrictive in its wording, doesn't make sense, and will not stop a criminal from commiting a crime.

If you have any questions i can be reached at ambubunny@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Miki Jones Terms • Privacy • Support

From: Ninja Forms TxnMail on behalf of Richard Monroe

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Thursday, February 6, 2020 12:48:31 AM



#### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From Richard Monroe

I oppose SB2002 for these reasons:

- 1. It violates the Second Amendment.
- 2. It violates the oath of the Senators to uphold the Constitution of the United States.
- 3. Fears and feelings do not override personal inalienable rights.
- 4. It is already illegal to discharge a firearm unlawfully.
- 5. We are innocent until proven guilty. This is tantamount to making it illegal to be in possession of a motor vehicle while intoxicated. It must be granted until a violation occurs (lest we become a nanny state), that a person will be responsible enough not to drive or discharge a firearm unlawfully, regardless of their condition of sobriety. And they will lose their rights according to the law if they cannot be responsible.
- 6. It could lead to additional charges in a defensive shooting situation.
- 7. It is unnecessary in a state with already comparatively low gun crimes.

Additionally, I call upon our local Senate representatives and lawmakers to support your local community in protecting ALL our constitutional rights. As well as to continually oppose any violations to it. I also invite and encourage the free exercise of those rights by all.

Lastly, I recommend and encourage the senators and lawmakers to reduce and eliminate useless gun laws from the books. Let that be your legacy. Your community will thank you for it and remember you fondly.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

If you have any questions i can be reached at richardmonroe247@gmail.com or The above testinony was written and submitted by Richard Monroe

<u>Terms • Privacy • Support</u>

From: <u>Ninja Forms TxnMail</u> on behalf of <u>K M</u>

To: <u>PSMTestimony</u>

Subject: Testimony in opposition to SB2002

Date: Thursday, February 6, 2020 9:27:44 AM



### To the COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS,

This testimony is submitted in opposition to SB2002.

From K M

Just because there is a drink involved i dont agree with anyone being criminalized for deffending themselves.

I agree to oppose

If you have any questions i can be reached at faiyaback@gmail.com or

The above testinony was written and submited by K M