

# OFFICE OF INFORMATION PRACTICES

STATE OF HAWAII  
NO. 1 CAPITOL DISTRICT BUILDING  
250 SOUTH HOTEL STREET, SUITE 107  
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813  
TELEPHONE: 808-586-1400 FAX: 808-586-1412  
EMAIL: oip@hawaii.gov

To: Senate Committee on Public Safety and Military Affairs

From: Carlotta Amerino, Director

Date: February 3, 2025, 3:15 a.m.  
State Capitol, Conference Room 225

Re: Testimony on S.B. No. 1451  
Relating to Critical Infrastructure

---

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on this bill, which would provide confidentiality for critical infrastructure information maintained by the Office of Homeland Security's Hawaii State Critical Infrastructure Security and Resilience Program (Program). The Office of Information Practices (OIP) **supports** this bill.


OIP worked with the Office of Homeland Security (OHS) previously to reach agreement on language to address both OHS's and OIP's concerns. OIP understands that the Program collects information about critical infrastructure and its vulnerabilities from other government agencies and from private parties such as utilities, and OHS was concerned that the Program should not become a one-stop-shop for people seeking information about such vulnerabilities. At the same time, OIP's concern has been to ensure that information about infrastructure, including potential problems with that infrastructure, should remain public to the extent that it previously has been. Although the UIPA's frustration exception at section 92F-13(3), HRS, would allow an agency to withhold specific information, especially detailed technical information, that could be used to exploit vulnerabilities in either

government or private infrastructure, more general information should remain available to the extent it traditionally has been for the public to request from the government agency maintaining it, so as not to deny the public access to important public safety information such as government reports on dam safety, information about the Red Hill water contamination, or information about the potential for the power grid to contribute to a future wildfire.

OIP believes this bill strikes an appropriate balance between protection of the sensitive critical infrastructure information collected by the Program and ensuring continued public access to more general information maintained by the various agencies about infrastructure, including information that discusses potential problems. OIP therefore **supports** this bill and respectfully recommends the Legislature use it as the vehicle to address protection of critical infrastructure information within the Program.

Thank you for considering OIP's testimony.

JOSH GREEN, M.D.  
GOVERNOR  
KE KIA'ĀINA



MIKE LAMBERT  
Director

VACANT  
Deputy Director  
Administration

SYLVIA LUKE  
LT GOVERNOR  
KE KE'ENA

STATE OF HAWAII | KA MOKU'ĀINA O HAWAII  
**DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT**  
*Ka 'Oihana Ho'okō Kānāwai*  
715 South King Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

JARED K. REDULLA  
Deputy Director  
Law Enforcement

No.

TESTIMONY ON SENATE BILL 1451  
RELATING TO CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE  
Before the Senate Committee on Public Safety & Military Affairs  
Monday, February 3, 2025; 3:15 p.m.  
State Capitol Conference Room 225, & Videoconference  
Testifiers: Frank Pace or Jimmie Collins

Chair Elefante, Vice Chair Wakai, and members of the Committee:

The Department of Law Enforcement (DLE) **strongly supports** Senate Bill 1451.

This administration bill establishes protections for critical infrastructure information that is received or maintained by the Office of Homeland Security for use regarding the security of critical infrastructure in Hawai'i.

The proposed protections are aligned with those under the Critical Infrastructure Information Act of 2002 and would enhance the sharing of critical infrastructure information between owners and operators and the State of Hawai'i. For the Office of Homeland Security (OHS) to maintain trusted partnerships with owners and operators, it must be able to assure them that sensitive and proprietary information relating to the security of critical infrastructure is protected from public disclosure.

The retention and assured protection of this information is crucial for OHS in supporting the security and resilience of the State of Hawai'i.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of this bill.



Senate Committee on Public Safety and Military Affairs  
Honorable Brandon Elefante, Chair  
Honorable Glen Wakai, Vice Chair

**RE: Testimony in Opposition to S.B. 1451, Relating to Critical Infrastructure**  
Hearing: February 3, 2025 at 3:15 p.m.

Dear Chair and Members of the Committee:

My name is Ben Creps. I am a staff attorney at the Public First Law Center, a nonprofit organization that promotes government transparency. Thank you for the opportunity to respectfully submit testimony in **opposition** to S.B. 1451.

We support efforts to protect Hawai'i's critical infrastructure. However, this measure is unnecessary, overly complicated, overly broad, inconsistent with existing law, and unbalanced.

This bill is unnecessary given existing law. The bill justification asserts, the "protections offered by this bill will enhance sharing of critical infrastructure information between critical infrastructure owners and operators and the state government." Such protection already exists under the Uniform Information Practices Act (UIPA). *E.g.*, OIP Op. Ltr. 07-05 (agency need not disclose sensitive information regarding physical security of critical infrastructure).

If the Committee wishes to codify existing law, then nothing as convoluted as this bill is necessary to define critical infrastructure information. The definition could borrow instead from the U.S. Department of Defense critical infrastructure standard. *See* 10 U.S.C. § 130e(e). The following proposed amendment would eliminate some of our concerns.

**"Critical infrastructure information" means information provided by private entities that is not customarily in the public domain and that, if disclosed, would reveal vulnerabilities in critical infrastructure that, if exploited, would likely result in the significant disruption, destruction, or damage of or to operations, property, or facilities.**

Nevertheless, multiple iterations of this bill have been introduced in recent legislative sessions. But the Office of Homeland Security (OHS) has not explained why existing protections under UIPA are inadequate. Public First is not aware of any instance in which an agency was required to disclose potentially sensitive critical infrastructure



information. If OHS needs more access to critical infrastructure information than other agencies and seeks to incorporate federal law under the Critical Infrastructure Information Act of 2002 (CII Act), then it should also abide by the other restrictions and provisions of the CII Act, which have been stripped out of this proposal.

Moreover, S.B. 1451 is complicated and unwieldy. Depending on the circumstances, the Office of Information Practices and courts would need to determine whether the information concerns: (1) “[a]ctual, potential, or threatened interference with, attack on, compromise of, or incapacitation of critical infrastructure or protected systems by either physical or computer-based attack or other similar conduct”; (2) “the ability of any critical infrastructure or protected system to resist” such interference; or (3) “[a]ny planned or past operational problem or solution regarding critical infrastructure or protected systems.” S.B. 1451 further calls on agencies to analyze whether disclosure: violates federal, state, local, or tribal law; harms interstate commerce; or threatens public health or safety.

The breadth of S.B. 1451 creates significant potential for misuse. For example, what are “protected systems” and “critical infrastructure”? Those key terms are not explained in HRS chapter 128A or defined for the exemption.

The bill’s expansive and vague language can be read to swallow public records with any connection to infrastructure — like records of water main breaks — that pose no legitimate security risk to critical infrastructure. Public First is aware of at least one such request, which was made by a neighborhood board member who used the information to analyze where water main breaks were most likely to occur in his community for purposes of improving planning and response to these inevitable events.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify in opposition to S.B. 1451.