



The Judiciary, State of Hawai'i

Testimony to the Thirty-Third State Legislature, 2025 Session

House Committee on Transportation

Representative Darius K. Kila, Chair

Representative Tina Nakada Grandinetti, Vice Chair

Tuesday, March 11, 2025, 9:00 a.m.

Conference Room 430 &

Via Videoconference

By:

Michelle D. Acosta

Deputy Chief Court Administrator

District Court of the First Circuit

Bill No. and Title: Senate Bill No. 1667, SD2 – Relating to Transportation.

Purpose: Prohibits any vehicles from blocking marked crosswalks. Requires a person under arrest who refuses to submit to a breath, blood, or urine test to surrender their license to a law enforcement officer. Increases the duration of the suspension of a license when a person is arrested for driving under the influence and refuses to submit a breath, blood, or urine test. Authorizes the State Highway Safety and Modernization Council to implement an additional 25 photo red light imaging detector systems. Authorizes the Department of Transportation to implement an additional 25 automated speed enforcement systems in school zones as designated by the Safe Routes to School Advisory Committee. Establishes requirements for the State Highway Safety and Modernization Council. Requires a report to the Legislature. Appropriates funds. Effective 7/1/2050. (SD2)

Judiciary's Position:

The Judiciary takes no position on the merits of the bill and submits the following concerns for the Legislatures' consideration.

There are many transportation safety amendments within this measure, including provisions that would allow for 25 additional photo red light imaging detectors, selected by the

State Highway Safety and Modernization Council, and 25 additional automated speed enforcement systems in school zones, designated by the Safe Routes to School Advisory Committee. The Judiciary has concerns regarding the volume of citations that may be generated from the expansion of these systems as contemplated in Sections 6 and 7 of this measure, and requests that any expansion be made in consultation with both the community and the Judiciary.

Based on available data, the 10 intersections with 17 existing red-light photo detection systems in Honolulu issued an average 1,991 citations per month in 2024. In a recent KHON2 News story from January 2025 it was reported that during a one-week period the automated speed camera system recorded 22,000 vehicles exceeding the posted speed limit by at least 11 miles per hour. The Judiciary is concerned about the effects the potential volume of citations will have on the district courts.

These citations must be processed by the district courts. The high volume would likely overwhelm current court resources. The third-party vendor selected by the Department of Transportation would provide electronic copies of traffic citations to the district courts throughout the state. However, the Judiciary's Information Management System (JIMS) is not currently equipped to pull data from the law enforcement citations and transfer the data into court records. Instead, all of the information in the citations (name, address, driver's license number, location of the offense, vehicle make and model, vehicle license plate, etc.) is manually entered by court staff into JIMS, similar to the way that handwritten notes on a receipt need to be manually entered into a billing system in order to create an invoice. Once that information is manually entered by court staff, court staff then processes requests for hearings, written statements from motorists contesting the citations or explaining mitigating circumstances, schedules court dates, and prepares minutes from court hearings. A dramatic increase in traffic citations would overwhelm already overstretched court resources, potentially to the detriment of other types of cases heard by district court and could also result in the backlog of processing these citations

The Judiciary's Information Technology Systems Department (ITSD) is looking for technological solutions to efficiently receive and process the high volume of electronic citations. This process of identifying, developing and procuring the most appropriate solution will require time and possibly an appropriation. In addition, the Judiciary may require an increase in court personnel to manage steps in the process that cannot be resolved with technology. For example, reviewing written statements and conducting court hearings. The Judiciary requests that any expansion of the red-light and/or speed camera system take into consideration the impact on the district courts statewide and allow adequate time for the courts to work with the Department of Transportation prior to expanding the traffic enforcement camera systems.

The Judiciary respectfully requests that the Legislature consider similar language as House Bill 235, HD1 which requires the Department of Transportation to seek public input from the community and the Judiciary in selecting locations for expansion and provide a report to the legislature concerning its implementation progress. To this end, the Judiciary requests the following amendments:

Senate Bill No. 1667, SD2, Relating to Transportation
House Committee on Transportation
Tuesday, March 11, 2025

Section 6: “(d) In selecting these locations, the state highway safety and modernization council shall seek public input from the community and the Judiciary.”

Section 7: “(c) In selecting these locations, the safe routes to school advisory committee shall seek public input from the community and the Judiciary.”

The Judiciary appreciates the recognition of an effective date of January 1, 2027 and would anticipate an appropriation of approximately \$750,000.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.

JOSH GREEN, M.D.
GOVERNOR
KE KIA'ĀINA



MIKE LAMBERT
DIRECTOR

SYLVIA LUKE
LT GOVERNOR
KE KE'ENA

STATE OF HAWAII | KA MOKU'ĀINA O HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT
Ka 'Oihana Ho'okō Kānāwai
715 South King Street
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813

JARED K. REDULLA
Deputy Director
Law Enforcement

TESTIMONY ON SENATE BILL 1667, SENATE DRAFT 2
RELATING TO TRANSPORTATION
Before the House Committee on
TRANSPORTATION
Tuesday, March 11, 2025, 9:00 a.m.
State Capitol Conference Room 430 & Videoconference
WRITTEN TESTIMONY ONLY

Chair Kila, Vice Chair Grandinetti, and members of the Committee:

The Department of Law Enforcement (DLE) supports Senate Bill 1667, Senate Draft 2. This bill enhances road safety through multiple measures, including prohibiting vehicles from blocking marked crosswalks, establishing stricter penalties for DUI test refusals, expanding photo red light imaging detector systems, and implementing additional automated speed enforcement systems in school zones.

The DLE particularly supports the provisions regarding license surrender for individuals who refuse to submit to a breath, blood, or urine test when arrested for driving under the influence, as well as increasing the suspension duration in such cases. These measures will serve as stronger deterrents against impaired driving and improve our ability to effectively enforce DUI laws. Based on our experience, these enhanced consequences for test refusal will lead to better compliance and ultimately safer roads.

The expansion of photo red light imaging detector systems and automated speed enforcement systems in school zones will provide additional tools to improve traffic safety, especially in high-risk areas. These automated systems will complement traditional enforcement methods and allow more efficient allocation of law enforcement resources.

The DLE believes that the establishment of requirements for the State Highway Safety and Modernization Council will lead to more coordinated and data-driven approaches to traffic safety across the state. This comprehensive approach to transportation safety addresses several critical areas that our officers encounter daily in their work to protect Hawaii's residents and visitors.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of this bill.

JOSH GREEN, M.D.
GOVERNOR
KE KIA'ĀINA



EDWIN H. SNIFFEN
DIRECTOR
KA LUNA HO'OKELE

Deputy Directors
Nā Hope Luna Ho'okele
DREANALEE K. KALILI
TAMMY L. LEE
CURT T. OTAGURO
ROBIN K. SHISHIDO

STATE OF HAWAII | KA MOKU'ĀINA 'O HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | KA 'OIHANA ALAKAU
869 PUNCHBOWL STREET
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-5097

March 11, 2025
9:00 a.m.
State Capitol, Room 430

**S.B. 1667 S.D. 2
RELATING TO TRANSPORTATION**

House Committee on Transportation

The Hawaii Department of Transportation (HDOT) **supports with comments** S.B. 1667 S.D. 1 which prohibits any vehicles from blocking marked crosswalks; establishes additional penalties for repeat convictions for reckless driving and excessive speeding; requires a person under arrest who refuses to submit to a breath, blood, or urine test to surrender their license to a law enforcement officer; increases the duration of the suspension of a license when a person is arrested for driving under the influence and refuses to submit a breath, blood, or urine test; allows the appeal of any license suspension to be filed with the administrative driver's license revocation office; authorizes the State Highway Safety and Modernization Council to implement an additional 25 speed photo red light imaging detector systems; authorizes the HDOT to implement an additional 25 speed enforcement systems in school zones as designated by the Safe Routes to School Advisory Committee; and establishes requirements for the State Highway Safety and Modernization Council.

SECTION 8. When planning and managing state highways, in areas where state highways bisect communities with residential areas and commercial areas immediately along a highway, design features and speeds shall be determined, if appropriate, by the National Association of City Transportation Officials' standards, which are better suited for lower speed urban and rural communities.

SECTION 10. There is appropriated out of the general revenues of the State of Hawaii the sum of \$10 million or so much thereof as may be necessary for fiscal year 2025-2026 and the same sum or so much thereof as may be necessary for fiscal year 2026-2027 for the department of transportation to effectuate the purposes of this Act; provided that the department of transportation may transfer all or a portion of the appropriation in this section to county agencies to effectuate the purposes of this Act.

SECTION 11. There is appropriated out of the general revenues of the State of Hawaii the sum of \$750,000 or so much thereof as may be necessary for fiscal year 2025-2026 and the same sum or so much thereof as may be necessary for fiscal year 2026-2027 to update the judiciary's information management system to pull data from law enforcement citations and transfer the data into court records.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony.

PUBLIC DEFENDER

ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER

DEFENDER COUNCIL
1130 NORTH NIMITZ HIGHWAY
SUITE A-254
HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96817

HONOLULU OFFICE
1130 NORTH NIMITZ HIGHWAY
SUITE A-254
HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96817

APPELLATE DIVISION
TEL. NO. (808) 586-2080

DISTRICT COURT DIVISION
TEL. NO. (808) 586-2100

FAMILY COURT DIVISION
TEL. NO. (808) 586-2300

FELONY DIVISION
TEL. NO. (808) 586-2200

FACSIMILE
(808) 586-2222



STATE OF HAWAI'I
OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

March 11, 2025

HILO OFFICE
275 PONAHAHAWAI STREET
SUITE 201
HILO, HAWAI'I 96720
TEL. NO. (808) 974-4571
FAX NO. (808) 974-4574

KONA OFFICE
75-1000 HENRY STREET
SUITE #209
KAILUA-KONA HI 96740
TEL. NO. (808) 327-4650
FAX NO. (808) 327-4651

KAUA'I OFFICE
3060 EIWA STREET
SUITE 206
LIHUE, HAWAI'I 96766
TEL. NO. (808) 241-7128
FAX NO. (808) 274-3422

MAUI OFFICE
81 N. MARKET STREET
WAILUKU, HAWAI'I 96793
TEL. NO. (808) 984-5018
FAX NO. (808) 984-5022

SB1667 SD2: RELATING TO TRANSPORTATION

Chair Kila, Vice-Chair Grandinetti, and Members of the Committee on Transportation:

The Office of the Public Defender (OPD) **opposes SB1667 SD2** ("the Bill"). Specifically, the OPD opposes Section 4 of the Bill which seeks to increase the period license suspension under Hawai'i Revised Statutes ("HRS") § 291E-65(c) for drivers under the age of twenty-one years who are arrested for operating a vehicle after consuming a measurable amount of alcohol and refuse to submit to testing.

Current statutory scheme:

HRS § 291E-64 sets forth the offense of Operating a Vehicle Under After Consuming a Measurable Amount of Alcohol; Persons Under the Age of Twenty-One. Under that section, it shall be unlawful for any person under the age of twenty-one years to operate any vehicle with a measurable amount of alcohol.¹

HRS § 291E-65, sets forth the penalties where a person under the age of twenty-one who has been arrested for operating their vehicle while operating their vehicle with a measurable amount of alcohol refuses to submit to a breath or blood test. Under this section, as soon as practicable after arrest, the officer must submit an affidavit to a district court judge stating that: (1) the officer had probable cause to believe the arrested person was under the age of twenty-one and had been operating a vehicle on a public way, street, road, or highway with a measurable amount of alcohol; (2) that the arrested person was informed that they may refuse to submit to a breath or blood test; (3) that the person refused to submit to a breath or blood test; (4) that the arrested person was informed of the sanctions for

¹ By contrast, under HRS § 291E-61 a person over twenty-one must have a BAC of .08 grams of alcohol per two hundred liters of breath.

refusing to submit to testing; (5) that the arrested person was asked if they still refused to submit to testing; and (6) the arrested person continued to refuse. Within twenty-one days after receipt of the officer's affidavit, the district court must hold a hearing to determine whether: (1) the officer had probable cause to believe that the person was under the age of twenty-one had been operating a vehicle on a public way, street, road or highway with a measurable amount of alcohol; (2) that the person was lawfully arrested; (3) that the person was informed that they may refuse to submit to a breath or blood test; (4) that person refused to submit to a breath or blood test; (5) that the person was informed of the sanctions for refusal; (6) that the person was asked whether they still refused to submit to testing; and (7) that the person continued to refuse. If the judge finds the statements in the officer's affidavit to be true, the judge shall suspend the person's license for twelve months for a first suspension or a suspension not preceded within a five-year period by a suspension under HRS § 291E-65 or for between two years and five years for any subsequent suspension.

Effects of Section 4 of the Bill:

Section 4 of the Bill seeks to amend HRS § 291E-65 by increasing the periods of suspension for refusal to submit to testing under HRS § 291E-65 from one year to three years on a first refusal and from two to five years to three to five years for a subsequent refusal.

The increase in penalties under the Bill is unnecessary and disproportionate:

The drastic increase in penalties set forth under the Bill would make the license suspension for a person who simply refuses testing greater than the license suspension for a person who actually operates their vehicle with a measurable amount of alcohol. This is unnecessary and disproportionate.

A person who is found to have violated HRS § 291E-64 by operating their vehicle with a measurable amount of alcohol would have their license suspended for (a) one hundred eighty-days for a first violation or a violation not preceded within a five-year period by a prior alcohol enforcement contact or (b) up to two years for a violation that occurs within five years of two prior law enforcement contacts.

Under the Bill, if a judge simply finds probable cause that a person who has operated their vehicle with a measurable amount of alcohol has refused to submit to testing the person would have their license suspended for three years for the first suspension and three to five years for any subsequent suspension.

It is unnecessary and disproportionate to punish a person more severely for simply refusing to submit to testing than for actually driving with a measurable amount of alcohol.

In fact, under the Bill, a person under the age of twenty-one who refused to submit to testing would be subject to a longer license suspension than a person over the age of twenty-one who refused to submit to testing. For a driver who is over twenty-one with no prior alcohol or drug enforcement contacts within ten years preceding the administrative revocation, the period of license revocation for a refusal to submit to testing is two years (see HRS § 291E-41(c)). It is unnecessary and disproportionate to impose a one-year longer license suspension for refusal to submit to testing on a driver under the age of twenty-one than a driver over the age of twenty-one.

An unnecessarily long license suspension will have adverse effects and these affects will disproportionately impact lower-income persons:

Some of the negative impacts of license suspensions are: (1) transportation challenges due to inability to drive to work, school, medical appointments or run errands, which can disrupt daily life; (2) legal consequences when people who are under long suspensions are compelled to drive for necessary purposes; (3) employment impacts due to lost job prospects or job loss; and (4) financial burdens to navigate legal challenges associated with a suspended license. Drastically increasing the length of license suspensions will further exacerbate these issues.

License suspensions disproportionately affect lower-income persons. As the license suspension process is a civil, not criminal, issue, persons subject to license revocation for refusals to submit to testing are not entitled to legal counsel. Persons who cannot afford legal counsel must therefore navigate the revocation process on their own. This means that lower-income persons who cannot afford an attorney will be at a distinct disadvantage in the process and will be more likely to receive license suspensions. Persons whose licenses are suspended have their ability to get to work, school, access essential services and maintain employment disrupted, further exacerbating their financial hardships. Drastically increasing the length of license suspensions will further exacerbate these issues for lower-income people.

Conclusion:

The OPD acknowledges the issues caused by drunk driving – traffic injuries and deaths, economic impacts and public safety are all legitimate concerns. However, the Bill does not provide any justification as to how drastically increasing the period of license suspension will address these concerns. **Section 4 of the Bill is unnecessary as there are already penalties in place for persons who refuse to submit to testing that range from one year to five years** (see current version of HRS § 291E-64). In order to justify drastically increasing these already-existing penalties, there should be some objective justification or some rationale that establishes that the increase will achieve some particular goal. The Bill contains no supporting preamble and sets forth no objective justification or rationale for

increasing the penalties. At a minimum, the Bill should be supported by statistics, data or studies to establish why a drastic increase in the periods of suspension are necessary – absent such justification, Section 4 of the Bill should be stricken.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this measure.

March 11, 2025

To: Rep.Darius Kila, Chair; Rep. Tina Nakada Grandinetti, Vice Chair and Members of the Committee on Transportation

From: Arkie Koehl, Public Policy Committee Chair; Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD) Hawaii

Re: Senate Bill 1667 SD2– RELATING TO TRANSPORTATION.

I am Arkie Koehl, offering testimony on behalf of the Hawaii Chapter of Mothers Against Drunk Driving in support of Senate Bill 1777 SD2.

We suggest the Committee address what we and others see as somewhat ambiguous language regarding refusal to submit to a breath or blood test; specifically if the intent of amendments to 291E-65 on page 3 lines 14-20 and page 4 lines 1-5 is for increases in revocation periods for only persons under the age of 21 (291E-65 says “if a person under arrest for operating a vehicle after consuming a measurable amount of alcohol, pursuant to section 291E-64, refuses to submit to a breath or blood test, ...,” but 291E-64 is for operating a vehicle after consuming a measurable amount of alcohol; persons under the age of 21.)

Thank you for the opportunity to submit this testimony.

Arkie Koehl



Testimony of the Oahu Metropolitan Planning Organization

House Committee on Transportation

03/11/2025 9:00 AM
CR 430 & Videoconference

SB 1667 SD2 RELATING TO TRANSPORTATION

Dear Chair Kila, Vice Chair Grandinetti, and Committee Members,

The Oahu Metropolitan Planning Organization (OahuMPO) **supports SB1667 SD2** which makes changes to and appropriates funds for various transportation safety efforts and penalties regarding poor driving behaviors including impaired driving and speeding.

This bill would support our goal of reducing traffic related deaths and serious injuries to zero by 2045. Traffic fatalities increased ten percent between 2023 and 2024 in Hawaii and speeding and impaired driving are some of the biggest causes of traffic fatalities and serious injuries. In 2024, the State of Hawaii Department of Transportation conducted an annual [behavioral study](#), and nearly one-quarter of respondents admitted to exceeding the speed limit by more than 20 miles per hour, and over half exceeded the speed limit by 10-20 miles per hour in the last six months. Statistics provided by the [Honolulu Police Department](#) and [State of Hawaii Department of Health](#) indicate that nearly half of traffic fatalities on Oahu and within the State of Hawaii are caused by impaired driving.

The OahuMPO is the federally designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) on the island of Oahu responsible for carrying out a multimodal transportation planning process, including the development of a long-range (25-year horizon) metropolitan transportation plan, referred to as the Oahu Regional Transportation Plan (ORTP), which encourages and promotes a safe, efficient, and resilient transportation system that serves the mobility needs of all people and freight (including walkways, bicycles, and transit), fosters economic growth and development, while minimizing fuel consumption and air pollution ([23 CFR 450.300](#)).

Mahalo for the opportunity to provide testimony on this measure.



ADDRESS
3442 Wai'ala'e Ave., Suite 1
Honolulu, HI 96816

PHONE
808-735-5756

EMAIL
bicycle@hbl.org

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION
Tuesday, March 11, 2025 – 9:00am

Hawai'i Bicycling League Supports SB 1667 SD 2, Relating to Transportation

Aloha Chair Kila, Vice Chair Grandinetti, and Committee Members,

My name is Eduardo Hernandez, and I am the Advocacy Director of the Hawai'i Bicycling League (HBL). We are a non-profit organization founded in 1975 with the mission of enabling more people to ride bicycles for health, recreation, and transportation. We strive to create communities across our islands that have safe, accessible, and inclusive environments for people to bike, walk, and roll.

Hawai'i Bicycling League supports SB 1667, which updates state traffic code by prohibiting vehicles from stopping and blocking crosswalks, as well as reckless driving or riding animals; further clarifies breath, blood, and urine testing criteria for persons that have been arrested; establishes an automated speed enforcement systems program with criteria for the state Highway Safety and Modernization Council (HSMC) and the Safe Routes to School (SRTS) advisory committee to recommend additional intersections that would use this technology; adopts National Association of City Transportation Officials' (NACTO) standards as well as American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO) standards as regards design for state highways bisecting and bordering residential areas.

This is common-sense legislation that prioritizes safety, especially for vulnerable road users. Moreover, it aligns and leverages Complete Streets statutes that have been adopted by the state and each of the counties. By establishing a significant fine schedule for infractions, this bill strengthens compliance. Finally, by adopting NACTO and AASHTO standards, this bill establishes proven metrics to slow vehicles, especially in residential areas, helping people to choose walking, biking and rolling as a transportation option.

Mahalo for the opportunity to provide testimony. We encourage your support for **SB 1667, SD 2**.

Ride Aloha,

S/ Eduardo Hernandez

Eduardo Hernandez
Advocacy Director



Testimony for Hawai'i Appleseed Center for Law and Economic Justice
Support for SB1667 - Relating to Transportation
House Committees on Transportation (TRN)
March 11th, 2025 at 10AM

Dear Chair Kila, Vice Chairs, and members of the TRN committee,
Mahalo for the opportunity to express **SUPPORT for SB1667**, which establishes a number of provisions to improve pedestrian safety, including prohibiting vehicles from marked crosswalks, authorization of automated speed enforcement, pedestrian lead intervals, and others. We also provide a number of suggested amendments.

With the State's growing cost of living, including transportation costs, as well as our climate and energy crises, it is vital that we prioritize funding to improve the safety, accessibility, and affordability of non-vehicular modes of transportation. Unfortunately, despite the urgent need to decrease automobile dependency, pedestrian injuries and fatalities are on the rise.

We believe one of the most powerful components of SB1667 is the provision for leading pedestrian intervals (LPIs). LPIs are an under-utilized Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) proven safety countermeasure in Hawai'i. LPIs increase pedestrian visibility, reduce conflicts between drivers and pedestrians, improve the likelihood of drivers yielding to pedestrians, and protect our most vulnerable roadway users. FHWA estimates that LPIs can achieve a 13% reduction in pedestrian-related crashes at intersections.¹ However, in cities where LPI strategies have been implemented, even greater safety benefits have been measured. For example, in 2019, Seattle adopted a new policy to evaluate adding a LPI whenever a new traffic signal was built or repaired. At locations where LPI's had been installed in Seattle saw a 48% reduction in pedestrian turning collisions and a 34% reduction in serious injury and fatal pedestrian collisions.²

The 2023 Vulnerable Road User Safety Assessment for Hawai'i noted that 57% of pedestrian crashes happen at intersections, demonstrating the large possibility of such a safety intervention. Unlike the many pedestrian infrastructure across our state, this one solution is completely operational and thus

¹ U.S Dept. of Transportation, Federal Highways Administration. (2024). *Leading Pedestrian Interval*. Webpage. Available at: <https://highways.dot.gov/safety/proven-safety-countermeasures/leading-pedestrian-interval>.

² Seattle Department of Transportation. (2024). *Leading Pedestrian Intervals*. Webpage. Available at: <https://www.seattle.gov/transportation/projects-and-programs/safety-first/vision-zero/leading-pedestrian-interval>.

available at a much lower cost. Thus, it is a “low-hanging fruit” in that it is an extremely low cost strategy to increase the safety of pedestrians and bicyclists.

Suggested Amendments

Hawai'i Appleseed would also like to elevate the concerns from the State of Hawai'i Judiciary, regarding the difficulties in processing the high number of citations to be generated through automated speed enforcement. As such, Hawai'i Appleseed suggests that SB1667 should explicitly state that any expansion of the red light and/or speed camera system take into consideration the impact on the district courts statewide and allow adequate time for the courts to work with HDOT prior to expanding the traffic enforcement camera system (Sections 5 and 6).

Hawai'i Appleseed also has concerns regarding the punitive nature of some of the provisions currently included in the bill. As such, Hawai'i Appleseed suggests that SB1667 should:

- Lower maximum fines associated with vehicles blocking crosswalks (Section 1). While Hawai'i Appleseed understands the pedestrian safety risks associated with vehicles blocking crosswalks, we are concerned with the regressive nature of the proposed fines for this violation (currently proposed to be a maximum of \$500). This level of fine could be detrimental to low-income residents and could likely turn into debt and damaged credit.
- Remove amendments to HRS 291E-65 to increase license suspension periods (Section 4). While Hawai'i Appleseed supports the intent to reduce reckless and intoxicated driving, we also recognize that many residents depend on driving for their economic livelihood. Increasing the license suspension period for applicable individuals from twelve months to three years could have profound negative impacts to residents. Before imposing such a drastic punitive change, we suggest that license suspension be further studied, to better understand impacts, and to gauge if increases to license suspension periods are the most effective way to reduce the prevalence of reckless and intoxicated driving.

Mahalo for the opportunity to testify on this important measure.



Abbey Seitz

Director of Transportation Equity

Hawai'i Appleseed Center for Law and Economic Justice

SB-1667-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 6:57:30 AM

Testimony for TRN on 3/11/2025 9:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Frank Schultz	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this initiative.